Board Office Use: Legislative File Info.								
File ID Number	23-0668							
Introduction Date	4/20/2023							
Enactment Number								
Enactment Date								



Memo

To Facilities Committee

From Kyla Johnson-Trammell, Superintendent

Kenya Chatman, Executive Director, Facilities Planning and Management

Board Meeting Date April 26, 2023

Subject Amendment, Measure Y Spending Plan - April 2023

Action Discussion/Possible Revised Measure Y Spending Plan - April 2023

Background The voters of the City of Oakland since 2006 have passed three General Obligation Bond Measures as noted herein.

In June 2006, Oakland voters passed Measure B, a \$435 million School Facilities Improvement Bond which provided funding to the Oakland Unified School District (OUSD). Except for some remaining fire alarm projects, all Measure B funds have largely been spent prior to fiscal year 2019-2020. May 2012 Masterplan stated \$1.5B in Facilities' Needs (File #12-1043).

In June 2012, Oakland voters passed Measure J, a \$475 million School Facilities Improvement Bond, for OUSD to enhance the educational environment for the students and communities of Oakland and better prepare students for college and jobs. Bond funds have been allocated to upgrade science labs, classrooms, computers, and technology; improve student safety and security; repair bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewer lines; improve energy efficiency; and make seismic upgrades. Majority of Measure J Funds have been spent. In May 2020 Masterplan stated \$3+B in Facilities' Needs. (File #19-2517).

In November 2020, Oakland voters passed Measure Y, a \$735 million School Facilities Improvement Bond, for OUSD to provide, among other things, classroom repair and school safety improvements, upgrading classrooms, science labs and technology; improving student safety and security; repairing bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewers; and improving energy-efficiency and earthquake safety.

The Board on April 14, 2021 adopted the Measure Y Spending Plan. (Enactment No. 21-0581).

Subsequent to the Board approval of the Measure Y Bond Spending Plan April 2021, costs associated with the approved Plan have outpaced execution by 28%, (shortfalls) necessitating revisions to the current spending plans, either by scope reductions and / or adjustments to budgets to provide the design elements based on:

- 1. Improved Seismic Safety
- 2. Accessibility Improvements
- 3. Heating & Ventilation upgrades
- 4. Electrical upgrades
- 5. Communications upgrades
- 6. Envelope Waterproofing
- 7. Program improvements (class size enlargement)

Recommendation

The Facilities staff requests the Facilities Committee/Board give direction as to how staff should address or meet the shortfall. The staff recommends that during its deliberation of the subject matter, the Facilities Committee / Board consider Option 1, Options 2, and 3, but not exclusively.

Fiscal Impact

Net zero balancing of Bond Proceeds and Proposed Spending.

Attachment

PowerPoint Presentation



Facilities Planning & Management Facilities Committee April 20, 2023



OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Community Schools, Thriving Students







Our Vision

All OUSD students will find joy in their academic experience while graduating with the skills to ensure they are caring, competent, fully-informed, critical thinkers who are prepared for college, career, and community success.

Our Mission

Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) will build a Full Service Community District focused on high academic achievement while serving the whole child, eliminating inequity, and providing each child with excellent teachers, every day.





Agenda

- 1. Background
- 2. Ask of the Governing Body
- 3. Spending Plan Timeline
- 4. Current Measure Y Spending Plan
- 5. Current Project Budget Shortfalls
- 6. District Wide Initiatives Recommendations
- 7. Schedule for Next Bond Issuance
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Next Steps
- 10. Questions & Answers

Overview

- 1. Spending Plan cannot be revised without some revision to a major capital project
- 2. Facilities Committee asks from March 16 meeting:
 - More detail in each case what is necessary within the dollar amount proposed.
 - How much of the overall Bond contingency that can be used for this offset that is being requested?
 - History of previous money spent per District with Bond Measures B and J.



- 1. Bring to the Facilities Committee 3-16-23 Discussion
- 2. Present to Citizens Bond Oversight Committee (CBOC) 4-10-23
- 3. Bring back to Facilities Committee for Approval 4-20-23
- 4. Bring to BOE for final vote 4-26-23
 - Budget adjustments vs. scope reductions
 - Review, comment and approve revised Spending Plan



Background

In June 2006, Oakland voters passed Measure B, a \$435 million School Facilities Improvement Bond which provided funding to the Oakland Unified School District (OUSD). Except for some remaining fire alarm projects, all Measure B funds have largely been spent prior to fiscal year 2019-2020.

May 2012 Masterplan stated \$1.5B in Facilities Needs (File #12-1043)

In June 2012, Oakland voters passed Measure J, a \$475 million School Facilities Improvement Bond, for OUSD to enhance the educational environment for the students and communities of Oakland and better prepare students for college and jobs. Bond funds have been allocated to upgrade science labs, classrooms, computers, and technology; improve student safety and security; repair bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewer lines; improve energy efficiency; and make seismic upgrades. Majority of Measure J Funds have been spent.

