OUSD Agenda Item # 16-0598 Bond Project Measure J and B

OUSD Legislative File # 15-5024 dated Oct, 14, 2015 (attached)

Presented by: Hitesh Haria and Lance Jackson

- <u>Background:</u> This shows conchology of changes to the Original Measure J spending plan Exhibit A to the now Board approved spending plan exhibit C. Exhibit C also shows in column C Measure "B" funds draw of 65million Aug 2015 (What is left in measure B? Explain what is happening/has happened with projects listed as unfunded in exhibit B?)
- Measure J County Counsel Analysis: Third paragraph describes included and allowed under OUSD Measure J (attached)
- In ballot measure Submittal Form Question to Voters is "repair bathrooms"
- Full Text of Analysis references District Facilities Master Plan dated May 22, 2012. Can staff please provide this
   Master Plan for our next CBOC meeting?
  - o (Measure J spending revised plan exhibit "C" changes allocation for bathroom renovation from original \$17,500 million to only spending \$5,049,489million why?)
- Full Text of Analysis references District Facilities Master Plan dated May 22, 2012. provide Master Plan to CBOC
- Bond Project list (attached): Part I;
  - o Improving School yards How does district determine which sites to be paved, and when changing master projects list which ones get eliminated? How was the decision made to revise this budget from 18,2million to 6,186,715million in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?
  - o Constructing renovating and replacing school play-yards, educational gardens, tracks and fields How does district prioritize projects? I.e., how does TECH girls softball field/track combo get considered as a project?.
  - o Increase utilization of under-used assets includes redevelopment of administrative sites or inactive school sites Ask: Bond Counsel, does the law allow for 43million of Measure J to be used for new Marcus Foster ELC in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?
  - o reconfiguration of sites or parts of sites to house administrative functions Ask: Bond Counsel, does the aw allows for Measure J to be used for new Marcus Foster ELC in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?
  - Fremont Federation School (4610 Foothill) How was the decision made to revise this budget from 114million to 82million in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?

#### Part Bond Project List: Part II

• Roosevelt Middle School (1926 19<sup>th</sup> Ave) How was the decision made to revise this budget from 20million to 1million in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?

#### To Staff - Questions and requests

- CBOC requests written response that changes to Bond Projects list for Measure J is OK by law. Reason for ask: In several other CA School Districts citizens have filed lawsuits citing listed were not accomplished/dropped of the list, or that additional projects went forward that were not in Bond projects list hat was passed by voters.
- 2. Explain what other decisions/changes have been to Measure J spending plan and the process.
- 3. On Measure B project detail how much was spent in fiscal year 2014/2015.
- Where in Master plan expenditure details are the project specific consultants for architects, inspectors and other shown.
- 5. Provide vendor invoicing detail for all Measure J and B consultants listed on Measure J Consultant Expenditure Details dated 3/30/16. What does Object 5825 mean.
- 6. On March 30<sup>th</sup> expenditure report: What is fund 35?
- 7. Explain Bond program contingency and reason/how dollars are allocated.
- 8. Explain Bond program contingency and reason/how dollars are allocated.
- 9. Did District do any Bond fund transfers for the purpose of loans to other District programs in the fiscal 2014/2015. If so were the funds returned to Bond account in 2014/2015 fiscal year?

#### New Business - Status of Audits

1. Status of Performance and Financial Audits - by end of March 31st of each fiscal year.



### CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEES Email: Calboc1@ aol.com • www.calboc.org

#### Accountability Requirements of Proposition 39

#### **Financial and Performance Audits**

#### ■ 1. ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS

#### "Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000" Education Code 15264:

- Vigorous efforts undertaken to ensure that expenditures are in strict conformity with Section 1 of Article XIIIA of the CA Constitution.
- The members of the oversight committees alert the public to any waste or improper expenditure of bond money.

#### Article XIIIA - Section 1 requires accountability requirements:

- Bond proceeds must be spent only on the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of schools, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities.
- Bond proceeds must NOT be spent on teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- A list of the specific school facilities projects to be funded and certification that the school district board, community college board, or county office of education has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing that list.
- A requirement that the school district board, community college board, or county office of education CONDUCT AN ANNUAL, INDEPENDENT PERFORMANCE AUDIT to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.
- A requirement that the school district board, community college board, or county office of education CONDUCT AN ANNUAL, INDEPENDENT FINANCIAL AUDIT of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds *until all those proceeds have been expended for the school facilities projects*.

