

Measure N Education Improvement Plan Design Assessment and Recommendation

Measure N Planning Process: 2017-18 Measure N Plan

Aspire Golden State Prep

Checklist of Required Elements:

- ✓ Submitted Measure N Education Improvement Plan (SPSA)
- ✓ Submitted Measure N Budget for 2017-18
- ✓ Submitted Measure N Self Assessment

- ✓ Submitted Measure N Presentation
- ✓ Submitted Program of Study
- ✓ Presented to Measure N Commission

Criteria 1: Measure N Overall Design Process Assessment: Has the School demonstrated a robust design process that leads to the development of the 4 Essential Elements of a Linked Learning Pathway?

(NOTE: If you do not receive a 4 in this category, the highest final recommendation you can receive is "Developing" and the final recommendation will reflect quality of the plan and the alignment of expenditures to build out Linked Learning Pathways.)

| Category | Full Implementation | Developing | Planning | No Implementation |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Evidence of Comprehensive Pathway Readiness Pathway Development Readiness Rubric | (Developing There is no ethe CTE indu There is no eto support pa There is no eto staff with ind | proposal with some sevidence of curriculur listry aligned sequence vidence of a plan to atthway development evidence that current ustry expertise | proposal with no supposupporting evidence) on development and the se develop teacher leade staff have expertise or ow pathway will address | n all categories e development of rship and capacity a plan to recruit |

Criteria 2: Measure N Overall Pathway Assessment: Has the School Developed the 4 Essential Elements of a Linked Learning Pathway?



(NOTE: If you do not receive a 4 in this category, the highest final recommendation you can receive is "Developing" and the final recommendation will reflect quality of the plan and the alignment of expenditures to build out Linked Learning Pathways.)

| Category | Full Implementation | Developing 3 | Planning 2 | No Implementation 1 |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------|
| Evidence of Comprehensive Pathway Program (Measure N Self Assessment) Rigorous Academics Integrated in Pathway Integrated Students Supports Work Based Learning Industry Theme and CTE Sequence | Score: 1 Rationale: Pathways so | re a minimum of 1 (Beginning & Designing) on all catego | | |

| Criteria 2: Quality of the Measure N Education Improvement Plan (SPSA) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| Category | Excelling 4 | Meeting 3 | Approaching 2 | Beginning 1 |
| Coherence (Measured by alignment of plan) Site leadership is redesigning larger school structures, systems, and processes to support quality pathway development Site leadership and staff understand pathway development plans and the role they play in ensuring the implementation of these plans | Score: 1 Rationale: • Master schedule has been revised to allow for more options but it is unclear what type of pathway development is occurring and what options are available for students | | | |
| Research Question The design team has developed clear research questions that lead the inquiry process for the design team The research questions should drive the root-cause analysis for targeted sub-groups that are not achieving in key outcome indicators Research questions should determine area of focus and identify key areas for root cause analysis | Score: 3 Rationale: Research question led the inquiry process for the design team Research question led root-cause analysis for one specific sub-group | | | |
| Deeper Learning Evidence of root-cause analysis into specific areas of focus outlined by Measure N and Linked Learning as driven by research analysis above. Evidence of at least 2 additional site visits and further exploration into best practices, literature review, and survey, as driven by results of root cause analysis. Clear commitment to sharing information with the broader community. | There is very pathways | vidence of literature re ery little evidence of s that can inform the C evelopment, integratio | ite visits to entreprer TE and curriculum de | neurship evelopment, |



| | T |
|---|---|
| Pata Analysis Review of Measure N outcome data analysis that must address all 6 areas for reflection including cohort graduation rates, dropout rates, A-G rates, students who are not on track to graduation because they have D's and F's, student attrition, climate and culture indicators. Schools and pathways have disaggregated data based on demographics to identify subgroups (LCAP) that are not achieving key outcome indicators. Root Cause Analysis is a deep reflection of school site or pathway uncovering key issues that are impacting student achievement in each of the areas outlined. Data includes Measure N Self-Assessment (rubric) against categories of Linked Learning Pathway Design criteria | Rationale: There is evidence that there is a need for disaggregated data in order to help uncover key issues that are impacting student achievement The root cause of the Post-Secondary Readiness challenge is unclear and demonstrates a need for disaggregated data and an opportunity to further investigate why students have a low exit reading level |
| Clear Theory of Action Design Team has articulated a theory of action that bridges from their root cause analysis logically into their goals and strategies. For large comprehensive schools, there is alignment between school site plan and pathway plans so that they complement each other. | Score: 2 Rationale: • There is some evidence that the theory of action bridges the root cause analysis to the goals and strategies. |
| Goals Clearly articulated goals that are specifically aligned to the data analysis, deeper learning, and are logically connected to the theory of action. Goals are specific, measurable, and will ultimately lead to improved student outcomes. The resulting Design for site and Pathway development reflects Linked Learning Pathway design criteria. The resulting Design articulates how it will address the root cause analysis and data points related to student learning outcomes. | Score: 2 Rationale: • Goals are not clearly aligned to the data and root cause analysis |
| Strategies Strategies meet the goals, are research based, and have proven effective for improving equitable student outcomes Strategies are embedded in inquiry design so as to produce evidence of their enacting the theory of action and achieving the goals. The school/pathway have articulated goals that: establish new practices to support student outcomes, current strategies that are effective in meeting Measure N outcomes, the purpose of Measure N, and the instructional focus for professional development in the upcoming year. | Score: 2 Rationale: • It is unclear how some of the strategies will support or be integrated with the pathway design |
| Implementation: Measure N Work Plan Work plan Identifies how key stakeholder groups will be involved in implementation of the plan, how they will be supported, and accountability structures for ensuring quality implementation. Work plan leads to cycles of inquiry and continuous improvement for the school community. Implementation includes a realistic timeline and "project management" plan including adequate and persisting resources to support key goals and strategies. Work plan is embedded into a well articulated team structure for the school site to distribute leadership across the school community. The school/pathway has developed a plan and procedures for entering into a cycle of continuous improvement with leadership and pathway teams charged with implementing the plan. A clear cycle of continuous improvement is embodied in the focus on Design, Continuous Improvement of Signature Practices, and Instructional Strategies that are the foci for the school site's SPSA | Score: 1 Rationale: • There is very little evidence of a plan to build out all key pillars of a Linked Learning pathway |