In May 2020 Masterplan stated \$3+B in Facilities Needs. (File #19-2517)

In November 2020, Oakland voters passed Measure Y, a \$735 million School Facilities Improvement Bond, for OUSD to provide, among other things, classroom repair and school safety improvements, upgrading classrooms, science labs and technology; improving student safety and security; repairing bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewers; and improving energy-efficiency and earthquake safety.

www.ousd.org <u>f</u> <u>v</u> @ @OUSDnews



Measure Y Timeline

- June 2018- Board approved initial Measure Y Budget
- April 2021- Board Approved updated Measure Y Budget (Refer to File # 21-0581)
- March 2022 Board Approved Measure Y District Initiative Work Plan (Refer to File # 22-0223)
- March/April 2023- Report on Measure Y progress and initial draft of budget reconciliation

Capital spend by district to date

OUSD FACILITIES DEPAR	TMENT - Site Allocation By Distri	Spent to date as of	3/31/2023	
DISTRICT - 1	Measure B Measure J	Measure Y	Fund 25 Fund 35	Total by District
4	\$ 35,394,860 \$ 14,226,16	5 6,808,207	\$ 86,196 \$ 11,169,956	\$ 67,685,384
DISTRICT - 2				
	\$ 125,120,431 \$ 8,333,51	3 \$ 1,287,987	\$ 227,803 \$ 606,998	\$ 135,576,733
DISTRICT - 3				
Į.	\$ 68,932,684 \$ 55,365,29	1 \$ 13,195,511	\$ 1,650,161 \$ 36,961,498	\$ 176,105,146
DISTRICT -4				
	\$ 33,799,032 \$ 41,774,96	0 \$ 3,499,845	\$ 10,169,838 \$ 10,252,707	\$ 99,496,382
DISTRICT - 5				
<u> </u>	\$ 33,180,175 \$ 102,774,03	3 \$ 260,726	\$ 12,386,798 \$ 1,157,068	\$ 149,758,800
DISTRICT - 6				
	\$ 21,602,173 \$ 52,530,55	3 \$ 270,116	\$ 90,410 \$ 14,556,232	\$ 89,049,484
DISTRICT - 7				
	\$ 51,608,756 \$ 8,628,20	9 \$ 4,492,066	\$ 15,866,166 \$ 11,540,100	\$ 92,135,297
District Wide Projects				
\ <u>\</u>	\$ 62,894,837 \$ 160,127,37	7 \$ 12,169,541	\$ 24,597,203 \$ 31,559,441	\$ 291,348,399
				Table on approximation of the con-
NA CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	\$ 432,532,949 \$ 443,760,10	2 \$ 41,984,000	\$ 65,074,575 \$ 117,804,000	\$ 1,101,155,626





Key Observations of Measure Y

- Current average age of facilities is 75 years, and lack of investment in infrastructure and building systems has led to increased cost to bring up to date
- Current market conditions have significantly **increased construction** costs
- Major capital projects are being impacted and we are having to consider scope reductions to comply with the current budgets.
- **Initiatives should be District Wide** to accommodate urgent needs that arise during the bond program.
- Solar Projects are becoming more difficult to make receive the return on investment for the energy efficiency, due to additional costs such as aging electrical switchgears and site logistics.
- Projected project budget short falls are only for projects in design, future project budget needs unknown.



Current Project Budget Projections

- Construction Costs (labor and materials) have gone up 28% in the last two years, and are projected to continue to escalate 8-10%/ year, as compared to 3% traditionally.
- Base scopes are based on: Improved Seismic Safety, Accessibility Improvements, Heating and Ventilation upgrades, Electrical upgrades, Communications upgrades, Envelope Weatherproofing and Program Improvements (Class Size Enlargement)
- Projections are only for projects which are actively in Design or Construction: Claremont, Laurel CDC, Cole Administration Center, Roosevelt, McClymonds, Coliseum College Prep Academy and Melrose Leadership Academy
- No Projections are made for future projects, but it is assumed that they will also be unable to deliver base scopes.