#### Senate Bill 1473

- Requires school districts to perform the Financial and Performance audits in accordance using <u>Generally Accepted Governmental Auditing Standards</u> (GAGAS) issued b the Comptroller General of the United States, who heads up the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO).
- According to the GAO, "Performance audits entail an objective and systemic examination of
  evidence to provide an independent assessment of the performance and management of a program
  against objective criteria."
- GAGAS outlines several types of performance audits whereby the "Compliance Audit" appears to be the most appropriate. "Compliance Audits relate to the compliance criteria established by laws, regulations, contract provisions, grant agreements, and other requirements that could affect the acquisition, protection, use, and disposition of the entity's resources and the quantity, quality, timeliness, and cost of services the entity produces and delivers."

#### ■ 1. ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS, CONTINUED...

#### Senate Bill 423

• Requires that both the financial and performance audits for the preceding fiscal year be submitted to the CBOC by March 31st of each year.

#### GAGAS (Generally Accepted Governmental Auditing Standards) 8.30:

The auditor's report must include the following language:

"We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives."

#### ■ 2. Understanding the Performance Audit

- Performance Audit approaches varies by auditing firm and by school district.
- Prior to the passage of SB 1473, many districts did not conduct Performance Audits pursuant to GAGAS, and instead engaged for "Agreed Upon Procedures".
- Agreed Upon Procedures are less reliable since the scope and work of the audit are dictated by the school district, rather than the auditor. Taxpayers should have no assurance that bond proceeds were spent in accordance with the law. (See AICPA procedures for attestation engagements.)
- The scope of the Performance Audit may not necessarily detect waste, abuse or fraud. Fraud, waste and abuse may be found in a forensic audit.

#### Reliance on the Performance Audit

- CBOCs should have a complete understanding of the audit objectives and work performed to determine how and to what extent they can <u>rely</u> on the auditors work.
- CBOCs should perform additional testing, where necessary for reporting purposes.
- Ultimately, the law requires CBOCs to report annually whether the district is in compliance with Section 1(b)(3)(A) of Article XIII A:

A requirement that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIII A, Section 1(b) (3), and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

#### ■ 3. Performance Audit: Sample Questions to Ask the Auditor

- What are the audit objectives? Explain the scope of the Audit.
- How are the audit objectives and scope consistent with the required laws?
- Explain any additions to the scope.
- Did the Board, Superintendent, project management or any other related/interested party influence the scope of the audit or the work performed?
- If so, please explain.
- What assurance can be provided to the CBOC that the auditor remained independent throughout the engagement? (e.g. Management Representation Letter)
- · What is the auditor's Definition of Materiality?
- What is the auditor's Definition of Significance?
- How does the auditor measure materiality/significance?
- What amounts/facts/circumstances would you consider immaterial? (In making materiality judgments, auditors should make quantitative and qualitative considerations.)
- · How did the auditor plan the audit?
- How did the auditor determine what work to be performed?
- Describe the test procedures performed over key review areas.
- How did the auditor identify risks and did they assess the existence and effectiveness of internal controls to mitigate such risks? For example, is their sufficient and proper segregation of duties?
- What is the auditor's responsibility for detecting fraud? What procedures were performed to detect fraud?
- How did the auditor determine its audit sample? Was the sample random? What was the sample size?
- What district policies and procedures were reviewed?
- For example, did the auditor consider whether the district was in compliance with its procurement/contract bidding policies and procedures?
- Did the auditor review contract change orders?
- For any aspect of the audit, did the auditor rely on another auditor's work?
- Did the auditor make site visits? Did the auditor verify inventory?
- Is there any pending or threatened litigation or arbitrations?
- Explain interfund transfers involving the "Building Fund". (The Building Fund holds bond money to be used for projects. This is a restricted fund and should not be loaned out for other purposes.)
- Where there any related party transactions? Did the auditor perform any additional test procedures over those expenditures paid to vendors who contributed to the bond ballot measure?
- Did the auditor review payroll to district employees working on project management?
- Did the auditor review the adequacy and accuracy of the accounting systems used to track projects, budgets, financial reports, and other necessary reporting.
- Did the auditor review all the contents of the Performance Audit? If so, how did the auditor ensure district data shown in the performance audit is accurate and complete?
- Within the performance audit, identify data that is written by the auditor. For example, the audit report and written conclusions.

#### COUNTY COUNSEL'S IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE J

### ANALYSIS BY THE COUNTY COUNSEL OF AN OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOND MEASURE

Measure J, an Oakland Unified School District ("District") bond measure, seeks voter approval to authorize the District to issue and sell bonds of up to four hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$475,000,000.00) in aggregate principal amount. The primary purpose of the bonds is to finance school facilities projects as specified in the measure.

Pursuant to California Constitution Section 18 of Article XVI and Section 1 of Article XIIIA and California Education Code Section 15274, this measure will become effective upon the affirmative vote of at least 55% of the qualified electors voting on this measure.

