Board Office Use: Legislative File Info.							
File ID Number	19-0653						
Introduction Date	8/12/19						
Enactment Number							
Enactment Date							



Memo

Board Meeting Date

To Measure A, B & J Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee

From Timothy White, Deputy Chief, Facilities Planning and Management

August 12, 2019

Subject Response to Moss Adams 2017-2018 Measure B & J audit

Action Review and discussion of staff report on responses to the issues

of concern raised in the Moss Adams 2017-2018 Measure B & J

audit.

Background The Moss Adams 2017-2018 Measure B & J audit

/Discussion contains a number of findings and other critical issues to be

addressed by The District and the Department of Facilities

Planning and Management in particular.

Recommendation Review and discussion of staff report on responses to the issues

of concern raised in the Moss Adams 2017-2018 Measure B & J

audit.

Fiscal Impact N/A

Attachment Staff Response to Moss Adams 2017-2018 Measure B & J audit

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
CAPA 1	Expenditure Management and Controls	The ballot language addresses projects at the District and school site levels; however, it is unclear if some expenditures are allowable per the Bond language.	The District should continue to consult with its legal counsel to ensure costs incurred for the expenditures identified in Appendix D are allowable under the terms of the Measure J and Measure B bond language. Results of this consultation should be reported to the appropriate personnel (i.e., relevant District management, the Board, and CBOC) to ensure transparency and remediation take place, as necessary. Further, the District should formalize and report on relocation and/or reconstruction plans of the administration office building to ensure key stakeholders understand the total impact to the Bond Program.	The Faciliies Department has regularly consulted with internal and external legal counsel and bond counsel and complied with their recommendations at the time. Guidance from same has been regularly shared with District management and reported to CBOC. The current relocation plan for the administration building was approved by the governing board on June 2, 2019	Fiscal year 2019-20	
CAPA 2	Expenditure Management and Controls	The District could not provide adequate documentation to support staff charge allocations to the Bond Program.	The District should implement the processes and controls needed to provide supporting documentation that validates the applicability and accuracy of labor charged to the Bond Program consistent with Opinion 04-110. Specifically, a timekeeping system, or equivalent tracking system, should be implemented so that all labor costs incurred are identifiable, compliant, and have a direct beneficial relationship to the Bond Program. Additionally, the District should evaluate labor amounts charged to the Bond Program to ensure appropriateness and compliance with Opinion 04-110. Results of this evaluation should be reported to the appropriate personnel (i.e., relevant District management, the Board, and CBOC) to ensure transparency and remediation take place, as necessary. Finally, OUSD should consider reviewing the District's staffing plan to ensure reporting is available that includes key metrics, such as positions staffed, number of personnel, number of planned projects, and dollar value of planned projects utilized by the District to help determine organizational structure alignment with Bond Program needs.	There are three staff members with a percentage allocation to the General Fund. Each of these staff regularly work substantially more hours than they are paid for and devote more than their allocated Bond funding to Bond Funded activities. We do not feel that it would be a good use of their time to fill out a detailed timesheeet to document this.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
CAPA 3	Expenditure Management and Controls	The District was unable to explain a \$19,717.50 discrepancy to the Bond Program when comparing the Labor Distribution Report and the Cost Ledger for FY 2017/18	The District should perform a regular reconciliation between the Labor Distribution Report and financial reports to ensure completeness and accuracy of labor charges to the Bond Program. This will help ensure the labor cost charged to Bond Programs is accurate and complete.	This expense reflects payments to Custodial staff for deep cleaning of facilities after major projects are completed, and to B&G staff for minor projects. Accurate supporting documentation was available in our manual filing system. The audit relied primarily on our electronic record. The District record system will not support full electronic record of this type of expense, but we are now keeping our own file of these expenses.	Fiscal year 2019-20	Open – New observation and recommendation
Observation 1.1	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	The District did not provide policies and procedures covering the process for developing and adhering to design and construction budgets.	The District should develop, implement, and consolidate their policies and procedures surrounding design and construction budgets to ensure consistent reporting, effective controls, accountability, and communication of the policies and procedures (see Recommendations 8 and 9.2 for further information). The Bond Program Budget information should have master plan update considerations (see Recommendation 1.2 for further information).	The Facilities Department has a comprehensive operations and policies manual and follows an effective process for developing and adhering to design and construction budgets. The budgetary and schedule impacts have been adjustments required to conform to new spending plans approved by Board or new legal guidance.	Fiscal year 2019-20	