| Criteria 3: Alignment of Funding to Linked Learning Criteria and SPSA | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Category | Complaint & Aligned | Compliant Partially Aligned | Non-Compliant Supplanting Not Allowable | Missing 1 |
| Expenditures must be clearly in support of and come from the logical thruline that is evident in the Education Improvement Plan (SPSA) Expenditures should support and align to specific parts of your SPSA to support students and pathway development. All students receive benefit from Measure N Funding with the resources following the students directly students identified in the root cause analysis. Expenditures should support the Theory of Action, should address the Root Cause Analysis, and should ensure the implementation of the Strategies in order to meet the Goals of your SPSA and the purpose of Measure N Budget provides clear resources that are embodied in the school/pathways master schedule in clearly articulated ways. Implementation includes a realistic timeline and "project management" plan including adequate and persisting resources to support it Expenditures must be used to supplement (increase the level of services) and not supplant (replace) funds from any sources Expenditures are in addition to, and not in place of, services that would otherwise be provided to participating students with state and local funds if Measure N funds were not available Expenditures are not being used to cover the expenses of programmatic elements, staff salary, and | elemen site if N There is support allocate Expend alignme | ts, staff salary, and leasure N funds we so a clear need to ir pathway build out and in that way litures require furth | nvest Measure N funds in t and the majority of the function ner justification to demons of Measure N and to dem | ded by the ways that unds are not |

costs that were previously being funded by the school



| Final Recommendation | Funding |
|---|--|
| Probationary Measure N Education Improvement Plan (SPSA) demonstrates school site needs to develop key conditions required for quality pathway development and/or needs to develop the key pillars of Linked Learning. | Full Funding* (\$850 per student) *Sites will receive Full Funding during the 1 Year of Probationary status. |
| Sites will be expected to allocate funding to one of the Support Providers listed below in order to receive direct support and guidance in the pathway development process. Required Support Providers: Hire a .5 FTE Pathway Coach to support Pathway Development Pathway Coach is required to participate in OUSD Pathway Coaches' Community of Practice Hire a consultant that supports Linked Learning Pathway Development ConnectEd Linked Learning Alliance CCASN Career Ladders Pivot Learning Partners | Sites will be expected to have addressed the areas of growth by the end of the 1 Year of Probationary status and must demonstrate this during the annual May presentations to the Measure N Commission. Sites that do not follow the process outlined above will be re-evaluated in May 2018 and will receive Planning Grant Funding. |
| Sites will be expected to present to the Measure N Commission in the fall on their action plan, updated Measure N Education Improvement Plan (SPSA), and progress. Site will be prioritized by the Measure N Commission for a follow up site visit during the year. | |

Strengths:

- Awareness of need for pathway development
- Awareness of master schedule constraints

Key Questions:

- How do you plan to integrate your pathway theme into your instructional core?
- What is the root cause of students who are in 9th grade becoming off track and moving on to being in 11th grade and still off track?
 - What is the disaggregated data for this specific group of students?
- What types of support are provided for 9th graders who are off track?
- What is your plan for professional development for teachers to prepare them to teach in your pathway?



- What is your plan for curriculum development?
- What is your plan to engage English Language Learners within the pathway?
- What industry partners will you engage with to build out your pathway to ensure career industry standards are embedded and that would support the development of CTE core sequence?

Possible Supplanting:

- Reading Specialist
- Math teacher
- Math intervention course
- Restorative Justice Coordinator
- BUILD partnership

Next Steps:

| What | Suggested Lead | Deliverable | Date |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Hire a required support provider as listed above | Principal | Support provider role | Fall 2017 |
| Reallocation of Measure N funds from programmatic expenditures that should be covered by the school site to expenditures that support specific pathway development and pathway buy-in | Principal and Design Team | Revised Budget | June 30th |
| Revise Measure N budget to ensure transparent alignment to purpose of Measure N and to ensure specific dollar amounts for all expenditures | Principal and Design Team | Revised Budget | June 30th |
| Develop clear action plan for 2017-18 that will support a clear pathway theme, quality pathway buildout, curriculum development, and teacher buy-in | Design Team | Action Plan | Summer 2017 |
| Update Program of Study to reflect 2017-18 | Principal and Design Team | Updated Program of Study | Fall 2017 |