RETHINKING SCHOOL LUNCH

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT FEASIBILITY STUDY

Executive Summary



CENTER FOR ECOLITERACY

(510) 845-4595 www. ecoliteracy.org

Rethinking School Lunch

OUSD Feasibility Study

Executive Summary

December 12, 2011

Contents

Introduction 2

OUSD's Vision 3

Key Findings and Recommendations 6

Facilities 6

Findings 6

Recommendations 7

Facilities Timeline 8

Finances 10

Findings 10

Project Cost Estimates 10

Capital Improvements 10

Additional Staff Projections 12

Program Recommendations 12

Potential Sources for Project Funding 12

Yearly Ongoing Operating Revenue vs.

Expense Projections 13

Potential Sources for Ongoing

Operations Funding 13

Recommendations 13

Food and Health 15

Findings 15

Recommendations 15

Wellness Policy 15

Findings 15

Recommendations 15

Teaching and Learning 16

Findings 16

Recommendations 16

The Dining Experience 16

Findings 16

Recommendations 17

Procurement 17

Findings 17

Recommendations 17

Waste Management 18

Findings 18

Recommendations 18

Professional Development 18

Findings 18

Recommendations 19

Marketing and Communications 19

Findings 19

Recommendations 19

Appendix 20

Center for Ecoliteracy Feasibility Study

Staff 20

Project Coordination 20

Consultants 20

School-Community Kitchen Site

Selection Criteria 22

Central Commissary Analysis and

Estimate 23

Central Commissary Pre-design Cost

Estimate 25

Program Recommendation Cost

Estimates 26

Introduction

During the 2010–2011 school year, a team of expert consultants commissioned by the Center for Ecoliteracy conducted a comprehensive review, in collaboration with Oakland Unified School District, of the District's Nutrition Services program. This executive summary includes the major findings of that effort and its primary recommendations for 2011–2016.

The purpose of this study, entitled "Rethinking School Lunch Oakland," was to create a road map for comprehensive reform of school food in the District. It was designed to emphasize a focus on Nutrition Services facilities, since inadequate facilities was presented as a primary obstacle to realizing the District's vision for school food in Oakland. As OUSD Nutrition Services Director Jennifer LeBarre observed, "While OUSD has made great improvement in the meals served to students through the National School Lunch, Breakfast, and Snack Programs, more must be done. However, we have reached the point where change can't continue without drastic change in our facilities." The study emphasized examining the feasibility of improving the nutritional quality and content of District meals through a new, green Central Commissary; upgrade of existing kitchens; and installation of "School–Community Kitchens" in each of OUSD's seven districts.

Simultaneously, in order to consider Nutrition Services in its entirety, the study applied the Center for Ecoliteracy's Rethinking School Lunch planning framework. This wholesystems approach, developed over more than 15 years of engagement with school food issues, identifies ten interrelated dimensions of school food operations, including facilities, finances, food and health, wellness policy, teaching and learning, the dining experience, procurement, waste management, professional development, and marketing and communications.

The Rethinking School Lunch Oakland project is funded by the TomKat Charitable Trust with the S.D. Bechtel, Jr. Foundation. Rethinking School Lunch Oakland is one of a suite of projects, Rethinking California School Lunch, supported by the TomKat Charitable Trust in fulfillment of its commitment to health, education, sustainable agriculture, and care for the environment.

The Center for Ecoliteracy is a public foundation, located in Berkeley, California, whose mission is education for sustainable living. The Center is best known for its pioneering work with school gardens, school lunches, and integrating ecological principles and sustainability into school curricula. The Feasibility Study is one of a series of Center projects and publications under the rubric "Rethinking School Lunch." These include ideas and strategies for improving school food, teaching nutrition, supporting sustainable food systems, and designing education programs focused on understanding the relationships between food, culture, health, and the environment. (See appendix for consultants commissioned by the Center.)

Oakland Unified School District

Oakland Unified School District is a large urban district in Northern California. The largest enrollments by ethnicity and race in OUSD are Latino (39.3%), African American (32.5%), Asian (13.2%), and white (7.4%). Nutrition Services serves 89 schools with a combined enrollment of 38,000 students; more than 70 percent are eligible for free and reduced-price meals. The District currently serves a daily average of about 7,200 breakfasts, 21,200 lunches, and 8,400 snacks, a total of 6.6 million meals a year.