Current Measure Y Spending Plan/Projections

	MEASURE Y BOND SPENDING PLAN - CHANGES BY B	3OARD ACTION	AND PROPOSED (
	DRAFT	Proposed for June 2020 Board	Proposed for March 2021 Board	Proposed for April 2022 Board	Current Projected Budget Proposed	Current Budget Need Requested	COMMENTS
			<u> </u>		'		
			T T	pecific Modernizations a	100		
1	Collseum College Preparatory Academy - Site Expansion	\$ 35,500,000	\$ 35,500,000		THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO		Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
-	Claremont MS - Multi Purpose Annex	\$ 18,000,000			210		<u> </u>
	Elmshurst United MS - Site Modernization	\$ 10,000,000					No Budget Update- Design Not Started
	Garfield ES - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$ 56,700,000					No Budget Update- Design Not Started
_	Hillcrest ES - New Kitchen	\$ 1,700,000					No Budget Update- Design Not Started
	Laurel Child Dev. Center- Site Renovations/Replacement	\$ 11,500,000	 				
7	Marcus Foster ELC - Site Specific Projects	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ -	No Budget Update- Design Not Started
8	McClymonds HS - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$ 65,000,000	\$ 65,000,000	\$ 65,000,000	\$ 91,250,000	\$ 26,250,000	Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
9	Melrose Leadership Academy/Maxwell Park ES - Site Expansion	\$ 49,500,000	\$ 49,500,000	\$ 49,500,000	\$ 65,500,000		Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
-	Roosevelt MS - Site Renovation/Replacment	\$ 70,600,000	\$ 70,600,000				Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
11	Piedmont ES - New Kitchen	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	<u> </u>	No Budget Update- Design Not Started
12	Skyline HS - Various Site Specific Projects	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ -	No Budget Update- Design Not Started
			<u></u> '	<u></u>			
	Subtotal	\$345,500,000	\$ 345,500,000	\$ 349,000,000	\$ 430,700,000	\$ 81,700,000	
4				District-Wide Cap	oital Projects		
13	Administration and Governance Center	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ <u>-</u>	Central Administration Center at Cole
14	Access and Quality Improvements	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	<u> </u>	
15	District-Wide Initiatives	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	s -	
4			<u></u> '	<u></u>		4	
į.	Subtotal	\$260,000,000	\$ 260,000,000	\$ 260,000,000	\$ 260,000,000	4 ·	
16	Bond Program Contingency	\$ 73,500,000	\$ 73,500,000	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 70,000,000	s -	
17	Bond Program Coordination	\$ 56,000,000	\$ 56,000,000	\$ 56,000,000	\$ 56,000,000	s -	
				, <u> </u>			
	TOTAL	\$735,000,000	\$ 735,000,000	\$ 735,000,000	\$ 816,700,000	\$ 81,700,000	







Current Measure Y Projected Project Shortfall

- The construction industry has experienced a 28% increase in cost, which was not predictable and is having a significant impact on all current projects.
- The net change in cost from the original Measure Y plan to this proposed projection is due to the costs for existing site issues and needs not being included in the original cost projection/scope.
- To meet the District Educational Plan and Designs Standards that have been developed since the Fremont project, the following cost increases, which includes an increase in the projected cost of construction is listed below.

	ACTIVE PROJECTS CURRENTLY PROJECTED PROJECT N							
		Froi	_/ m	То	То		tal Request	Comments
		工						
t contract	1 Coliseum College Preparatory Academy - Site Expansion	\$	35,500,000	\$	55,000,000	\$	19,500,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
	2 McClymonds HS - Site Renovation/ Replacment	\$	65,000,000	\$	91,250,000	\$	26,250,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
	3 Melrose Leadership Adademy/ Mexwell Park ES - Site Expansion	\$	49,500,000	\$	65,500,000	\$	16,000,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
	4 Roosevelt MS - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$	70,600,000	\$	90,550,000	\$	19,950,000	To provide all building systems scopes based on design
		1				\$	81,700,000	





Overview

- 1. Spending Plan cannot be revised without some revision to a major capital project
- 2. Facilities Committee asks from March 16 meeting:
 - More detail in each case what is necessary within the dollar amount proposed.
 - How much of the overall Bond contingency that can be used for this offset that is being requested?

13

• History of previous money spent per District with Bond Measures B and J.

www.ousd.org 📑 💆 😈 😐 @OUSDnews



Current Measure Y Projected Project Shortfall Revised budgets are focused on meeting safety, building system upgrades and upgrades to meet current

- Classroom Standards
- Project scopes have been focused on work in classroom areas, consistent with Board direction on bond expenditures.
- Project prioritization is based on projects which were on previous bonds, but de-funded, and in areas of district in the highest socio-economic needs.
- Scope prioritization will allow for most work in focused areas and additional phases as required on remaining buildings
- Priority 1: Life Safety and Accessibility/ Priority 2: Building Systems and Classroom Enlargement/ Priority 3: Modernizations to non-classroom areas or buildings, site, etc.

PROGRAM WIDE BOND SPENDING PLAN- PROJECT AUG	MENTATI	ONB	Y PRIORITY						
				Total Prioritized				Total Delta	
			PRIORITY 1/2	Budget	PR	IORITY 3 Add'l	Total Project	Budget to	
	Current Bu	dget	Recommended	Augmentation		Requested	Estimate	Estimate	Comments
									Recommended design includes Priority I, Portions of
									Priority 2 and protions of Priority 3, including Building M
1 Coliseum College Preparatory Academy - Site Expansion	\$ 35,50	0,000	\$ 55,000,000	\$ 19,500,000	\$	19,262,000	\$ 74,262,000	\$ 38,762,000	Mod/ Portable Replacement/ Sitework
									Recommended design includes Modernizations of
									Classrooms, Locker Rooms and minor modifications to
2 McClymonds HS - Site Renovation/ Replacment	\$ 65,00	0,000	\$ 91,250,000	\$ 26,250,000	\$	40,000,000	\$ 131,250,000	\$ 66,250,000	Gym
									Recommended design includes priorities 1-3, with
									modernizations to classroom buildings on both sites, but
3 Melrose Leadership Adademy/ Maxwell Park ES - Site Expansion	\$ 49,50	0,000	\$ 65,500,000	\$ 16,000,000	\$	35,000,000	\$ 100,500,000	\$ 51,000,000	does not include site consolodation.
									Recommended design includes Priority 1 and 2
									Modernization of Building A only/New Science CR and
4 Roosevelt MS - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$ 70,60	0,000	\$ 90,550,000	\$ 19,950,000	\$	26,058,000	\$ 116,608,000	\$ 46,008,000	restroom building, misc site improvements
	\$ 220.60	0.000	\$ 302 300 000	\$ 81 700 000	1 6	120 320 000	\$ 422 620 000	\$ 202 020 000	

@OUSDnews

Options

Program Contingency reallocation is based on proportionate budgets for three projects needing augmentation, plus additional contingency for listed Measure Y Cap Projects.

