

## Long-Term Charter Lease: LPS Oakland R&D



Presented by OUSD Office of Charter Schools

Presented to OUSD Board

June 5, 2019











### Background



- LPS Oakland R&D was approved by the Board to apply for Prop 51 in 2017
- School was awarded \$28 million through Prop 51 from the State half as a grant, and half as a loan to be paid back over a 30-year period
- Before receiving Prop 51 funds, it is expected that the District will sign a longterm lease with the charter school
- LPS Oakland R&D plans to use Prop 51 funds as follows:
  - Build an independent classroom building
  - Create an Early College Center to be used by both Castlemont and LPS students









# Approved Long-Term Lease Criteria



- Long-term lease criteria for charter schools was approved by the Board on May 8, 2019
- Four areas worth up to 5 points each, for a total of 20 maximum points
  - Access (Community Benefit)
  - Equity
  - Quality
  - Operational Sustainability
- Minimum of 12 points required for a long-term lease









#### Long-Term Lease Criteria Applied to LPS R&D



The LPS R&D Prop 51 proposal received 18 of 20 points on the rubric

Area	Points	Rationale
Access	5	Proposal has clear benefit to Castlemont; positive sentiment; serves local neighborhood (90% from local area);
Equity	5	99% in-district; holds seats for newcomers and accepts students in most grade levels; reflective demographics (higher need than OUSD across English Learner, students with disabilities, low-income)
Quality	3	Majority Yellow+ on Dashboard for past 2 years; 60th percentile average on CORE growth
Operational Sustainability	5	Strong fiscal health for 5+ years; stable/growing enrollment for 5+ years; positive relationship with Castlemont; 2 notices in past 3 years (none involving student safety)







# Key Terms of the Lease



- Duration: July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2039
  - LPS has right to renew lease for two additional terms of 10 years each
- Final design approval must be given by the District Superintendent before the Prop 51 construction can begin
- LPS will cap enrollment at the current charter authorized limit, and agrees not to request a material revision for enrollment growth throughout the duration of this lease (unless mutually agreed upon by the District and LPS)
- LPS will not submit a Prop 39 request for classroom space once the school has occupied their newly-constructed space









### Financial Terms of the Lease



In addition to paying the cost of the State financing and the annual 1% oversight fee, LPS will pay additional funds to the District, according to the below schedule:

- Years 1-5 of the term: 0.5% of LCFF revenue, or Prop 39 may override
- Years 6-15 of the term: 0.5% of LCFF revenue
- Years 16-25 of the term: 0.75% of LCFF revenue
- Years 26-35 of the term: 1% of LCFF revenue
- Years 36 and beyond: 2% of LCFF revenue











### Timeline



Action	Date
Castlemont Community of Schools Presentation First read of Facilities Use Agreement	June 5, 2019
Vote on Facilities Use Agreement	June 12, 2019
Long-term lease begins	July 1, 2019
Anticipated date that Prop 51 construction is complete	August 2022







# Community Schools, Thriving Students















## Appendix

### Access (Community Benefit) Scoring

1 point	If lease involves co-location, clear negative impact on district school.  Community engagement with immediate/impacted community reveals largely negative sentiment, and charter is unable to successfully mitigate majority of concerns expressed.  School's programming is low demand.
3 points	If lease involves co-location, can show minimal negative impact on district school.  Community engagement with immediate/impacted community reveals neutral/mixed sentiment, and/or charter is able to successfully mitigate some of concerns expressed.  School offers medium-demand programming and/or does not have a clear community it is serving.
5 points	If lease involves co-location, can show a clear benefit to district school.  Community engagement with immediate/impacted community reveals largely positive sentiment, and/or charter is able to successfully mitigate majority of concerns expressed.  School offers high-demand programming with a clear community it is serving, either (a) the local neighborhood, or (b) a unique offering that draws families from across the city.

# **Equity Scoring**

1 point	Percent of in-district students is below 75%. School demographics overall are not reflective of OUSD.
	Severity of need is not reflective of OUSD.
	School does not enroll any new students after the incoming grade level.
3 points	At least 75% in-district students.
	School demographics overall are somewhat reflective of OUSD.
	Severity of need is somewhat reflective of OUSD.
	School enrolls new students at multiple grade levels.
5 points	At least 90% in-district students.
	School demographics are reflective of OUSD in terms of the following: % of English Learners,
	% of students with disabilities, % of low-income students (%EL+%SWD+%FRL>OUSD avg)
	Severity of need is reflective of OUSD in terms of the following: % of students with
	moderate/severe disabilities, % of newcomers.
	School enrolls new students at most grade levels and takes students mid-year, if seats
	become available.

## **Quality Scoring**

1 point	Overall and Subgroup academic performance and culture/climate measures are majority Orange/Red in recent 2 years.  CORE growth: Overall is below 50th percentile in recent year and/or most subgroups are below 50th percentile in recent year.
3 points	Meets either (a) or (b):  a. Dashboard: Overall and Subgroup academic performance and culture/climate measures are majority Yellow or a mix of many colors in recent 2 years.  b. CORE growth: Overall is above 50th percentile in recent year; at least half of subgroups are above 50th percentile in recent year.
5 points	Meets either (a) or (b):  a. State Dashboard: Overall and Subgroup academic performance and culture/climate measures are majority Green/Blue in recent 2 years.  b. CORE growth: Overall is above 70th percentile in recent year; majority of subgroups are above 50th percentile in recent year.

### Operational Sustainability Scoring

1 point	Weak school fiscal health in recent years. Unstable enrollment in recent years. Negative previous history of facility usage 5 or more notices of concern in recent 3 years and/or more than 1 involving student safety/welfare and/or notice(s) have not been resolved appropriately in the given timeframe.
3 points	Strong school fiscal health for 3-4 most recent years.  Stable past enrollment for 3-4 most recent years.  Neutral/mixed previous history of facility usage.  4 or fewer notices of concern in recent 3 years and up to 1 involving student safety/welfare (that was resolved appropriately in the given timeframe).
5 points	Strong school fiscal health for at least 5 most recent years.  Stable past enrollment for at least 5 most recent years.  Positive history of facility usage: relationship with district schools, efficient space usage  3 or fewer notices of concern in recent 3 years and none involving student safety/welfare.