Like most large urban districts in a difficult economic climate, OUSD faces significant challenges. The Center for Ecoliteracy elected to work with the District because it also exhibits many qualities indicating its readiness for innovation in its meals program. Among the most important of these indicators are a history of substantial efforts to improve school food; visionary, committed District leadership, including Superintendent Tony Smith, his cabinet, and Nutrition Services Director Jennifer LeBarre; important linkages to the wider Oakland community; collaboration with the active Oakland School Food Alliance; a comprehensive Wellness Policy developed with community support; a new Strategic Plan that reflects an understanding of the need to develop social, emotional, and physical health; and recognition of the role of the school meal program in creating equitable opportunities for learning.

OUSD's Vision

Jennifer LeBarre has articulated a vision to dramatically improve the quality of school meals, elevate the status of school meals, and solidify the meal program's role as a key component of students' academic experience. In particular this vision can contribute substantively to implementing the OUSD Wellness Policy and the District's Five-Year Strategic Plan.

OUSD Wellness Policy. Following a process involving extensive participation by the Oakland community, the policy was adopted in 2001, five years before the federal government required wellness policies in all districts. Among other provisions, the policy calls for the following:

- Ensuring that no OUSD student goes hungry
- Providing food and beverages that promote good health
- Collaborating with community organizations, parents, students, and staff
- Using biodegradable, compostable, or recycled disposable supplies to the maximum extent possible
- Establishing a garden for each school site of sufficient size
- Providing adequate time and facilities for students to purchase and eat meals and clean up

Five-Year Strategic Plan (2011–2016). The Strategic Plan was created to help enable OUSD to fulfill the ambitious mission of becoming a Full Service Community District that serves the whole child, ameliorates systemic inequalities, and provides each child with excellent teachers every day.

To realize this objective, the Strategic Plan calls for developing each Oakland public school into a "Full Service Community School" modeled on the Children's Aid Society's "developmental triangle." One side of this triangle is "developing social, emotional, and physical health." A second side is "ensuring a high-quality instructional core." The third side is "creating equitable opportunities for learning." School food relates to and is foundational to all three of these goals.

The quality of the food children eat at school and at home — and the habits they develop from eating and learning about good food — are integral to the triangle's first side: developing social, emotional, and physical health, and consequently to being able to perform better academically. The Nutrition Services vision enunciated by Jennifer LeBarre includes the following goals:

- At least 60 percent of the food served will be cooked from scratch
- The food that is not freshly prepared will be minimally processed
- No ingredients will include high fructose corn syrup or trans fats
- At least 25 percent of produce will be fresh, local, pesticide-free, or organic
- The Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program will be in place throughout the District
- Fresh fruit/vegetables will be offered at every after-school snack program
- 25 percent of produce will be sourced locally; 25 percent of other items will be California-based
- A District farm/garden will be created to supply fresh produce to the meal program and offer experiential opportunities for academic instruction and for vocational education

As the Center for Ecoliteracy has demonstrated (for example, in its book *Big Ideas: Linking Food, Culture, Health, and the Environment*), food is an excellent focus for integrating a wide range of academic subjects, both in the classroom and in experiences such as school gardens, supporting the triangle's second side: ensuring a high-quality instructional core. (See discussion of Teaching and Learning below.)

With respect to the third side of the triangle, creating equitable opportunities for learning, Superintendent Tony Smith has said,

School food reform is not separate from school reform; it's part of the basic work we have to do in order to correct systemic injustice, pursue equity, and give our children the best future possible. We are committed to building a school district that provides quality education and equitable outcomes for all children — and to make this goal a reality, we have to create conditions that allow children to grow and to learn at high levels. This starts with taking care of our students' most basic needs, such as nutrition, so they can develop and reach their full potential.

