## Opportunity Ticket Impact Analysis



Presentation to the Board of Education Charles Wilson Nana Xu

## Executive Summary

- The board is considering a new enrollment priority called "Opportunity Ticket" as a part of BP 5116.1 "Open Enrollment
- The opportunity ticket would allow students of closed or consolidated schools to be prioritized so those families impacted have a pathway to a higher, better-quality option
- For 2019/2020 lottery, special considerations will be granted to prospective $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Roots and current $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ graders at Roots
- For 2020 and beyond, the percent of seats set aside for Opportunity Tickets at any grade would not exceed 51\% of the available seats, with the total number of students assigned to the school not exceeding $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ of the total enrollment
- As we learn from implementation, opportunity ticket design will be subject to further modifications by the Opportunity ticket working group
- Preliminary analysis signal that opportunity ticket has the potential to increase quality options for families of impacted schools; however, it can also displace neighbors (particularly at high demand schools, small schools, and schools with high percentages of siblings and neighbors)


## Opportunity ticket design

## Rationale for Opportunity Ticket

- Blueprint for Quality Schools is centered around key principles of increasing quality, equity, access and fiscal Sustainability
- Currently, there is no enrollment prioritization for families impacted by closing schools and schools where families will need to move to a new location
- It is important for students of impacted schools to be prioritized so those families impacted have a pathway to a higher, better-quality option


## Board timeline

What we are voting on today (2/27)

- Creation of Opportunity Ticket Priority \& Superintendent's Opportunity Ticket Working Group \& planning of Opportunity Ticket design for 2020-2021 \& beyond
- Opportunity Ticket-inspired Pre-Lottery access for current Roots 6th \& 7th for 20192020
- Extending Roots Sibling Priority to CCPA for 2019-2020


## What we are voting on at a subsequent session

- Approval of Opportunity Ticket design for 2020-2021
- Consideration of feedback from Superintendent's Opportunity Ticket Working Group to inform planning \& implementation of Opportunity Ticket in future years


## Opportunity Ticket Enrollment Priority

The Opportunity Ticket was envisioned by parents and community leaders representing families most likely to be impacted by the changes that will occur as part of the Citywide Plan. The community would like a commitment from the Board to the concept of the Opportunity Ticket as a new Enrollment Priority in Board Policy 5116.1:
"Opportunity Ticket Priority: Previously, prioritization in the enrollment process was limited to siblings and neighborhood residents. There was an absence in prioritization for families impacted by closing schools and schools where families will need to move to a new location. The Opportunity Ticket will be granted enrollment priority in selecting a new school that they deem suitable for their children. Details regarding the implementation of the Opportunity Ticket can be found in Administrative Regulation 5116.1.
Neighborhood School Priority (Neighborhood Priority)."

## Roots/CCPA (2019-2020 lottery year)

Because the Board of Education voted to close Roots International Academy 14 days before the end of the on-time application period for the 2019 / 2020 placement lottery, special consideration will be made for:

Students attending Roots International Academy in $6^{\text {th }}$ or $7^{\text {th }}$ grades on or after 2/3/2019

## Opportunity Ticket-inspired Pre-Lottery

 access for current Roots 6th \& 7th for 2019-2020- Available spaces determined based historical attrition data
- Personal choice counselling/selection of 6 schools
- Students will be assigned to new school based on ranking in the following priority:

1. Students with siblings who will attend the school
2. Students who live in the attendance area
3. Students who are neither siblings or live in the attendance area

Prospective $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students who live within CCPA attendance boundary or who are siblings of students enrolled at Roots on or after 2/3/2019

- CCPA available $6^{\text {th }}$ grade seats increased to 128
- All students who live within the shared attendance boundary now will have increased access to seats at the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade at CCPA
- Students applying for the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade at CCPA who would have had a sibling attending Roots will be given the sibling priority at CCPA

> Specific Policy Change to
> Enrollment Priority in BP 5116.1

Transit passes will be provided to impacted students; more information provided in Administrative Regulations