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	The District's Facilities Master Plan lacked adequate project-specific information by Bond Measure.	Per the California School Boards Association's article Facilities Master Planning (FMP) dated 2007, "An FMP should be conducted or updated by district staff approximately every five to 10 years, or prior to any significant building project. Once a board has decided to conduct or update their district's FMP, it must do three things: Set goals and expectations for the plan; allocate resources and set direction for district staff and; assemble an advisory committee." Master planning procedures should be documented within policies and procedures (see Recommendation 1.1 for further information). The District should also consider updating the 2012 Master Plan to include relevant financial data, the list of project by Measure, and the prioritization of those projects.	Historicaly as a department Facilties has done Master Planning. The 2012 FMP did have project and specific site needs included. A detailed site by site analysis was conducted to help determine our district-wide needs. This evolved into the 2014 spending plan which detailed how all funds should be used and on what projects. At this time, the district had enough funds to accomplish the entire scope of work on the spending plan using only the \$475M Measure J bond funds. Important to note there was a 2yr delay in issuance of new bonds to start projects in 2012 as opposed to 2014. This was due to reluctance of CBO to issue new bonds. Subsequent to this, in 2015, the then supreintendent and Governing Board approved additional \$175M in projects for which there were no funding available. From 2015 to June 2018, the department was in a 3 year limbo. It was in June 2018 that a serious attempt was made at determing the feasibility of these projects which resulted in project deferments and hence the current spending plan. In 2016-17 there was a scheduled FMP, it evolved into a District wide Blueprint which ultimately didn't yield a sufficient FMP. To address and mitigate this short fall, Facilities is currently engaged with MK Think to finalize and present a new FMP to BOE by Dec. 2019.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 2	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	The District does not include revenues and expenditures by timeframe based on project forecasts to validate that sufficient funding is available to meet the financial requirements of Measure J objectives.	Consistent with the GFOA, the District should report the project schedule, expenditure and available revenues by project by Measure to ensure enough funds are available to complete a project. Per the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Capital Project Monitoring and Reporting best practices for Reporting on Projects Status and Activities states, "Meaningful reports should provide straightforward project informationHighlight significant changes to project scope, costs, schedule, or funding. To aid in the reporting, an annual snapshot of key schedule, cost estimate, and available funding information should be taken to establish baseline data for performance measures and report components." Without an updated schedule and the associated cash flow by project, it is difficult to see when the funds will be fully expended. Ensuring there are enough funds to complete a project prior to starting it and reporting the schedule of available revenues will help ensure projects that are started are adequately funded through completion and provide greater visibility into the program's financial position. Finally, schedule reporting and control policies and procedures should be implemented to ensure consistent tracking of Bond Program projects.	Contrary to the stated observation, details of both the budgets and expenditures are provided to the Citizens Bond Oversight Committee every month. This was one of the short comings of the auditors process of not reporting and working with on-site staff to show our documentation. As regards "ensure projects that are started are adequately funded", Facilities management totally agree. The governing board however did not feel that this mattered when an additional \$175M projects were arbitrarily added to the spending plan. With approval of Measure J spending in 2014, Facilities had adequate funding to complete all Board approved projects listed in 2014. The 2014 spending plan which detailed how all funds should be used and on what projects. At this time, the district had enough funds to accomplish the entire scope of work on the spending plan using only the \$475M Measure J bond funds. Important to note there was a 2yr delay in issuance of new bonds to start projects in 2012 as opposed to 2014. This was due to reluctance of CBO to issue new bonds. Subsequent to this, in 2015, the then supreintendent and Governing Board approved additional \$175M in projects for which there were no funding available. From 2015 to June 2018, the department was in a 3 year limbo. It was in June 2018 that a serious attempt was made at determing the feasibility of these projects which resulted in project deferments and hence the current spending plan.	Fiscal year 2019-20	