School-Community Kitchens. The Feasibility Study also identifies an important opportunity to support the Full Service Community Schools dimension of the Strategic Plan. As part of kitchen renovation that is at the heart of the Feasibility Study, we recommend that 14 kitchens be designed as "School-Community Kitchens." (See Facilities discussion below.) After feeding students during the school day, these "kitchens that moonlight," as Jennifer LeBarre calls them, would be available to the public year-round, including weekends and evenings, for purposes ranging from hunger alleviation to vocational training, emergency preparation, hospice support, cooking collectives, community food processing, and rental space for local enterprises. These School-Community Kitchens have the potential to help OUSD realize the Strategic Plan's objective that the District "evolve the idea of school-community partnerships from simply after-school programming or space-sharing or visiting campuses into true and authentic collaborations in service of thriving students."

5

Key Findings and Recommendations

Facilities

Definitions of Proposed Facilities

For the purposes of this Feasibility Study the following terms will be used to describe the categories of proposed facility improvements.

Central Commissary. Central facility to receive deliveries and prepare items to be sent to the District's 89 other kitchens. It will include facilities for receiving and shipping, storage, food-preparation bulk staging, equipment washing, waste treatment, and training and education, as well as administrative offices.

Cooking Kitchens. Kitchens with enough equipment and capacity to meet health and safety standards and cook from scratch as well as to finish "fresh-prepared" meals using recipe kits from the new Central Commissary.

School-Community Kitchens. Serve as Cooking Kitchens during the school day and be available when school is not in session for a variety of community uses including employee, student, and parent cooking classes; community events; emergency preparedness; and small business owners on a fee-for-service basis where legally feasible.

Finishing Kitchens. Include basic equipment to prepare meals from recipe kits of bulk ingredients from the Central Commissary.

Findings

On the basis of ten criteria developed by OUSD administration and the Center for Ecoliteracy, site reviews of over 20 school kitchens and schools without kitchens, plan reviews of an additional 30 cafeterias and kitchens, and explorations of many possible sites for a new Central Commissary, the Feasibility Study found:

- Twenty-five of OUSD's 89 schools are served by Cooking Kitchens, where meals are produced on-site.
- Three of these kitchens also serve as Central Kitchens; they produce prepackaged lunches and breakfasts that are re-thermalized in mobile cabinets at 64 "Satellite" school sites which do no cooking. Together these three kitchens are responsible for 73 percent of all the District's meals.

- The chief Central Kitchen, at Prescott Elementary School, was designed to serve 8,000 meals a day. It is currently preparing 20,000.
- A majority of the existing equipment in the Cooking Kitchens is old and nonfunctional, and should be removed and replaced.
- Scratch cooking is not possible in most of the District's kitchens. Thirty-five "Satellite" school sites which do no cooking have no serving equipment or serving counters.
 Others have some equipment and infrastructure, but need substantial upgrades.
- The District's primary vendor, Sysco, delivers directly to many of the schools a
 costly process that could be eliminated if all deliveries went to a Central Commissary
 and were then redistributed by refrigerated trucks that are already traveling to
 schools across the District on a daily basis.
- We have adopted criteria for selecting 14 schools (one elementary and one secondary school in each of OUSD's seven districts) for creation of School— Community Kitchens. (See appendix.) Several sites already have full-service kitchens with bulk-serving counters in place. The Downtown Education Complex and Castlemont High School are determined to be the best suited of the sites to be fully equipped.

Recommendations

- Find District-owned property to create a 44,000-square-foot Central Commissary to realize considerable savings compared to building anew. (For details, see appendix.)
- Develop a 1.5-acre District Farm / Garden to be co-located with the Central Commissary.

- Remove, repair, or replace nonfunctional kitchen equipment; install new equipment; remodel as necessary; and upgrade storage areas, floors, walls, and ceilings for the following (numbers based on current OUSD sites):
 - 17 Cooking Kitchens
 - 14 School-Community Kitchens (at one elementary and one secondary school in each of OUSD's seven districts)
 - 58 Finishing Kitchens
- Upgrade serving areas as appropriate.
- Install hydration stations at all schools.
- Renovate all elementary school sites to meet health and safety codes and equip for salad bars.
- Supply all school sites with sinks and dishwashers to allow for conversion to permanent five-compartment trays, including stainless steel flatware.