# Current Opportunity Ticket design (2020-2021 Lottery Year-Beyond) 

- Families who meet the following criteria will be provided an opportunity ticket:
- Have a child currently attending the school that is a planned closure or that will be merging to another location
- Live in the attendance area of the school that is a planned closure or that will be merging to another location and would have sent their child to the school that is closing or merging to another location
- The Opportunity Ticket would be sequenced with other enrollment priorities in the following order:

1) Continuing Student; 2) Sibling; 3) Opportunity Ticket; 4) Neighbor; 5) School Staff; 6) Oakland Resident; and, 7) Open enrollment

- The Superintendent shall organize an Opportunity Ticket Working Group to inform planning \& implementation of Opportunity Ticket in future years. The working group shall include parents, students, community members, school site staff, and central office staff; this group shall be charged with proposing and designing the specific components of the Opportunity Ticket Enrollment Priority in the enrollment lottery for 2020-2021 and beyond.


## Appendix ROOTS/CCPA Impact Analysis

## Roots Student Choices for 19/20 (General Ed. as of $2 / 21 / 19$ )

| School | 6th to 7th transition | 7th to 8th transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bret Harte | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 0 | 1st Choice: 3 / 2nd Choice: 3 / 3rd Choice: 0 |
| CCPA | 1st Choice: 21 /2nd Choice: 10 / 3rd Choice: 8 | 1st Choice: 27 / 2nd Choice: 11 / 3rd Choice: 8 |
| Claremont | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 0 | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 0 |
| Edna Brewer | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 1 | 1st Choice: 5 / 2nd Choice: 2 / 3rd Choice: 2 |
| Elmhurst | 1st Choice: 11 / 2nd Choice: 6 / 3rd Choice: 5 | 1st Choice: 12 / 2nd Choice: 2 / 3rd Choice: 3 |
| Frick | 1st Choice: 7 / 2nd Choice: 6 / 3rd Choice: 2 | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 9 / 3rd Choice: 7 |
| Greenleaf | 1st Choice: 8 / 2nd Choice: 8 / 3rd Choice: 2 | 1st Choice: 5 / 2nd Choice: 6 / 3rd Choice: 1 |
| La Escuelita | 1st Choice: / 2nd Choice: / 3rd Choice: 0 | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 0 |
| LIFE | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 4 / 3rd Choice: 2 | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 3 / 3rd Choice: 2 |

## Roots Student Choices for 19/20 (General Ed. as of $2 / 21 / 19$ )

| School | 6th to 7th transition | 7th to 8th transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 7 / 3rd Choice: 3 | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 4 / 3rd Choice: 2 |
| Melrose | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 0 | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 0 |
| Montera | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 1 | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 0 |
| Parker | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 2 | 1st Choice: 3 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 1 |
| Roosevelt | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 2 / 3rd Choice: 3 | 1st Choice: 6 / 2nd Choice: 2 / 3rd Choice: 2 |
| SOL | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 3 / 3rd Choice: 1 | 1st Choice: 3 / 2nd Choice: 4 / 3rd Choice: 11 |
| UFSA | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 5 | 1st Choice: 1 / 2nd Choice: 3 / 3rd Choice: 4 |
| UPA | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 1 / 3rd Choice: 0 | 1st Choice: 2 / 2nd Choice: 5 / 3rd Choice: 2 |
| Westlake | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 0 | 1st Choice: 0 / 2nd Choice: 0 / 3rd Choice: 0 |

## Appendix

## Opportunity ticket Impact Analysis (under current proposal)

## Methodology

- This analysis sets out to answer how many seats would have been set aside district-wide for opportunity ticket holders across all schools if we ran a simulation on prior year's first round application data based on the current design without equity considerations
- It also allows us to determine how many neighbors would have been displaced if all opportunity ticket holders accepted their placement
- This initial analysis is limited to entry grades ( $\mathrm{K}, 6$, and 9 ); opportunity tickets are available at non-entry grades but seat availability is dependent on how many students choose to continue in their current school


## Caveats

- Historical outcomes can not determine future impact; future impact is determined by a range of factors including how many siblings, neighbors, and opportunity ticket holders apply to each school as well as how many schools are closed or consolidated
- This analysis is designed to assess the maximum number of displaced neighbors, but actual impact is likely to be less since not all opportunity ticket seats will be maxed out at every school
- Impact analysis conducted on current design; implications will change depending on design of Opportunity Ticket working group and need to be reassessed after input is taken into consideration
- Once the Opportunity Ticket working group decides on their proposed model, impact analysis will be updated again


## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings <br> who <br> applied <br> (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment ( $\mathrm{F}=10 \%$ * E ) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACORN <br> Woodland <br> Elementary | 16 | 45 | 29 | 14 | 291 | 29 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 3 |
| Allendale Elementary | 6 | 61 | 55 | 28 | 353 | 35 | 28 | 27 | 10 | 0 |
| Bella Vista Elementary | 10 | 64 | 54 | 27 | 420 | 42 | 27 | 27 | 8 | 0 |
| Bridges <br> Academy | 7 | 71 | 64 | 32 | 433 | 43 | 32 | 32 | 11 | 0 |
| Brookfield Elementary | 5 | 39 | 34 | 17 | 246 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 0 |
| Burckhalter Elementary | 1 | 36 | 35 | 17 | 228 | 23 | 17 | 18 | 2 | 0 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings who applied (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at $10 \%$ of total student enrollment ( $\mathrm{F}=10 \%$ *E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors <br> who <br> applied <br> (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carl B. <br> Munck Elementary | 1 | 44 | 43 | 21 | 226 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 1 | 0 |
| Chabot Elementary | 43 | 94 | 51 | 26 | 56 | 57 | 26 | 25 | 54 | 29 |
| Cleveland Elementary | 21 | 65 | 44 | 22 | 411 | 41 | 22 | 22 | 27 | 5 |
| Community United Elementary | 7 | 56 | 49 | 24 | 364 | 36 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 0 |
| Crocker Highlands Elementary | 37 | 73 | 36 | 18 | 473 | 47 | 18 | 18 | 70 | 52 |
| East Oakland Pride | 9 | 55 | 46 | 23 | 326 | 33 | 23 | 23 | 7 | 0 |

Note: Historical outcomes can not fully determine future impact; future impact is determined by a range of factors including how many siblings, neighbors, and opportunity ticket holders apply to each school as well as how many schools are closed or consolidated; the above analysis represents the maximum number of displaced neighbors if opportunity ticket holders are maxed out at each school and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings who applied (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student <br> enrollment <br> (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment (F=10\%*E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery (H=C-G) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emerson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 3 | 50 | 47 | 23 | 293 | 29 | 23 | 24 | 7 | 0 |
| EnCompass Academy <br> Elementary | 7 | 52 | 45 | 22 | 326 | 33 | 22 | 23 | 4 | 0 |
| Esperanza Elementary | 7 | 56 | 49 | 24 | 352 | 35 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 0 |
| Franklin Elementary | 19 | 93 | 74 | 37 | 680 | 68 | 37 | 37 | 17 | 0 |
| Fred T. <br> Korematsu <br> Discovery <br> Academy | 4 | 46 | 42 | 21 | 317 | 32 | 21 | 21 | 4 | 0 |
| Fruitvale Elementary | 8 | 53 | 45 | 22 | 327 | 33 | 22 | 23 | 10 | 0 |

Note: Historical outcomes can not fully determine future impact; future impact is determined by a range of factors including how many siblings, neighbors, and opportunity ticket holders apply to each school as well as how many schools are closed or consolidated; the above analysis represents the maximum number of displaced neighbors if opportunity ticket holders are maxed out at each school and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings <br> who <br> applied <br> (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ( |  |  |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings who applied (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school ( $\mathrm{D}=51 \%$ *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at $10 \%$ of total student enrollment ( $\mathrm{F}=10 \%$ *E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied <br> (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hillcrest Elementary | 15 | 43 | 28 | 14 | 387 | 39 | 14 | 14 | 36 | 22 |
| Hoover Elementary | 4 | 50 | 46 | 23 | 278 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 2 | 0 |
| Horace <br> Mann <br> Elementary | 5 | 53 | 48 | 24 | 345 | 35 | 24 | 24 | 8 | 0 |
| Howard Elementary | 1 | 33 | 32 | 16 | 185 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| International Community | 14 | 44 | 30 | 15 | 306 | 31 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 0 |
| Joaquin <br> Miller <br> Elementary | 29 | 66 | 37 | 18 | 422 | 42 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 9 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings who applied (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total student enrollment <br> (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at $10 \%$ of total student enrollment ( $\mathrm{F}=10 \%^{*} \mathrm{E}$ ) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery (H=C-G) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kaiser Elementary | 9 | 41 | 32 | 16 | 274 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| La Escuelita Elementary | 5 | 56 | 51 | 26 | 408 | 41 | 26 | 25 | 4 | 0 |
| La Escuelita Elementary | 20 | 29 | 9 | 4 | 408 | 41 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Lafayette Elementary | 0 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 117 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Laurel Elementary | 8 | 77 | 69 | 35 | 510 | 51 | 35 | 34 | 12 | 0 |
| Lincoln Elementary | 28 | 125 | 97 | 49 | 744 | 74 | 49 | 48 | 39 | 0 |