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
Observation 3.1	Program Management	The policies and procedures that guide accomplishment of the Bond Program schedule, scope management, and performance goals were incomplete and appeared to be out-of-date.	The District should develop, implement, and consolidate their policies and procedures surrounding performance goals to ensure consistent reporting, effective controls, accountability, and communication of the policies and procedures (see Observation No. 8 and 9.2 for further information).	The district is currently working on the revised Procedures Manual to address this issue.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 3.2	Program Management	Financial reporting lacked adequate details for key stakeholders to analyze the schedule and budgetary information at the program and project level.	Both the SDCTA and GFOA recommends that budget-to-actual comparisons of revenues, expenditures, cash flow, and fund balances be periodically reported. Change order reporting should include information such as itemized change amount, percentages, descriptions, change responsibility, date of approval, subtotals, and totals for easy end-user reference. Detailed budget-level information for current bond issuances allows the District to monitor budgetary performance against committed contract values and can serve as an early warning indicator for potential problems. This information provides decision makers time to consider actions that may be needed if major deviations in budget-to-actual results become evident. Both the SDCTA and GFOA comments that this information is essential input for demonstrating accountability and transparency. Additionally, cash flow reporting should include revenues, cash, and expenditures by timeframe to document that sufficient funding is available to meet financial requirements for Measure J and these figures should be consistently reported in the Bond program report. This documentation will also serve as a useful tool for District management and stakeholders to understand the timing of project schedules.	We will consider the Auditor's recommendation to update the policies and procedures in accordance with current laws and regulations to ensure compliance in managing bond-funded projects. The district will also look into	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 4.1	Program Management	There were 37 instances where payment processing took longer than contractual requirements and was not supported by a payment application/invoice rejection letter justifying the delay. Additionally, the District was unable to provide formalized policies and procedures defining payment procedures and controls.	The District should finalize payment processing policies and procedures to ensure the District's compliance with contractual agreements, state laws and ordinances, and other regulations. Not only will this help the District remain in compliance, but prompt payment promotes better relationships with the contractors and subcontractors, which can lead to more bidders and potentially better pricing on future projects.	There are two fundamental issues with this claim of late payment: 1) The date an invoice is recieved from a vendor is not the start of the contractual period for payment. The effective date is when all contractually required documentation supporting the invoice is submitted in the required format. No attempt was made by the auditors to document this, and 2)The inability to determine the actual receipt date for some of the invoices was due to the fact that the "Date received' stamp was not legible in some invoices. Facilities Division is replacing the stamp that will be more legible when scanned. The auditors did not meet with on-site staff to review our documentation.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 4.2	Program Management	The District was unable to validate that 23 expenditures were properly approved prior to payment.	The District should finalize policies and procedures related to the payment approval process and obtain Board approval as a best practice. The District should also implement controls to ensure proper review and approval prior to payment. Providing clear policies and procedures can help ensure the process is clear to personnel. Implementing controls helps prevent unauthorized payments from being processed.	All Facilties expenditures are signed of by the Director of Facilities and the Deputy Chief of Facilities. There have been previouse forms that have signature blocks for Program Management staff that were actually consultants. These forms are no longer used.	Fiscal year 2019-20	

