

Presentation on General Obligation Bond Board Policy: A Recommendation May 27, 2015

ACCOUNTABLE SCHOOL DISTRICT Subcommittee:
Asset Management

Subcommittee Co-Chairs:

Mia Settles-Tidwell, Chief Operations Officer

Olis Simmons, Youth UpRising

Lance Jackson, Interim Deputy Chief of Facilities, Planning and Management

Background

- In January of 2015, the Superintendent launched three Strategic Plan Committees to ensure community input and implementation of the Pathway to Excellence 2015-2020.
- Almost 100 (99) of community letters of interest were for the Accountable School District Committee.
- The Accountable School District committee formed a subcommittee on Asset Management. 40 Accountable School District Committee Members selected to serve on the committee

• All agendas, information and materials shared in committee meetings are available to the public at



Accountable School District Committee Members

District-Charter Quality School Asset Management Collaborative Development Yulanda Smith Charlene Johnson Leticia Araujo-Perez **Allan Donnelly** Alanna Lim **Chastity Garcia Emma Paulino** Lance Jackson **Jody London** Gloria Lee Farah Charania **Mike Hutchinson Melvin Phillips Julie McMillan Yusef Carrillo Minh-Tram Nguyen** Sharon Falk **Lorraine Mann** Olis Simmons **Arianna Morales Brock Grubb** Vinh Trinh **Enomowyi Booker** Dr. Toni Cook **Alice Sung Doug Appel** Calvin Ho **Karen Todd Adam Miller Iman Mills Gordon** Barbara Allen Darin Jensen **Iames Harris Amarit Ubhi** Melisha Linzie Jean Wing Susan Radke **Karen Bullocks** Carla Henderson

<u>Subcommittee on Asset Management</u>

Subcommittee Guiding Question:

What **prioritization criteria** or **system** should be established to equitably allocate **bond funds** for facility upgrades, modernizations and construction projects to meet strategic & programmatic goals, to **attract more students**/families to OUSD and **ensure voter trust thereby creating voter approval of future bonds?**

Subcommittee Charge:

The subcommittee on Asset Management is charged to provide a recommendation for a General Obligation Bond Prioritization Board Policy by May 13, 2015.

Purpose of the Policy on General Bond Prioritization













Sets a definite course of action and help to guide and determine present and future planning and decisions on Bond Project Prioritization.

Identify Subject Matter Area

General Obligation Bond Prioritization was identified because of the following:

- No Current Policy on General Obligation Bond Prioritization
- 2. Our capital project needs outweigh our current general obligation bond funds amounts

1. Important to have a clear, transparent and equitable manner to address capital projects

Gathering information & Input

Subcommittee Activities:

Date	Activity	Outcome
2/26/2015	Review of Measure J & B Scope	Subcommittee Listed the Types of Capital Projects Measure J & B covers
3/12/2015	Read Prioritization Article	Subcommittee identified prioritization categories/criteria
3/26/2015	Guest Experts on School Programmatic Vision/ 21 st Century Schools: Chief of Schools	Subcommittee established an approach to all capital projects driven by programmatic/instructi onal vision

Gathering information & Input

Subcommittee Activities:

Date	Activity	Outcome
4/2/2015	Review of Bond Prioritization models from other District; Prioritization Tool	Subcommittee provided categories and criteria for scoring/weighting projects
4/23/2015	Review of Draft Board Policy on Bond Prioritization	Subcommittee co- authored a draft board policy on bond allocation
5/6/2015	Post Memo, Presentation and recommended Policy to the Board Agenda for May 13, 2015	1 st Reading of Board Policy on Bond Prioritization

Revisions to Bond Prioritization Policy 7112

The Board directed the Superintendent/staff to make the following revisions to the policy:

- Remove the language about establishing a committee
- Add the Asset Management Policy to the language and ensure alignment of the policies

The staff through the Accountable School District Committee is preparing administrative regulations to be shared at the June 10, 2015 Regular Board Meeting.

Board Policy Development Steps

- ✓ Identify & focus on a subject matter
- ✓ Gather information and input
- ✓ Prepare and review a draft
- ✓ First reading- May 13, 2015
- ✓ Revise a draft, (if necessary)
- Second reading- May 27, 2015
- Adopt the policy- May 27, 2015

Recommended Board Policy on General Obligation Bond Prioritization

Prioritization criteria will be considered in scoring all potential projects for bond prioritization:

- Safety & Compliance
- Strategic Plan and District Priorities or Initiatives
- Value to Community and District

Recommended Board Policy on General Obligation Bond Prioritization

Prioritization criteria will be weighted using additional criteria including <u>equity</u>, <u>a standard of care</u> for a region or school site, <u>timing</u>, and potential for projects to <u>leverage additional resources</u>.

Projects will be prioritized using weighted prioritization <u>criteria</u> and a bond allocation tool that takes into account the magnitude of each project (scale, impact, cost, timeline, etc.), and available bond funds.

Recommended Board Policy on General Obligation Bond Prioritization

• Each project will be approached in a manner that meets the vision for the educational program at the site in a way that deepens the relationship between the school and community, and demonstrates a responsible investment in Oakland public schools.

• Capital projects will reflect the standards of 21st century learning environments and technological infrastructure, and will adhere to the district design standards and education specifications: every project is sustainable and demonstrates a flexible use of space that creates a foundation for the next century's students.



Development of OUSD Bond Prioritization Model

Round 3: Develop Prioritization
Plan based on:

Outcomes of Rounds 1 & 2
Project budget
Project size & scale
Impact: Timeline/Schedule

Round 2: Provide each project with "Weighting" based on:

- ☐ Equity (Standard of Care)
- ☐ Level of Urgency
- ☐ Funding Leverage Potential

Round 1: Develop "Rating Scores" for each potential project based on:

- ☐ Safety & Compliance
- ☐ Strategic Plan
- ☐ Value for the District & Community

Engages multiple diverse, trained, and non-bias stakeholders

Preparatory Work includes:

- ✓ Review & organize possible criteria
- ✓ Use data to evaluate past investment in capital assets

Recommendation

The Superintendent recommends to the Board of Education the approval and adoption of the action item on Bond Prioritization Board Policy 7112.

Upon approval, the Superintendent will share at the June 10, 2015 Regular Board meeting the administrative regulations aligned to this policy.



Q&A