The calculation of the current active projects that we are discussing within this proposed change is 28.9%

\$28,900,000 can be lifted from the Bond Contingency.

www.ousd.org 📑 🗾 🗓 @OUSDnews 15



Current Measure Y Projected Project Shortfall

- The construction industry has experienced a 28% increase in cost, which was not predictable and is having a significant impact on all current projects.
- The net change in cost from the original Measure Y plan to this proposed projection is due to the costs for existing site issues and needs not being included in the original cost projection/scope.
- To meet the District Educational Plan and Designs Standards that have been developed since the Fremont project, the following cost increases, which includes an increase in the projected cost of construction is listed below.

	ACTIVE PROJECTS CURRENTLY PROJECTED PROJECT N							
		Froi	_/ m	То	То		tal Request	Comments
1		工						
t l	1 Coliseum College Preparatory Academy - Site Expansion	\$	35,500,000	\$	55,000,000	\$	19,500,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
Ä.	2 McClymonds HS - Site Renovation/ Replacment	\$	65,000,000	\$	91,250,000	\$	26,250,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
	3 Melrose Leadership Adademy/ Mexwell Park ES - Site Expansion	\$	49,500,000	\$	65,500,000	\$	16,000,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
	4 Roosevelt MS - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$	70,600,000	\$	90,550,000	\$	19,950,000	To provide all building systems scopes based on design
A		1				\$	81,700,000	

16 @OUSDnews www.ousd.org





Option 1

- 1. Reduces Accessibility and Quality, District Wide Initiatives and District Contingency
- 2. All major listed capital projects remain, with augmentation to first four, McClymond, Roosevelt, Coliseum College Preparatory and Melrose Leadership Academy in the amount of \$81.7M.

8	OPTION 1	Potential Budget	
	DESCRIPTION	Adjustment	Comments
Α	Allocate Accessibility and Quality Budget to underfunded projects	\$ (10,000,000)	
В	Allocate proportional program contingency	\$ (23,600,000)	
С	Reduce District Wide Initiatives	\$ (48,100,000)	
	TOTAL	\$ (81,700,000)	

www.ousd.org 🕺 💆 🗓 🖭 @OUSDnews

Options 2 & 3

- Reduces Accessibility and Quality, Melrose Augmentation, portions of Melrose 1. Leadership Academy budget, and in option 2, kitchen projects at Piedmont and Hillcrest ES.
- Due to MLA reduction, augmentation has been deleted, making projected need \$65.7M. 2.
- Option 3 more substantially reduces MLA budget, and adds contingency for future 3. project overruns into program contingency.

	<u>F</u> 1	From		То	Total Reques		al Request	Comments
	1 Coliseum College Preparatory Academy - Site Expansion \$	\$	35,500,000	\$	55,000,000	\$	19,500,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
	2 McClymonds HS - Site Renovation/ Replacment	\$	65,000,000	\$	91,250,000	\$	26,250,000	To Meet District Educational Plan and Design Standards
1	3 Roosevelt MS - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$	70,600,000	\$	90,550,000	\$	19,950,000	To provide all building systems scopes based on design
						\$	65,700,000	

18 @OUSDnews





Option 2

- 1. Program Contingency reallocation is based on proportionate budgets for three projects needing augmentation.
- 2. Scope of MLA would be Bridges Site Improvements, plus additional project with reduced scope project.

8	OPTION 2		
		Potential	
		Budget	
	DESCRIPTION	Adjustment	Comments
	Allocate Accessibility and Quality Budget to underfunded		
Α	projects	\$ (10,000,000)	
В	Allocate proportional program contingency	\$ (23,600,000)	
С	Reduce additional budget augmentation for MLA	\$ (16,500,000)	
	Reduce Project Scope for MLA to current Bridges Site project and		
D	reduced modernization project.	\$ (11,900,000)	
E	Reduce budget for Kitchens at Hillcrest ES and Piedmont ES	\$ (3,700,000)	
	TOTAL	\$ (65,700,000)	

www.ousd.org 🚹 💆 🗓 @OUSDnews

Option 3

- 1. Program Contingency reallocation is based on proportionate budgets for three projects needing augmentation.
- 2. Scope of MLA would be Bridges Site Improvements only.