Facilities Timeline

Year 1

Central Commissary Site	Begin planning, fundraising, and community approval process to build Central Commissary
School-Community Kitchens	Complete upgrading of sites now in process
Cooking Kitchens	Begin upgrading of 17 current Cooking Kitchens

Year 2

Central Commissary Site	Begin construction of Central Commissary
-------------------------	---

Year 3

Central Commissary Site	Begin development of District farm / garden
School-Community Kitchens	Begin upgrading at sites needing extensive renovation
Cooking Kitchens	Complete renovation of all Cooking Kitchens
Finishing Kitchens	Begin renovating 30 "Satellite Sites" to become Finishing Kitchens
Salad Bars	Begin renovation and equipping for 28 salad bars at schools not currently equipped

Year 4

Central Commissary Site	Complete Central Commissary, put into service
	Purchase delivery trucks

Year 5

Salad Bars	Complete equipping of salad bars left from year 3

Years 6-10

School-Community Kitchens	Complete renovations and equipping of remaining School-Community Kitchens
Finishing Kitchens	Complete renovation of remaining sites appropriate for Finishing Kitchens

Finances

Findings

- Nutrition Services assumes that it is critical to build a reserve equal to about three
 months' operating expenses (about \$3.6 million dollars). Once this reserve is
 established, additional revenues may be available to be applied to this project.
- Current market conditions indicate the cost of converting existing District sites to be \$400 to \$450 a square foot, depending on variables such as the need for retrofitting.
- The cost of converting a District site to a Central Commissary would be half the cost of new construction, and far less time-consuming.
- Estimated cost of upgrades for School-Community Kitchen sites which need extensive renovation is \$310,000 to \$381,000 per site.
- Although average attendance within OUSD has decreased since 1998, participation
 in the meals program has increased in recent years (lunches served annually are up
 by nearly 300,000 since 2007–2008). Jennifer LeBarre reports that participation is
 high at the elementary and middle school levels, but can be increased substantially at
 the high school level; it is important to note that the open-campus model will be
 changed to a closed-campus approach.

Project Cost Estimates

The best cost estimate to fund the project is approximately twenty-six to twenty-seven (26–27) million dollars over the course of the next five years. Estimates were provided by Jennifer LeBarre, David Binkle, and Steve Marshall, and are based on the timetable presented in the Facilities section.

Capital Improvements

Year 1

Complete upgrading of School-Community Kitchen sites now in process	No additional expenses
Upgrades to Cooking Kitchens that are not Community Kitchens	\$425,000
Planning: Community Bond	\$25,000
Fundraising: For Planning	\$25,000
Subtotal Year 1	\$475,000

Year	2
------	---

Central Commissary (44,000 sq. ft.)	\$20,823,352
Subtotal Year 2	\$20,823,352
Year 3	
Upgrade of School-Community Kitchen sites needing extensive renovation	\$1,875,000
Renovation to Bulk Meal Service (30) (Converting Satellite Facilities to Finishing Kitchens)	\$500,000
District Farm Development	\$500,000
Elementary Salad Bar Equipment	\$500,000
Complete renovation to Cooking Kitchens that are not Community Kitchens	\$500,000
Subtotal Year 3	\$3,875,000
Year 4	
Delivery Trucks	\$420,000
Subtotal Year 4	\$420,000
Year 5	
Commercial Composter	\$33,000
Subtotal Year 5	\$33,000
Grand Total Capital Improvements First 5 Yrs	\$25,626,352

Years 6-10 not forecast

Additional Staff Projections

Two staff positions proposed by Nutrition Services will contribute to implementation of this program: an Assistant Director and a Training and Special Projects Director. Combined costs for these two positions, including benefits, are projected at \$162,500, representing less than 1% increase in Operations. Once Satellite Kitchens have been renovated into Finishing Kitchens beginning year 4, additional staff will be needed at each site, and implemented on an as-needed basis. The projected annual cost for staffing up to 30 Finishing Kitchens is \$600,000, representing an additional 3.5% increase in Operations.