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
Observation 5.1	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	Change Order No. 2 with Arntz/Focon Joint Venture, Inc. represented a 16.5% increase from the original contract value, which is not compliant with PCC 20118.4.	The District should update their policies and procedures to ensure future change orders are in compliance with PCC 20118.4 and best practices.	Previous Legal Counsel and Current Legal Counsel have continually confirmed that Change Orders in excess of 10% are supported by case law and are fully justified where there would be no public benefit to bidding the work required.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 5.2	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	Change order execution and associated Board approval was not timely.	The District should implement policies and procedures to include a proactive approach to addressing change order management reporting and controls, especially those for delays and time extensions. The changes should be addressed as they occur. Executing changes in a timely manner helps support good relationships with contractors and subcontractors allowing them to be paid for their work in a timely manner and lessening the risk of them stopping work or seeking claims.	The policy we follow proactively prevents delays in work, saves time, and reduces the number of individual items requiring Board approval. We have a policy of addressing all change order issues to avoid delay on the project. We do have a practice of packaging change orders to limit the number of individual Board Actions and we have a long lead time to get items on the Board Agenda. When requested by a contractor we do bring individual items to Board on an expedited basis.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 5.3	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	The policies and procedures surrounding change orders are conflicting, out of date and incomplete.	The GFOA recommends, within their article, "Documenting Accounting Policies and Procedures," that the documentation of accounting policies and procedures should be evaluated annually and updated periodically, no less than once every three years. As a best practice, the District should update and consolidate their policies and procedures surrounding change orders to ensure effective controls, accountability, and communication of the policies and procedures. Any changes in policies and procedures should be updated in the documentation promptly as they occur and a specific employee should be assigned the duty of overseeing this process. The resulting documentation can also serve as a useful training tool for staff.	The district is currently working on the revised Procedures Manual to address this issue.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 5.4	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	Change orders were not reported to key Measure J stakeholders to document change order impact and assigned responsibility.	As a best practice and consistent with the San Diego Taxpayers Association (SDCTA), OUSD should establish more robust change order reporting within Bond Program reporting to ensure end users understand change order impact and assigned responsibility. Change order reporting should include information such as itemized change amount, percentages, descriptions, change responsibility, date of approval, subtotals, and totals for easy end-user reference. Change order documentation should be available at the project and program level with both detailed and summary level information available. Summary and detailed change reporting is necessary to understand change order cause, responsibility, pricing, and compliance and to identify potential duplicated work scopes and/or redundancies caused by unclear scope objectives and/or expectations within the master plan. Absent this additional information, change order details are not adequately reported to end users. Per the SDCTA's Oversight Committee Best Practices, any alterations to project budgets or timelines with adequate explanations for these changes should be reported to the Citizen Oversight Committee. Policies and procedures surrounding change order management and controls should be updated accordingly to ensure consistent practices.	All Change Orders have always been reviewed and Approved by the Board as the primary Measure J stakeholder. The recommended detail is presented as part of the review and approval process. We will take this Observation as a request to similarly review all Change Orders with the CBOC. We would like to understand why the auditor is citing a non governmental agency that has as its operating principal a belief that the public good is better served through private enterprise in lieu of public service.	Fiscal year 2019-20	

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
Observation 5.5	Budgetary Management and Change Order Reporting and Controls	District policies and procedures lacked claims avoidance considerations that address reporting requirements to identify actions taken to identify or limit claim exposure.	As a best practice, OUSD should revise claims avoidance and control procedures within policy documents and update OUSD management reporting to reflect procedures performed to identify and avoid potential claims. The Bond Program report narrative section should address in detail potential differences with the contractor, such as responsibility for performing specific work. Particular care should be taken to record and preserve all possible data and evidence with respect to any matter that may become a basis for a contractor claim. As there may be many root causes for claims, the claims avoidance policy should include a detailed process that OUSD follows to identify the areas of exposure that may lead to a claim and steps taken to prevent claims, such as document control requirements, identification of appropriate communication channels, and proactive cost, schedule, and quality control procedures.	Our policies an procedures are focused on Change Order Management and Claims Avoidance. We have thourough requirements for maintaining records. We will add wording to clarify that one of the purposes of maintining these records is for Claims Avoidance in future versions of our policies and procedurs manual.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 6.1	Program Management	The policies and procedures surrounding procurement are out-of-date and incomplete.	As a best practice, the District should update and consolidate their policies and procedures surrounding procurement to ensure effective controls, accountability, and communication of the policies and procedures (see Recommendations 8 and 9.2 for further information).	This is part of our Work-in-progress. Facilities complies with current District Procurement policies. Facilities will work with the Chief Business Officer to coordinate and update policies in the future.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 6.2	Program Management	The District was unable to provide complete competitive solicitation documentation for two public works projects.	The District should maintain complete and consolidated bid and procurement documentation that is readily available in a central location, either physically or electronically. This will enable the District to verify compliance with applicable guidance. Additionally, as a best practice, the District should maintain a consolidated bid and procurement activity report that will allow District senior management to identify, prevent, or detect noncompliance with District policies and procedures, state laws, regulations, and best practices (e.g., not sole source procurement). A checklist or equivalent mechanism, with appropriate sign-offs on procurement requirements, can serve as a good tool for all relevant parties (Accounting, as well as Facilities and Procurement) to validate compliance with policy and procedure requirements.	The two projects cited were publicly bid. We have the documentation. We do not understand why the Audit Firm is making this incorrect observation.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 6.3	Program Management	The District did not provide sufficient documentation to allow us to review nine out of 17 sampled vendors, or 53%.	The District should maintain complete and consolidated bid and procurement documentation that is readily available in a central location, either physically or electronically (see Recommendation 6.2 for further information).	The Audit Firm did not make a field visit to view these documents and made their assesment based on what was available electronically. We do have the documentation in our files.	Fiscal year 2019-20	
Observation 6.4	Program Management	The District awarded and issued contracts to bidders prior to Board of Education approval.	The District should receive, and require, Board approval prior to issuing or awarding any contracts or Purchase Orders (see Recommendation 6.2 for further information).	We will seek to identify any instances of contracts ahead of Board Approval and review our policies and procedures to eliminate this practice.	Fiscal year 2019-20	