*	OPTION 3		
		Potential	
		Budget	
	DESCRIPTION	Adjustment	Comments
	Allocate Accessibility and Quality Budget to underfunded		
Α	projects	\$ (10,000,000)	
В	Allocate proportional program contingency	\$ (23,600,000)	
С	Reduce additional budget augmentation for MLA	\$ (16,500,000)	
		(20,000,000)	
			Campus consolodation, or
	Reduce Project Scope for MLA to current Bridges Site project		modernization would be new
D	only.	\$ (44,500,000)	bond.
Е	Augment Program Contingency for future unstarted projects	\$ 28,900,000	
	TOTAL	\$ (65,700,000)	

www.ousd.org 🚹 💆 🗓 @OUSDnews

Options Discussion

	MEASURE Y BOND SPENDING PLAN- CHAI						
	DRAFT	Proposed for June 2020 Board	Proposed for March 2021 Board	Proposed for April 2022 Board	Current Projected Budget Proposed	Current Budget Need Requested	COMMENTS
				Cit - C	itid N C		
	Calleaum Callege Branavatani, Acadami, Sita			Site Specific iviod	ernizations and New Cor	Struction	
	Collseum College Preparatory Academy - Site Expansion	\$ 35,500,000	\$ 35,500,000	\$ 35,500,000	\$ 55,000,000	\$ 19.500.000	Deced an august angellment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Chass
	Claremont MS - Multi Purpose Annex	\$ 35,500,000	\$ 35,500,000 \$ 18.000.000	\$ 35,500,000	\$ 18,000,000	\$ 19,500,000	Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
	Elmshurst United MS - Site Modernization	\$ 10.000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000		No Budget Update- Design Not Started
	Garfield ES - Site Renovation/Replacement	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 56,700,000	\$ 56,700,000	\$ 56,700,000		No Budget Opdate- Design Not Started No Budget Update- Design Not Started
	Hillcrest ES - New Kitchen	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,700,000		No Budget Opdate- Design Not Started No Budget Update- Design Not Started
-	Laurel Child Dev. Center - Site	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,700,000	3 1,700,000	\$ 1,700,000	3 -	No Budget Opdate- Design Not Started
	Renovations/Replacement	\$ 11,500,000	\$ 11,500,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	٥	
	Marcus Foster ELC - Site Specific Projects	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	- e	No Budget Update- Design Not Started
	McClymonds HS - Site	3 13,000,000	\$ 13,000,000	3 13,000,000	3 13,000,000	-	No Budget Opdate- Design Not Started
	Renovation/Replacement	\$ 65,000,000	\$ 65,000,000	\$ 65,000,000	\$ 91,250,000	\$ 26,250,000	Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
	Melrose Leadership Academy/Maxwell Park ES	3 03,000,000	3 03,000,000	3 03,000,000	3 31,230,000	20,230,000	pased on current emoliment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
	Site Expansion	\$ 49,500,000	\$ 49,500,000	\$ 49,500,000	\$ 65,500,000	\$ 16,000,000	Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
	Roosevelt MS - Site Renovation/Replacment	\$ 70,600,000	\$ 70,600,000	\$ 70,600,000	\$ 90,550,000		Based on current enrollment projections and to meet standards and Ed. Specs.
	Piedmont ES - New Kitchen	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000		No Budget Update- Design Not Started
	Skyline HS - Various Site Specific Projects	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	ć .	No Budget Update- Design Not Started
14	Skyline 113 Various Site Specific 1 Tojects	7 10,000,000	7 10,000,000	7 10,000,000	7 10,000,000	7	No budget opuate Design Not Started
	Subtotal	\$ 345,500,000	\$ 345,500,000	\$ 349,000,000	\$ 430,700,000	\$ 81,700,000	
		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,, ,		Wide Capital Project	•	•
13	Administration and Governance Center	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000		Central Administration Center at Cole
	Access and Quality Improvements	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	ć .	centul Administration center at cole
	District-Wide Initiatives	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	ć .	
1.	District Wide mittatives	200,000,000	200,000,000	200,000,000	200,000,000	7	
	Subtotal	\$ 260,000,000	\$ 260,000,000	\$ 260,000,000	\$ 260,000,000		
16	Bond Program Contingency	\$ 73,500,000	\$ 73,500,000	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 70,000,000	ś -	
	Bond Program Coordination	\$ 56,000,000	\$ 56,000,000	\$ 56,000,000	\$ 56,000,000	<u> </u>	
					, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ľ	
	TOTAL	\$ 735,000,000	\$ 735,000,000	\$ 735,000,000	\$ 816,700,000	\$ 81,700,000	

www.ousd.org 📑 🛂 🐧 🔼 @OUSDnews



District Wide Initiatives- Budget Overview

1	PROGRAM WIDE BOND SPENDING PLAN- DISTRICT WIDE INITIATIVES				
		From	То	Total Request	Comments
	1 Facilities and Technology	\$ 131,697,920	\$ 131,697,920	\$ -	
	2 Health, Safety and Security	\$ 43,297,080	\$ 50,297,080	\$ 7,000,000	Fund Additional School Security Projects at MS and ES
Ď.	3 Energy Efficiency	\$ 17,005,000	\$ 17,005,000	\$ -	
1	4 Improved Utilization	\$ 7,000,000	\$ -	\$ (7,000,000)	Not required without school closures
9					