Program Recommendations

Grand Total Program Recommendations	\$950,795
Year 5	\$317,850
Year 4	\$147,850
Year 3	\$127,850
Years 1-2	\$357,245

(See appendix for details.)

Potential Sources for Project Funding

A number of potential sources of funding have been identified, including:

- Local support (e.g., a bond measure, a community taxing district or parcel tax, a public-private partnership for joint venture, capital campaign and public relations initiative)
- State of California programs
- Federal programs (e.g., Department of Commerce, Federal Housing and Urban Development, and Department of Agriculture)

www.ecoliteracy.org

- · Philanthropic programs
- Investment strategies
- Bank funding

Yearly Ongoing Operating Revenue vs. Expense Projections

(To be in effect when renovation of facilities is complete and program is in place)

Classified Salaries	\$5,170,600
Employee Benefits	\$3,354,017
General Supplies	\$684,500
Food	\$6,249,750
Equipment	\$85,000
Other	\$1,289,352
Subtotal Expenses	\$16,833,219
Revenue: 2009–2010	\$15,726,271
Projections: Increase revenue by 6.6% to break-even	\$1,106,948
Subtotal Income	\$16,833,219

Potential Sources for Ongoing Operations Funding

- OUSD Nutrition Services revenue generation (e.g., increase in participation levels, services to the District, contracted services to other local agencies, charter schools, or other school districts), and increase in efficiency and productivity
- Grants
- Investment strategies

Recommendations

- Convene a fundraising committee comprising District personnel, community advocates, and consultant specialists in food service design and bank and bond financing to evaluate funding opportunities.
- Compare multiple scenarios for scope and timing of elements of the project, to be evaluated according to their funding and programmatic implications.
- Hire a grant-writing specialist using the Fundsnet database and other resources.
- Establish a prospect pool through creation of a list of individual donors; local, regional, and national companies and corporations; as well as foundations and

- associations and industry. Infogroup's "OneSource" $^{\mathsf{TM}}$ or Hoover's are good sources of business development information.
- Undertake an analysis of competitive school food scenarios to determine if the
 District is receiving the best value from its primary vendors and achieving maximum
 efficiency and productivity. The analysis should be conducted independently from the
 District and current supplier, potentially as a research project for university business
 schools in the area like the University of California, Berkeley.

Food and Health

Findings

- New recipe menu development is minimal.
- There are few to no menu items made from scratch.
- Nutrition Services lacks an easy-to-use system for new and existing vendors to acquire public procurement contracts.

Recommendations

- Develop 36 to 40 new seasonal recipes per year reflecting the ethnic traditions of Oakland students.
- Serve fresh local fruits and vegetables in every school every day; increase scratch cooking as new facilities permit.
- Add salad bars to every elementary school that does not have them.

Wellness Policy

Findings

- The OUSD Wellness Policy exceeds federal requirements.
- There is active community support for change in school lunch.
- There is no current structure under which all groups receive information and updates and have the opportunity to plan community support campaigns for District-wide improvement.

Recommendations

- Convene quarterly meetings with the Oakland School Food Alliance and Nutrition Advisory Committee.
- Convene food banks, farmers markets, and urban farming groups.
- Increase and sustain the community's support for funding and programming needs.

Teaching and Learning

Findings

- Barriers to integrating food and food systems into curricula include a lack of awareness of available resources within the District.
- Teachers report a lack of time or motivation for a program that is considered an addon to the "real" curriculum.
- Garden programs rely on garden instructors who do not have teaching credentials, most of whom are not paid.

Recommendations

- Revise job description of District Garden Coordinator to increase support and services to school sites.
- Develop food and food-systems curricula aligned with national academic standards.
- Select at least three model schools (elementary, middle, and high) for observation of lessons and cross-discipline professional development.
- Establish an infrastructure for food-related high school internships.

The Dining Experience

Findings

- Most of the sites visited were clean and well lit, with adequate space, but the
 appearance of the dining environment was, at times, makeshift, with post-consumer
 waste collection in the middle of the room.
- Nutrition Services site staff is not trained in controlling/responding to lunchroom disruptions and there is no safety training and instruction in District security procedures.
- Site staff observed that students are readier to learn when recess occurs before lunch and noted the importance of providing fresh fruit snacks.

