**MEASURE N AND H – COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS COMMISSION** 

1016 Union Street, #940 Oakland, CA 94607-



David Kakishiba, Chairperson kakishiba@gmail.com

Measure N - College & Career Readiness - Commission

Marc Tafolla, Vice Chair marctafolla@gmail.com

Katy Nuñez-Adler, Secretary katynunez.adler@gmail.com

James. Harris, Member james@educateoakland.com Gary Yee, Member Yeega125@gmail.com

Board Office Use: Legislative File Info.		
File ID Number	25-0507	
Introduction Date	3/4/2025	
Enactment Number		
Enactment Date		

# Memo

То

Measures N and H – College and Career Readiness Commission

From

Vanessa Sifuentes High School Network Superintendent

**Board Meeting Date** 

Subject Services For: High School Linked Learning

Action Requested and Recommendation

Presentation to and discussion by the Measures N and H - College and Career Readiness Commission of the proposed changes to 2025-2026 Education Improvement Plan Assessment. **Background** (Why do we need these services? Why have you selected this vendor?)

Competitively Bid	Was this contract competitively bid? No	
	If no, exception: N/A	
Fiscal Impact	Funding resource(s): Measure H and N	
Attachments	25-0507 - 25-26 EIP Assessment Template	





## Measures N and H 2025-2026 Education Improvement Plan Assessment (Year Three of Three-Year Cycle)

[Insert Name of School]

<b>Criterion 1: Measures N and H Pathway Improvement Progress Reflection:</b> To what extent have schools engaged in meaningful reflection about progress toward their strategic goals and articulated the connections between their reflections and new or adapted strategic actions? What progress is evident in the school's reflection on Year 1 (2023-24) and Year 2 (2024-2025)? ( <i>NOTE: If a school does not receive a four in this category, the highest final recommendation they can receive is "Approved," and the final recommendation will reflect the quality of the plan and the alignment of expenditures to build out Linked Learning Pathways.)</i>				
Category	Comprehensive Analysis	Developing Analysis	Emergent Analysis	Unclear Analysis
Evidence of Progress toward Pathway Program(s)' <u>2023-26 College</u> <u>and Career for All and Linked Learning Quality Standards</u>	4	3	2	1
<i>Instructions: Review 2024-2025</i> whole school and pathway three-year goals, the blue reflection and actions sections, and Linked Learning One-Pager(s) for evidence of:	<b>FEEDBACK:</b> Provide feedback only if the site receives a score of 3 o below.			a score of 3 or
Meaningful reflection about progress toward strategic goals (whole school and pathway)				
Clear articulation of connections between these reflections and new or adapted strategic actions				
Evidence of progress toward pathway programs' quality standards				
Score: Rationale:	Suggestions for 2	5-26 Continued Pro	gress Monitoring:	





Criterion 2: Measures N and H Pathway Improvement Plan (Actions): How does the EIP clearly articulate new or revised actions grounded in schools' and pathways' reflection on the implementation of Year 1 strategic actions?				
Category	Excelling 4	Meeting 3	Approaching 2	Beginning 1
Strategic Actions	FEEDBACK: Provide or below.	feedback only	if the site receive	s a score of 3
<ul> <li>Strategies meet the goals, address the needs, are research-based, and have proven effective for improving equitable student outcomes and building the three domains of Linked Learning</li> <li>Integrated Program of Study</li> <li>Work-Based Learning</li> <li>Integrated Student Support</li> </ul>				
Strategies are embedded in inquiry design to produce evidence of their enacting the theory of action and achieving the identified goals				
Coherence is evident as an explicit theory of action that bridges their reflection logically into their actions				
Score: Rationale:	Suggestions for 25-26	Continued Pro	gress Monitoring:	





Criterion 3: Alignment of Funding to Linked Learning Criteria, Strategic Actions, Permissible Expenses, and Measures N and H Plan			
Strategic & Aligned 4	Partially Strategic & Aligned 3	Unclear Strategy & Alignment 2	Missing or Non-Compliant 1
<b>FEEDBACK:</b> <i>Provide feedback only if the site receives a score of 3 or below.</i>			
Suggestions for 25	-26 Continued Pro	ogress Monitorinę	g:
	Strategic & Aligned 4 FEEDBACK: Prov or below.	Strategic & Aligned       Partially         4       Aligned         3       FEEDBACK: Provide feedback only         or below.       Strategic & Aligned	Strategic & Aligned 4Partially Strategic & Aligned 3Unclear Strategy & Alignment 2FEEDBACK: Provide feedback only if the site received





### **Final Recommendation**

<b>Instructions:</b> Based on the entirety of the school's EIP, provide your assessment rating for the EIP, a summary of the Plan's Strengths, note any Key Questions, and overall Budget feedback. Identify the Next Steps for the Site. See Rating descriptions below.
Rating <sup>1</sup> :
Strengths:
Key Questions:
Budget Feedback:

#### Next Steps (for Conditionally Approved Sites) - add rows as needed

What	Suggested Lead	Deliverable	Date

#### <sup>1</sup>Fully Approved

- School has fully implemented a whole-school pathways model with all three domains of Linked Learning are evidenced for all students: Integrated Program of Study (a distinct CTE program plus integrated and cohorted core academics), Work-Based Learning (career awareness, exploration, and preparation embedded in classes), and Integrated Supports (strategically embedded supports, Tiers 1-3, through the pathway community of practice)
- School is deeply engaged in the strategic continuous improvement of the Linked Learning pathway(s) and addressing the root causes of current student outcomes through pathways

#### Approved

- School is actively developing and implementing a whole-school pathways model with the three domains of Linked Learning as evidenced by the establishment of all three domains of Linked Learning: Integrated Program of Study (a distinct CTE program plus integrated core academics), Work-Based Learning (career awareness, exploration, and preparation embedded in classes), and Integrated Supports (strategically embedded supports, Tiers 1-3, through the pathway community of practice)
- School has evidence of continuous improvement of the Linked Learning pathway(s) and addressing the root causes of current student outcomes through pathways

#### **Conditionally Approved**

- School is actively developing a whole-school pathways model as evidenced by early implementation of key elements of Linked Learning: Integrated Program of Study (a distinct CTE program plus integrated core academics), Work-Based Learning (career awareness, exploration, and preparation embedded in classes), and Integrated Supports (strategically embedded supports, Tiers 1-3, through the pathway community of practice)
- School does not demonstrate continuous improvement of the Linked Learning pathway(s) and addressing the root causes of current student outcomes through pathways





Criterion 4 Evidence of Progress and Linked Learning Implementation			
Category to be completed by High School Linked Learning Office			
Instructions: Review the Work-Based Learning template, EIP Presentation, Master Schedule, and Program of Study to demonstrate understanding of and development of high-quality pathway implementation.			
Program of Study			
Work-Based Learning Plan			
Master Schedule			
EIP Presentation			