District Wide Initiatives- Facilities and Technology



	Category	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	Recommended Changes	Recommended Budget Allotment
I								
	Facilities and Technology Improvements - \$106M originally allo	#ted						
	Grounds	Multiple locations Castlemont, Skyline, Glenview, Oakland, Madison, and Oakland Tech	Custodial	Construction of storage / charging sheds for electric utility carts at larger sites to improve custodial capacity for upkeep of fields and the entire site.	1	\$2,616,000	\$0	\$2,616,000
	Turf Field Replacements	Multiple Sites	B&G	Replacement of synthetic turf fields that have reached end of life (no longer maintainable)		\$8,000,000	\$0	\$8,000,000
	Stadium ADA Improvements	Castlemont High	Facilities	Stadium improvements consisting of new field, new bleacher, fire and life safety improvements, lighting, and new ADA restrooms. The project includes replacement of outdoor athletic field, track and speciality sport areas and bleachers. Existing restroom facilities will be upgraded and new single occupancy accessible facilities will be added to new North & South Plazas. Accessible parking & Path of Travel (POT) to the new work will be included.	7	\$4,900,000	\$0	\$4,900,000
	Portable Removal and Replacements	Multiple Sites	Facilities	Funding to support the design, DSA approval, removal, installation, and construction of new school site portables		\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000
100000	Groun ds	Joaquin Miller	B&G	Shade structures at named elementary school site in support of outdoor learning and recreational activities at Joaquin Miller	4	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000
	Ventilation & IAQ Comfort Improvements	Multiple	B&G	Ventilation & IAQ Comfort Improvements inclusive of but not limited to developing a prioritized list of school sites in need of ventilation and comfort improvements such as; new HVAC, cool roofs, window shading or tinting, high volume low velocity ceiling fans and new environmental controls. The first initiative will be to conduct the study via pilot projects at Laurel, Manzanita, and WOMS		\$37,500,000	\$0	\$37,500,000



District Wide Initiatives- Facilities and Technology



Category	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	t Recommended Changes	d Recommende Budget Allotment
Facilities and Technology Improvements - \$106M originally allot	ited						
Pilot Project IAQ assessments, recommendations, and design	Laurel ES	Facilities	Pilot project to assess, make recommendations, and develop implementable improvements for consideration throughout the District	4	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Pilot Project IAQ assessments, recommendations, and design	Manzanita ES	Facilities	Pilot project to assess, make recommendations, and develop implementable improvements for consideration throughout the District	5	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Pilot Project IAQ assessments, recommendations, and design	West Oakland Middle School	Facilities	Pilot project to assess, make recommendations, and develop implementable improvements for consideration throughout the District	3	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
ADA Ramp	Kaiser	Facilities	Furnish and install an ADA ramp to address significant grade changes in support of a new academic program at Kaiser	1	\$2,600,000	\$0	\$2,600,000
Trust for Public Land Project	Bridges Academy	Facilities	This is a collaborative project with Trust for Public Land in which the District is a recipient of grant funds for improvements at Bridges, funding being requested is to design and construct green school yard improvements.	5	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Window Wall System Replacement	Lowell	B&G	Demolish and replace the existing window wall system at Lowell, which has failures and water infiltration into the building. Funding is to design and construct a new storefront window wall system with metal panels.	3	\$6,075,000	\$0	\$6,075,000
Tech Services- IT Improvements	All	Tech Services	Funding is to support the classroom learning experience - wifi, modernizing classrooms, cable degradation, refresh of network equipment, etc.		\$25,000,000	\$0	\$25,000,000
Board Directed Initiative Allowance	Multiple	Board	This is an allowance to address any OUSD Board directed initiatives / projects		\$7,000,000	\$0	\$7,000,000
Student Drop Off Enhancements	East Oakland Pride	Facilities	Widen the driveway entrance and gate to ensure a safe multiple lane drop off		\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
Relocate IT	TBD	B&G	Improvements at a site TBD to accomodate the IT Department		\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000
Deferred Maintenance Projects - not funded by the 5yr Deferred	d Maintenance Budget					\$0	\$0
B&G Roofing & Plumbing - Repair and Replacement Projects	- Multiple Sites	B&G	Due to a number of roofing leaks, utility - sewer line failures the deparment has established a pool project to address some deferred maintenance needs that didn't get approved via the B&G 5 Year Deferred Maintenance budget		\$11,600,000	\$0	\$11,600,00
B&G Asphalt Repair & Replacement Pool	Multiple Sites	B&G	Many school sites have faile dasphalt that require ongoing maintenance, however budget cutsto B&G's 5Year Deffered Maintenance Budget require an additional funding source.		\$7,300,000	\$0	\$7,300,000
Facilities & Technology Improvements Contingency			1		\$14,881,920	\$0	\$14,881,9
			Subtotal	47	\$132,697,920	\$0	\$132,697,9