Recommendations

- Train all food service staff in existing protocol and security procedures; provide training to principals in protocol and procedures and the importance of adult presence in the lunchroom.
- Instruct elementary school principals in schools receiving Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program grant funds to implement recess before lunch.
- Offer a mid-morning snack of fresh fruit to ensure that students have energy until lunch.

Procurement

Findings

- Nutrition Services has developed a definition of "local," set purchasing goals, and identified local sources for several products.
- Achieving goals for local, fresh procurement is hampered by absence of a Central Commissary as well as an easy-to-use system for new and existing vendors to acquire procurement contracts.
- There appears to be no individual in Nutrition Services responsible for reviewing product label definitions and identifying sources of product.

Recommendations

- Purchase 25 percent of produce from within the three-tier purchasing system developed by Nutrition Services in first year; increase local purchasing to 35 percent in second year.
- Finalize draft for Guide for Local Vendors.
- Investigate and implement contracts with local farmers.

Waste Management

Findings

- OUSD has a written policy to achieve 75% diversion from landfills by 2115 and 90% reduction by 2020, but does not have a written cafeteria waste prevention plan or policy in place.
- Cafeteria waste prevention and reduction plans are usually created by a few individuals at the school site, rather than from replicating successful programs already in place.
- Barriers to improve the system include students hurrying through lunch so they can
 go to recess, food quality at "Satellite" sites and lack of plumbing at "Satellite" sites,
 so nothing can be washed and reused.

Recommendations

- Select custodians and other staff members from schools recycling food waste to train staff from other schools ready to implement programs.
- Eliminate or reduce purchase of food-related items most prevalent in the waste stream.
- Purchase high-volume composters for Central Kitchen and other kitchens producing a significant amount of waste.

Professional Development

Findings

- There is limited professional development for Nutrition Services employees.
- Staff members attending pilot classes were enthusiastic and have cooking skills, knowledge, and ability to scale up recipes.
- Facilities for professional development appear to be available and adequate.

Recommendations

- Begin offering five cooking classes (three hours each) annually for Central Commissary teams and Cooking Kitchen teams.
- Expand classes to include teams from School-Community Kitchens as they become ready for cooking.

Marketing and Communications

Findings

- Nutrition Services conducts age-appropriate taste-testing events with students before introducing new recipes and vendor products.
- Existing school-site-based educational programs linked to school meals could be incorporated into an outreach program.
- There are community-based programs that address food security and educational goals that could factor into an outreach program.

Recommendations

- Target eligible nonparticipating students and their families with an outreach program with a goal of 20 percent increase in participation.
- Conduct a direct mail campaign, coordinated outreach with service organizations, and weekly targeted sampling of scratch-cooked items.
- Implement an ongoing taste-testing program that includes weekly targeted sampling of made-from-scratch items.

Appendix

Center for Ecoliteracy Feasibility Study Staff
Zenobia Barlow, Project Director
Jim Koulias, Project Manager
Michael K. Stone, Report Editor, Executive Summary Author
Karen Brown, Designer

Project Coordination

Evans & Brennan, LLC

Consultants

David Binkle (Finances) is the owner of California Culinary Consulting and deputy director of the Food Services Division of the Los Angeles Unified School District. He is a certified executive chef, certified culinary administrator, and certified executive pastry chef, with more than 30 years of experience as a senior food service administrator, corporate chef, culinary arts instructor, and food services director. He holds a bachelor's degree in health from the Oklahoma Panhandle State University System and certificates from the Culinary Institute of America, and is completing a master of public administration from California State University, Northridge.

Georgeanne Brennan (Food and Health, Wellness Policy, Dining Experience, Procurement, Professional Development, Marketing and Communications) is a principal at Evans & Brennan, LLC. She is an award-winning cookbook author, journalist, and food policy consultant with a distinguished culinary and business career spanning several decades. Over the past several years she has piloted professional development with the Davis Joint Unified School District. She is the author of more than 30 books on cooking and food and is a cooking school owner and teacher.