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
Observation 7	Management	The District's standardized items list for Bond Program materials procurement is not current and is potentially not complete.	The District should regularly update its standardized items and educational specifications list to accurately reflect the most up-to-date standards and guidance provided by local and state governments. The manual should include details such as material types, standard equipment and systems, manufacturer specification numbers, and minimum standards for new construction and modernization mandated by the District for projects undertaken. This manual should be provided to project architects and designers, and required products and system specifications should be provided to all bidders during the procurement process. As a best practice, these minimum standards mandated by the District should consider facility safety, energy conservation (e.g., Title 21 and 24), longevity, educational requirements and other appropriate regulations and standards. Procurement staff should be trained on how to utilize the standard specifications when procuring materials or services for the District. Additionally, OUSD should define how to make updates to the Standards Specifications document. This policy should ensure that documentation exists including the requestor and date of request, description of change, cost-benefit relationship for the change, approver and date of approval, and a time-stamped updated specifications document (see Recommendation 8 and 9.2 for further information). The cost-benefit analysis for significant specification changes should be approved by appropriate OUSD management. The Standard Specifications document should avoid including narrow scope requirements to prevent excessive pricing to OUSD.	Our Design Standards and Specifications are on the Facilities Website. These standards and specifications are regularly updated and reviewed with Information Technology, Buildings & Grounds, Custodial Servics and other departments to ensure that all parties responsible for maintaining and repairing our facilities are prepared to do so. We do review all changes for cost benefit and all changes are approved by the Director or Facilities Planning & Management.	Fiscal year 2019-20	Open – New observation and recommendation
Observation 8 and 9.1	Management	The District did not provide conflict of interest forms for the entire facilities management team.	As a best practice, the District should clearly document their policies and procedures surrounding conflict of interest, maintain a complete set of completed conflict of interest forms, and review their current forms to determine if additional action needs to be taken. Having consolidated, adequate, and documented policies and procedures as well as maintaining completed forms will provide insight to potential conflicts, allowing the District to make appropriate adjustments and help protect the District if conflict of interest issues arise.	The district has Board approved designated job titles that are required to completed the conflict of Interest forms. The auditor made no attempt to ascertain what those job titles are. They incorrectly assumed that everyone was required to complete one.	Fiscal year 2019-20	

Source	Category	Observation	Recommendation	Management Response	Planned Resolution Date	Status of Resolution
Observation and 9.2	8 Program Management	Policies and procedures were not centrally located, did not define roles and responsibilities and the process for updating manuals was not documented.	As a best practice, the District should consolidate all policies, procedures, and resolutions into one document to ensure effective controls and communication of the policies and procedures. The GFOA recommends, within their article "Documenting Accounting Policies and Procedures," that the documentation of accounting policies and procedures should be evaluated annually and updated periodically, no less than once every three years. Any changes in policies and procedures should be updated in the documentation promptly as they occur and a specific employee should be assigned the duty of overseeing this process. We recommend that construction program procedures are documented and updated in a similar manner. The resulting documentation can also serve as a useful training tool for staff.		Fiscal year 2019-20	