[^0] school as well as how many schools are closed or consolidated; the above analysis represents the maximum number of displaced neighbors if opportunity ticket holders are maxed out at each school and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Siblings who applied (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}$ ) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment ( $\mathrm{F}=10 \%$ *E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison Park Academy TK-5 | 2 | 50 | 48 | 24 | 304 | 30 | 24 | 24 | 2 | 0 |
| Manzanita Community | 7 | 66 | 59 | 30 | 399 | 40 | 30 | 29 | 7 | 0 |
| Manzanita SEED | 9 | 75 | 66 | 33 | 376 | 38 | 33 | 33 | 10 | 0 |
| Markham Elementary | 3 | 55 | 52 | 26 | 340 | 34 | 26 | 26 | 3 | 0 |
| Martin Luther King, Jr. | 1 | 52 | 51 | 26 | 255 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 6 | 0 |
| Montclair | 29 | 102 | 73 | 37 | 634 | 63 | 37 | 36 | 57 | 21 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Sibling s who applie d (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment (F=10\%*E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria ( $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Min}$ of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Highland Academy | 14 | 53 | 39 | 19 | 351 | 35 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 0 |
| Parker Elementary | 10 | 45 | 35 | 17 | 363 | 36 | 17 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| Peralta Elementary | 23 | 49 | 26 | 13 | 329 | 33 | 13 | 13 | 41 | 28 |
| Piedmont Avenue Elementary | 5 | 53 | 48 | 24 | 318 | 32 | 24 | 24 | 11 | 0 |
| PLACE | 1 | 28 | 27 | 13 | 172 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 0 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Sibling <br> s who applie d (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment (F=10\%*E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach Academy | 4 | 60 | 56 | 28 | 397 | 40 | 28 | 28 | 12 | 0 |
| Redwood <br> Heights <br> Elementary | 25 | 59 | 34 | 17 | 349 | 35 | 17 | 17 | 30 | 13 |
| Rise <br> Community | 4 | 39 | 35 | 17 | 242 | 24 | 17 | 18 | 4 | 0 |
| Sankofa Academy | 1 | 43 | 42 | 21 | 168 | 17 | 17 | 25 | 3 | 0 |
| Sequoia Elementary | 15 | 75 | 60 | 30 | 429 | 43 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Think College Now | 12 | 38 | 26 | 13 | 296 | 30 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 0 |
| Thornhill Elementary | 13 | 60 | 47 | 23 | 390 | 39 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 0 |

Note: Historical outcomes can not fully determine future impact; future impact is determined by a range of factors including how many siblings, neighbors, and opportunity ticket holders apply to each school as well as how many schools are closed or consolidated; the above analysis represents the maximum number of displaced neighbors if opportunity ticket holders are maxed out at each school and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