Ann M. Evans (Food and Health, Wellness Policy, Dining Experience, Procurement, Professional Development, Marketing and Communications) is a principal at Evans & Brennan LLC. She has a long history of involvement in sustainable food systems, community leadership, educational reform, and the marketplace, including a 30-year career with California state government. She has worked for a decade with Davis Joint Unified School District, bringing her expertise as former mayor of Davis and special advisor to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. She works with rural and urban communities to improve children's health through rethinking school lunch.

Steve Marshall (Facilities) is president of The Marshall Associates, Inc., a 50-year-old internationally known food service consulting firm located in Oakland, California. He has designed over 50 central commissaries, over 100 main kitchens of world-class hotels and restaurants worldwide, and has been part of the local, sustainable organic kitchen design movement from the beginning, with over 100 "scratch cooking" corporate cafeterias for most major Silicon Valley companies as well as the Martin Luther King Jr. Middle School central kitchen for the Berkeley Unified School District.

Carolie Sly (Teaching and Learning and Waste Management) is education program director for the Center for Ecoliteracy. She founded ReGeneration, a high school for atrisk youth, and taught at San Francisco State University and public schools in Davis and Napa, California. She earned a doctoral degree in science education from the University of California, Berkeley and has coauthored several books and articles, including the award-winning California State Environmental Education Guide and the Center for Ecoliteracy's Big Ideas: Linking Food, Culture, Health, and the Environment. She also coauthored the Center's discussion guide for the Oscar-nominated documentary Food, Inc. and the PBS documentary Nourish.

School-Community Kitchen Site Selection Criteria

1.	Fiscal Responsibility	Construction planned or school already a cooking kitchen.
2.	Existing Infrastructure/Planned Construction	The kitchen currently is a Cooking Kitchen, has existing plans to become a Cooking Kitchen, or was a Cooking Kitchen within the last 10–15 years.
3.	Instructional Garden Space	Open space is available on school property that is either currently used as instructional garden or could be.
4.	Community Commitment	Community has expressed to Nutrition Services that it wants change in meal program OR has supported programs such as produce markets.
5.	Instructional Leadership	Instructional leader has started work on creating community school, expressed interest to NS about being a community kitchen, OR has supported other health initiatives.
6.	Community Accessibility	School can easily be reached via public transportation/walking.
7.	Free/Reduced %	Free/reduced percentage of 50% or higher.
8.	High Enrollment	Current enrollment of 300 or higher.
9.	Location in food desert	The school is located in what would be considered a food desert.
10.	Health Clinic	An Oakland Health Clinic is colocated at the school site

Central Commissary Analysis and Estimate

The Marshall Associates, Inc.

		Square Feet
A.	STORAGE AND RECEIVING	
1	Receiving Area and Dock: Vestibule indoor	2,000
2	Bulk Dry Storage: 3 Tier (160 Pallets)	3,300
3	Cooler, Meat, Produce & Dairy: 3 Tier (90 Pallets)	2,000
4	Bulk Freezer: 3 Tier (120 Pallets)	2,200
5	Storekeeper Office: 3 Spaces & Break Room	1,250
6	Mech/Elec Room	420
	Subtotal	11,170
В.	KITCHEN	
1	Walk-In Thaw Cooler	500
2	Breakdown Freezer	250
3	Day Dry Storage	400
4	Preparation & Ingredient Control	2,750
5	Can Opening	200
6	Cook-Chill Area	1,000
7	Utility Cooler/Freezer	800
8	Production Cooking/Kitchen	2,300
9	Bakery	2,000
10	Mechanical Room/Ice Building	1,440
	Subtotal	11,640
C.	BULK STAGING LINE AREA	
1	Inventory Cooler/Blast Chillers	1,600
2	Cart Parking	1,000
3	Food Cart Makeup Area	2,400
4	Dispatch Cooler	2,400
5	Dispatch Dock	2,400
	Subtotal	9,800
D.	SANITATION	
1	Janitor	80
2	Utensil Wash Area and Cart Washing	1,300
	Subtotal	1,380

