

2017-18 Measure N Planning Grant Application Checklist

Oakland School for the Arts

Category	Feedback
Is the application complete (all fields completed)?	Yes
<p>Is there a clear and logical flow between your:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● demographic and pathway data (from the excel sheet Kevin Schmidke prepared) ● self assessment (language and rankings) ● self assessment and the narrative reflection on the self assessment in each of the 5 categories ● actions you plan to take during your planning period align to the self reflection ● outcomes you've set for those activities feel like a direct result of the planning and piloting. ● if you follow the logic in your application will you meet the overall outcomes outlined by Measure N 	<p>There is a clear logical flow.</p> <p>Application uses the self assessment language in the current narrative.</p> <p>The purpose and outcomes of Measure N are addressed in the logic of the plan.</p> <p>Pathway theme: Art</p>
Did you enter your vision and mission, if your pathway or school site has one (if not, is there an activity to develop those)?	Mission and vision are stated.
Do you have signature practices of your school or pathway (if not do you have a plan to get there?)	Yes
Does the language in the self assessment and reflection sections match the language in the Measure N Self Assessment rubric (i.e. if a school ranked itself a Level 3 in a certain category, did they use	Specific language from the rubric is used in the application.

Level 3 language in their assessment and reflection)?	
Do you have a section in category 1 that addresses the creation and development of your Design Team (in the fall or summer)?	The activities listed alludes to a Design team being established.
Do you include opportunities for Design team members and others to visit programs, pathways, academies, and/or schools that have model programs that you want your pathway to emulate or learn from, that might stimulate your imaginations and spark your creativity and innovation?	Yes
Do you include ways to gain feedback on various stages of your planning process from industry and/or community partners during the planning period?	The plan does have some planning activities listed that includes feedback and partnership with industry and/or community partners.
Did you include the investigation of research and/or research-based practices that bear on the areas you want to develop in your planning process?	No
Do you include opportunities for study groups or text study groups to work together to investigate aspects of your plan?	Not clear
Do you include opportunities for cycles of inquiry into practices you may wish to pilot in the planning year?	Some reference to cycles of inquiry although could be more explicit and intentional.
Do you include retreats for planning time, and other opportunities to get together with your Design team, pathway teachers, and other stakeholder groups to plan together?	Yes
Do you include opportunities to exchange best practices or even emerging practices with other pathways at your site, or at other schools in the district?	No

<p>Do you include opportunities to gain "critical friends" (using protocols) feedback from other pathways at your site or at other sites in the district?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>And, finally, did you show how you will spend all of but not more than the projected allocation of planning funds?</p>	<p>Based on student enrollment the amount of funds available is \$90,00.</p> <p>The site has demonstrated how the full \$90,00 will be spent.</p> <p>Possible supplanting: \$27,000: 0.4 FTE Counselor \$2,900: 5 Student Support Providers</p> <p>It is unclear how these allocations are supplemental, more information is required as to how these allocations support pathway development and how they are supplemental and not supplanting.</p>

Final Staff Recommendation	
Approved Site must provide information to address supplanting concerns before the release of funds.	\$200 per student

Measure N Commission Recommendation*:

On June 20th, 2017 the Measure N Commission voted 5-0 to endorse staff recommendation of “Approved” for the 2017-18 school year. The Commission agreed to forward this recommendation to the governing board for final approval.

Measure N Commission Recommendation	
Approved for Planning Grant Site must provide information to address supplanting concerns before the release of funds.	\$200 per student