- @OUSDnews

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Sibling s who applie d (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at $10 \%$ of total student enrollment ( $\mathrm{F}=10 \%{ }^{*} \mathrm{E}$ ) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria ( $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Min}$ of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery (H=C-G) | Neighbors <br> who applied <br> (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alliance Academy | 9 | 95 | 86 | 43 | 338 | 34 | 34 | 52 | 55 | 3 |
| Bret Harte Middle | 21 | 145 | 124 | 63 | 572 | 57 | 57 | 67 | 53 | 0 |
| Claremont Middle | 22 | 139 | 117 | 59 | 443 | 44 | 44 | 73 | 89 | 16 |
| Coliseum College Prep Academy | 33 | 68 | 35 | 17 | 475 | 48 | 17 | 18 | 56 | 38 |
| Edna Brewer Middle | 26 | 250 | 224 | 114 | 757 | 76 | 76 | 148 | 138 | 0 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Sibling <br> s who applie <br> d (A) | Total <br> Entry <br> Grade <br> Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment (F=10\%*E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied <br> (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elmhurst Community Prep | 12 | 121 | 109 | 55 | 359 | 36 | 36 | 73 | 54 | 0 |
| Frick Middle | 3 | 69 | 66 | 33 | 197 | 20 | 20 | 46 | 25 | 0 |
| LIFE Academy | 28 | 65 | 37 | 18 | 449 | 45 | 18 | 19 | 1 | 0 |
| Madison Park <br> Academy 6-12 | 48 | 126 | 78 | 39 | 758 | 76 | 39 | 39 | 75 | 36 |
| Melrose Leadership Academy | 15 | 74 | 59 | 30 | 508 | 51 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 0 |
| Montera Middle | 31 | 244 | 213 | 108 | 735 | 74 | 74 | 139 | 85 | 0 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Sibling <br> s who applie d (A) | Total <br> Entry Grade Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment (F=10\%*E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roosevelt Middle | 18 | 154 | 136 | 69 | 498 | 50 | 50 | 86 | 93 | 7 |
| ROOTS <br> International <br> Academy | 7 | 115 | 108 | 55 | 295 | 30 | 30 | 78 | 55 | 0 |
| United for Success Academy | 12 | 108 | 96 | 48 | 349 | 35 | 35 | 61 | 30 | 0 |
| Urban Promise Academy | 15 | 117 | 102 | 52 | 369 | 37 | 37 | 65 | 67 | 2 |
| West Oakland Middle | 8 | 43 | 35 | 17 | 193 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 36 | 18 |
| Westlake Middle | 11 | 79 | 68 | 34 | 317 | 32 | 32 | 36 | 36 | 0 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

- @OUSDnews

ILLUSTRATIVE: data subject to change per design of working group

## Outcomes of simulation on 17/18 application data

| School | Sibling <br> s who applie d (A) | Total <br> Entry Grade Seats (B) | Remaining seats for entry grade (C=B-A) | Opportunity tickets set aside at every OUSD school (D=51\% *C) | Total <br> student enrollment (E) | Opportunity tickets set aside at 10\% of total student enrollment (F=10\%*E) | Actual \# of opportunity tickets set aside taking into account both criteria (G=Min of F\&D) | Remaining seats for neighbors and general lottery ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ ) | Neighbors who applied (I) | Max \# of displaced neighbors in $1^{\text {st }}$ round if all opportunity ticket holders apply and accept (compare H and I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Castlemont High | 20 | 235 | 215 | 109 | 825 | 83 | 83 | 132 | 99 | 0 |
| Fremont High | 12 | 193 | 181 | 92 | 791 | 79 | 79 | 102 | 36 | 0 |
| McClymonds High | 1 | 103 | 102 | 52 | 370 | 37 | 37 | 65 | 11 | 0 |
| Oakland High | 42 | 415 | 373 | 190 | 1488 | 149 | 149 | 224 | 92 | 0 |
| Oakland Technical High | 107 | 487 | 380 | 193 | 1882 | 188 | 188 | 192 | 174 | 0 |
| Skyline High | 63 | 471 | 408 | 208 | 1700 | 170 | 170 | 238 | 74 | 0 |
| MetWest High | 6 | 41 | 35 | 17 | 174 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0 |


 and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

## High demand schools, schools with high percentage of siblings, and small schools had greatest potential for the displacement of neighbors

## 16-17 Schools with highest number of potential neighbor displacement in $1^{\text {st }}$ round lottery at entry grade