E.	ADMINISTRATION AND UTILITY AREAS	
1	Conference Room	240
2	Training Room (40 Seats)	400
3	Lobby at Entry	400
4	Supervisor Offices: Production (6 Spaces)	720
5	Central Work Station Complex	1,000
6	Copy and Production Room	300
7	Director's Office	250
8	Assistant Director's Offices (3 Spaces)	360
9	Secretary Offices (2 Spaces)	240
10	Staff Restrooms and Lockers	480
11	Mechanical Area	480
	Electrical Area	150
13	Utility Area	100
14	Lunch Room Serving and Test Kitchen	1,200
	Subtotal	6,320
	RECAP	
A.	Storage and Receiving	11,170
B.	Kitchen	11,340
C.	Bulk Staging Line Area	9,400
D.	Sanitation	1,380
E.	Administration and Utility Areas	6,320
	All Subtotals	39,610
	10% - Circulation Factor	3,961
	Total Proposed Square Footage	43,571

Central Commissary Pre-design Cost Estimate

Description	Square Footage	Equipment Cost per Sq. Ft.	Total Equipment Cost
Remodel Existing District Site	43,571	\$150.00	\$6,535,650.00

Description	Square	Interior Cost	Total Interior
	Footage	per Sq. Ft.	Construction Cost
Central Commissary/Kitchen square feet (minus 7,500 square feet Storage Area)	36,071	\$200.00	\$7,214,200.00

Description	Square	Interior Cost	Total Interior
	Footage	per Sq. Ft.	Construction Cost
Storage Area Construction Cost	7,500	\$50.00	\$375,000.00

Sub-Total Central Kitchen Construction	\$14,124,850.00
20% jobsite management permits, insurance and bonding	\$2,824,970.00
Construction cost contingency (12%)	\$1,694,982.00
43,571 square feet x \$50 per SF for unknown seismic, utility, sprinkler and roof upgrades.	\$2,178,550.00
TOTAL BUDGET*	\$20,823,352.00

*Note: Escalation should be anticipated for increases in labor and materials until the Central Commissary is completed.

Year	Escalation
2012	3.5%
2013	4.0%
2014	4.5%

Program Recommendation Cost Estimates

Years 1-2	Program	Recommend	lations
-----------	---------	-----------	---------

Subtotal Year 4 Program Recommendations

Food and Health Consulting and Staff Release Time	\$13,400	
Wellness Policy Consulting	\$40,000	
Teaching and Learning Consulting and Coordination	\$54,000	
Dining Experience Grant Writing	\$20,000	
Procurement Consulting	\$33,625	
Waste Management Equipment and District Sustainability Coordinator	\$93,000	
Food Services Professional Development	\$18,500	
Marketing and Communications	\$84,720	
Subtotal Year 1 Program Recommendations	\$357,245	_
Year 3 Program Recommendations		
Food and Health Staff Training	\$1,700	
Wellness Policy Consulting	\$10,000	
Teaching and Learning Consulting and Coordination	\$60,000	
Waste Management District Sustainability Coordinator	\$40,000	
Food Services Professional Development	\$9,250	
Marketing and Communications	\$6,900	
Subtotal Year 3 Program Recommendations	\$127,850	_
Food and Health Staff Training	\$1,700	
Commissary Training for New Meal Preparation	\$80,000	
Wellness Policy Consulting	\$10,000	
Waste Management District Sustainability Coordinator	\$40,000	
Food Services Professional Development	\$9,250	
Marketing and Communications	\$6,900	

\$177,850

Year 5 Program Recommendations

Food and Health Staff Training	\$1,700
Wellness Policy Consulting	\$10,000
Dining Experience Consulting	\$30,000
Procurement Consulting	\$25,000
Waste Management District Sustainability Coordinator	\$40,000
Food Services Professional Development	\$34,250
Marketing and Communications	\$176,900
Subtotal Year 5 Program Recommendations	\$317,850
Grand Total Program Recommendations	\$950,795



A PROJECT OF THE CENTER FOR ECOLITERACY

MADE POSSIBLE WITH THE GENEROUS SUPPORT OF THE TOMKAT CHARITABLE TRUST AND THE S.D. BECHTEL, JR. FOUNDATION