District Wide Initiatives- Health, Safety and Security



	Category	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	Recommended Changes	Recommended Budget Allotment
								Allocment
1	Funded Projects							
	Health, Safety, and Security Improvements-\$45M originally all	lotted				\$45,000,000		
1	Nutritional Services	ТВО	Nutrition	Provide additional dry, refrigeration, and freezer storage facilities.		\$20,500,000	\$O	\$20,500,000
	Safety	Oakland Tech, Madison, and Skyline HS	B&G	Design and install new LED field lighting at Oakland Tech, Madison, and Skyline HS	1	\$1,313,000	\$0	\$1,313,000
	Middle School Exterior and Interior Security Enhancements	Middle School Exterior and interior security enhancements	Facilities	Funding to provide additional perimeter and interior controls indusive of but not limited to CCTV cameras, door entry controls (i.e. aiphones), and other measures to properly secure the Middle School sites		\$2,631,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,631,000
	High School Exterior and interior security enhancements	High School Exterior and interior security enhancements	Facilities	Funding to provide additional perimeter and interior controls indusive of but not limited to CCTV cameras, door entry controls (i.e. aiphones), and other measures to properly secure the High School sites		\$2,100,000	\$750,000	\$2,850,000
1	CCTV & Aiphone System	Fremont High School	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	5		\$0	\$0
	CCTV & Aiphone System	Oakland High School	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	2		\$0	\$0
	CCTV & Aiphone System	Oakland International High School	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	1		\$0	\$0
	CCTV & Aiphone System	Oakland Technical High School	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	1		\$0	\$0
	CCTV & Aiphone System	King Estates Campus - Rudsdale + Sojourner Truth	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	7	<u> </u>	\$0	\$0
	CCTV & Aiphone System	Skyline High School	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	6		\$0	\$0
	CCTV & Aiphone System	Street Academy	Facilities	CCTV & Aiphone System	3		\$0	\$0
	Elementary School door entry access	Elementary School door entry improvements (i.e. aiphone)	Facilities	Funding to provide main entrance door controls		\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000
	Evacuation Maps	District Wide		Design and install evacuation maps for each school site to ensure compliance with Fire Marshall emergency evacuation requirements		\$4,000,000	\$O	\$4,000,000
	Other safety and security improvements	Various	Facilites	Funding to provide perimeter controls (i.e. fending), and support additional identified security enhancements		\$6,000,000	\$ 0	\$6,000,000

REDUCE TO 10%

www.ousd.org <u>f</u> <u>v</u> <u>o</u> @OUSDnews

District Wide Initiatives- Health, Safety and Security



	Category	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	Recommended Changes	Recommended Budget Allotment
F.	Funded Projects)	I
	Health, Safety, and Security Improvements - \$45M originally all	otted				\$45,000,000		
	Carbon Dioxide Sensors	Various	Facilites	Installation of CO2 monitoring equipment in 50+ schools. This expense is required in order to maintain our eligibility for the \$4.1M funding requested from the CalSHAPE (AB 841) program. Without CO2 sensors, we will not get the \$4.1M program incentive.		\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
	Playground & Playsurface Replacment	Various	Facilities	Updating outdated play structures and play surfaces per Master Plan		\$0	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
	Fire Alarm / Intrusion Alarm Replacment	Various	Facilities	Updating Fire Alarm and Intrusion Alarm Systems to current district Standards		\$0	\$6,903,080	\$6,903,080
	Health, Safety, and Security Improvements Contingency					\$4,653,080	(\$4,653,080)	\$0
				Subtotal		\$43,297,080	\$7,000,000	\$50,297,080







District Wide Initiatives- Energy Efficiency, Resiliency and Sustainability



61		t						
	Category	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	Recommended Changes	Recommended Budget Allotment
	Funded Projects	Y						
	Energy Efficiency, Resiliency, and Sustainability Improvements	- \$39M originally allotted			SITE	SOL AR	PROJEC	S
H.	SolarPV						1	
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Claremont MS	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	CAN	CELLED \$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Cole Admin Blog	Facilities	Canopy PV	3	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Elmhurst MS	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	7	\$75,000	(\$75,000)	\$0
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Fremont HS	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	5	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
Ų.	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Frick MS	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	6	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Glenview ES	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	5	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Highland ES	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	7	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Hoover ES	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	3	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
N	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Horace Mann	Facilities	Canopy PV		\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	OAK @ Howard Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	7	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Kings Estate Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	7	\$75,000	(\$75,000)	\$0
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Laurel CDC	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	4	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Lockwood ES	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	6	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Madison Park Upper	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and canopy PV	7	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
当人	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Manzanita Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	5	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	MLK Jr. ES	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	3	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Prescott Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	3	\$75,000	(\$75,000)	\$0
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Reach Academy (COX) Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	6	\$75,000	(\$75,000)	\$0
	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Redwood Heights ES	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	4	\$75,000	(\$75,000)	\$0
1	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	Stonehurst Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	7	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
5	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	The Center	Facilities	Canopy PV	3	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
1	Solar PPA Project - funding for IOR and PM	The Woodland Campus	Facilities	3.5 MW of rooftop and can opy PV	7	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000