- Madison Park Academy 6-12 (Max: 58)
- Coliseum College Prep Academy (Max: 36)
- Hillcrest Elementary (Max: 27)
- Peralta Elementary (Max: 25)
- Crocker Highlands Elementary (Max: 23)
- Glenview Elementary (Max: 16)
- West Oakland Middle (Max: 15)
- Montclair Elementary (Max: 14)
- Oakland Technical High (Max: 14)
- Sequoia Elementary (Max: 12)
- Chabot Elementary (Max: 11)
- Urban Promise Academy (Max: 5)

17-18 Schools with highest number of potential neighbor displacement in $1^{\text {st }}$ round lottery at entry grade

- Crocker Highlands (Max: 52)
- Coliseum College Prep Academy (Max: 38)
- Madison Park Academy (Max: 36)
- Chabot Elementary (Max: 29)
- Peralta Elementary (Max: 28)
- Hillcrest Elementary (Max: 22)
- Montclair Elementary (Max: 21)
- West Oakland Middle (Max: 18)
- Claremont Middle (Max: 16)
- Redwood Heights (Max: 13)
- Joaquin Miller (Max: 9)
- Roosevelt (Max: 7)
- Cleveland Elementary (Max: 5)

[^1] and all opportunity ticket holders accept their placement; alternative schools excluded from above analysis

## Data subject to change as additional information yields greater accuracy

Roots/CCPA

## Financial Impact Analysis: Close Roots

|  | '18-19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 1 \\ (' 19-20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 2 \\ (' 20-21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 3 \\ (' 21-22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 4 \\ (' 22-' 23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 5 \\ (' 23-24) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL CERTIFICATED FTE's <br> (teachers and administrators) |  | \$390,923 | \$400,696 | \$410,713 | \$420,981 | \$431,506 |
| TOTAL CLASSIFIED FTE's (clerical) |  | \$109,580 | \$112,320 | \$115,128 | \$118,006 | \$120,956 |
| total centrally PROVIDED FTE's (counselors, SSOs) |  | \$108,108 | \$110,811 | \$113,581 | \$116,421 | \$119,331 |
| Per classroom costs |  | \$3,075 | \$3,152 | \$3,231 | \$3,311 | \$3,394 |
| Total operational savings |  | \$611,686 | \$626,979 | \$642,653 | \$658,719 | \$675,187 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation cost |  | \$(30,000) | \$(30,000) |  |  |  |
| Closure celebration funds | \$(5,000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue loss from enrollment attrition |  | \$ 273,899$)$ | \$ 280,736 ) | \$ 287,754 ) | \$(294,948) | \$(302,322) |
| Total savings from closure | \$(5,000) | \$307,798 | \$316,243 | \$354,899 | \$364,771 | \$372,866 |

## Data subject to change as additional information yields greater accuracy

Roots/CCPA Expand CCPA

| ('18-19) | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 1 \\ \left({ }^{(19-’ 20)}\right. \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 2 \\ (' 20-21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR 3 } \\ (' 21-22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 4 \\ (' 22-' 23) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YR } 5 \\ (' 23-24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 21 | 42 | 63 | 84 |
|  | \$0 | \$259,381 | \$531,731 | \$817,536 | \$1,117,299 |
|  |  | \$(93,377) | \$(191,423) | \$(294,313) | \$(402,228) |
|  | \$(197,774) | \$(202,718) | \$(207, 786 ) | \$(212,981) | \$ 218,305 ) |
|  |  | \$ $(60,470)$ | \$(123,963) | \$(190,594) | \$ $(260,478)$ |
|  |  | \$(1,969) | \$(3,938) | \$(5,906) | \$(7,875) |
|  | $(\$ 50,000)$ | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | $(\$ 247,774)$ | \$(99,153) | \$4,620 | \$113,742 | \$228,413 |
| \$(5,000) | \$60,024 | \$217,089 | \$359,519 | \$477, |  |

Total FISCAL IMPACT (CCPA AND ROOTS)


[^0]:    Note: Historical outcomes can not fully determine future impact; future impact is determined by a range of factors including how many siblings, neighbors, and opportunity ticket holders apply to each

[^1]:    Note: Historical outcomes can not fully determine future impact; future impact is determined by a range of factors including how many siblings, neighbors, and opportunity ticket holders apply to each school as well as how many schools are closed or consolidated; the above analysis represents the maximum number of displaced neighbors if opportunity ticket holders are maxed out at each school