District Wide Initiatives- Energy Efficiency, Resiliency and Sustainability



Category	Site	Department	Description	District	Current Budget	Recommended	Recommended Budget
		Requestor			Anodinent	Changes	Allatment
Funded Projects							
Energy Efficiency, Resiliency, and Sustainability Improvements	- \$39M originally allotted						
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Various	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction & LED Lighting		\$0	\$0	\$0
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Allendale	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	4	\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Bella Vista	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	2	\$16,000	\$0	\$16,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Bret Harte	Facilities	LED Lighting	4	\$815,000	\$0	\$815,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Bret Harte	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	4	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Elmhurst	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	7	\$45,000	\$0	\$45,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Fruitvale	Facilities	LED Lighting	5	\$430,000	90	\$430,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Fruitvale	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	5	\$15,000	\$0	\$15,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Lockwood	Facilities	LED Lighting	6	\$530,000	90	\$530,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Lockwood	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	6	\$55,000	90	\$55,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Global Family	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	5	\$12,000	50	\$12,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Grass Valley	Facilities	LED Lighting	7	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Grass Valley	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	7	\$22,000	\$0	\$22,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Laurel	Facilities	LED Lighting	4	\$280,000	\$0	\$280,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Laurel	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	4	\$37,000	\$0	\$37,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Madison Park	Facilities	LED Lighting	7	\$640,000	90	\$640,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Madison Park	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	7	\$5,000	90	\$5,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Madison Sobrante	Facilities	LED Lighting	7	\$260,000	\$0	\$260,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Madison Sobrante	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	7	\$12,000	\$0	\$12,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Manzanita	Facilities	LED Lighting	5	\$550,000	\$0	\$550,000
En ergy Efficiency Cal Shape	Manzanita	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	5	\$29,000	\$0	\$29,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	MLK	Facilities	LED Lighting	3	\$400,000	\$0	\$400,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	MLK	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	3	\$14,000	\$0	\$14,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	New Highland	Facilities	LED Lighting	7	\$580,000	\$0	\$580,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	New Highland	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	7	\$30,000	\$0	\$30,000







District Wide Initiatives- Energy Efficiency, Resiliency and Sustainability



Category	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	Recommended Changes	Recommended Budget Allotment
Funded Projects						1	
Energy Efficiency, Resiliency, and Sustainability Improvements	-\$39M originally allotted						
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Oakland HS	Facilities	LED Lighting	2	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$2,100,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Oakland HS	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	2	\$70,000	\$0	\$70,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	West Oakland MS	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	3	\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Oakland Tech	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	1	\$66,000	\$0	\$66,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Skyline	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	6	\$95,000	\$0	\$95,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	La Escuelita	Facilities	Plug Load Reduction	2	\$22,000	\$0	\$22,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Calvin Simmons	Facilities	LED Lighting	5	\$915,000	\$0	\$915,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	Chabot	Facilities	LED Lighting	1	\$315,000	\$0	\$315,000
Energy Efficiency Cal Shape	La Escuelita	Facilities	RcX	2	\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000
Water Efficiency Pilot	Elmhurst (scaling to 4 additional sites)	Facilities	Installing Flow Management Device technology to achieve 15% water use	7	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Battery Storage	Oakland High	Facilities	400 kW battery (Tesla Megapack 2)	2	\$2,500,000	(\$800,000)	\$1,700,000
Battery Storage	Oakland Tech/ Castlemont	Facilities	400 kW battery (Tesla Megapack 2)	1	\$2,500,000	(\$2,000,000)	\$500,000
Solar Readiness Retrofit	Fremont HS & The Center	Facilities	Solar Readiness Retrofit		\$500,000	(\$500,000)	\$0
EV Charging Stations	Various	Facilities	EV Charging Station		\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Sustainability Contingency					\$990,000	\$3,600,000	\$4,590,000
			Subtotal		\$17,005,000	\$0	\$17,005,000





District Wide Initiatives-Improved Utilization

Category Funded Projects	Site	Department Requestor	Description	District	Current Budget Allotment	Recommended Changes	Recommended Budget Allotment
Improved Utilization - Unused or Under-used Assets - \$10M orinally allotted							
Improved utilization	TBD	Facilities	Placeholder to fund moth balling and securing consolidated school sites or alternative uses.		\$7,000,000	-\$7,000,000	\$0
			Subtotal		\$7,000,000	-\$7,000,000	\$0

30 @OUSDnews









31

Schedule for Second Series B Bond Issuance

To support the District's desire to support resources available to encumber contracts by July 2023 (Q3)

<u>Draft Bond Financing Schedule</u>

- May: District Board Presentation / Possible 2x2 meetings
- June 7: District Board Approval of Resolution
- Week of July 17: Meeting with Rating Agency
- July 18: County Board of Supervisors Meeting
- July 28: Receive Rating
- August 8: Post Preliminary Official Statement
- August 16: Bond Pricing
- **August 30: Bond Closing**

www.ousd.org

Next Steps

Previous Engagements Steps 1 - 2

- 1. Bring to the Facilities Committee 3-16-23 Discussion
- 2. Present to CBOC 4-10-23 Discussion
- 3. Bring back to Facilities Committee for discussion, direction, deliberation of recommendations on 4-20-23
- 4. Bring to BOE on 4-26-23 to review, discuss, provide direction
 - Budget adjustments vs. scope reductions
 - Review, comment and approve Program Wide Initiatives Budget

32

5. Bring to BOE on May 10th to vote on approval of revised Spending Plan



Thank you

For more information, please reach out:

Kenya Chatman

Executive Director

Kenya.chatman@ousd.org