California Education Code Section 15100 restricts the use of the proceeds from the bonds sale to construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities, and the acquisition of real property for school facilities. In addition, proceeds may only be used for the projects listed in the measure. This measure provides that its proceeds will fund projects outlined in the measure (reproduced in the sample ballot pamphlet) that include district-wide and site specific projects. The district-wide projects include, among others, repairing existing school sites, seismic retrofits, upgrading school facilities, and improving energy efficiency. The site-specific projects include similar improvements at specific schools throughout the district. Proceeds may not be used for any other purpose, such as administrator salaries.

If 55% of those who vote on the measure vote "yes", the District will be authorized to issue bonds of up to four hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$475,000,000.00). Approval of this measure will authorize a levy on the assessed value of taxable property within the District by an amount needed to pay the principal and interest on these bonds in each year that the bonds are outstanding.

The Tax Rate Statement for Measure J in this sample ballot pamphlet reflects the District's best estimates, based upon currently available data and projections, of the property tax rates required to service the bonds. The best estimate of the maximum tax rate required to be levied to fund the bonds after the sale of the first series is no more than 3.9 c (three and ninetenths cents) per \$100.00 (one hundred dollars), which is \$39.00 (thirty-nine dollars) per \$100,000.00 (one hundred thousand dollars), of the assessed valuation in fiscal year 2013-2014. The best estimate of the maximum tax rate required to be levied to fund the bonds after the sale of the last series is no more than 6c (six cents) per \$100.00 (one hundred dollars), which is \$60.00 (sixty dollars) per \$100,000.00 (one hundred thousand dollars), of the assessed valuation in fiscal year 2019-2020.

An independent citizens' oversight committee will monitor the bond expenditures.

If 55% of those voting on this measure do not vote for approval, the measure will fail and the District will not be authorized to issue the bonds.

#### DONNA R. ZIEGLER County Counsel

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure J, which is printed in full in this sample ballot pamphlet. If you desire an additional copy of the measure, please call the Elections Official's office at (510) 272-6933 and a copy will be mailed at no cost to you. You may also access the full text of the measure on the Alameda County website at the following address: <a href="https://www.acgov.org/rov/">www.acgov.org/rov/</a>.



## BALLOT MEASURE SUBMITTAL FORM

Official Use Only: Date Stamp

RECEIVED
Alameda County

IUL 3 0 2012

Reg. of Voters

BALLOT MEASURE QUESTION							
Jurisdiction Name: Oakland Unified School	District Election Date: November 6, 2012						
Note: The information as it appears within the measure	question text box will be printed on the ballot.						
Insert ballot question text here:							
computers and technology, improve s bathrooms, electrical systems, plumb efficiency and earthquake safety, sha authorized to issue \$475 million in bo	, to upgrade science labs, classrooms, student safety and security, repair ing and sewer lines, improve energy Il the Oakland Unified School District be						
	_						
PERCENTAGE NEEDED TO PASS							
Fifty-five (55%) Percent							
FULL TEXT OPTION							
Full Text to be printed in the Voter Information Pamph	ilet:						
▼ YES (note: must submit separate copy of Full Text along	with this form)						
$\exists$ NO							
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE Print Name:	Date:						
Edgar Rakestraw, Jr., Secretary of the Govern	1.1.00 0040						
Signature:							
CONTACT INFORMATION (for office use)	CONTACT INFORMATION (for public)						
Phone #:	Phone #: 510/879-8199						
E-Mail:	E-Mail/Website: boe@ousd.k12.ca.us/http://www.ousd.k12.ca.us						

#### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE J

Measure J is about one thing: Improving the Quality of Oakland schools.

While there has been significant progress in the quality of our local schools over the past few years, the facts remain that many of Oakland's schools need improvement and not every Oakland child has a safe school and a well-functioning classroom.

The average age of Oakland schools is 71 years. Schools built years ago need significant updating; classrooms are inadequate, roofs need repair, plumbing and heating systems are deteriorating, and many classrooms have inadequate lighting, wiring and electrical outlets.

When repairs involve breaking through walls and ceilings, asbestos is often found, making even minor problems expensive to fix.

Measure J has been placed on the ballot to address the most pressing needs. Measure J will provide funding to upgrade classrooms, computer systems and science labs. Measure J insures that our schools have the facilities needed to provide career training and advanced courses in math, science and technology.

All money raised by Measure J will stay in our community to benefit Oakland children. No money can be taken by the state or used for other purposes. Cost of Measure J is tax deductible. Independent audits ensure money will be spent as promised. Measure J will help qualify our community for state matching funds, when available.

Among the projects that will be completed:

- Seismic upgrades of schools and classrooms to reduce danger from earthquakes
- Asbestos and lead paint removal
- Upgrade science labs, classrooms, computers and technology
- Repair bathrooms and unsafe playground areas
- Upgrade kitchen facilities to improve nutrition and nutritional education

Improving our local schools is essential for our children and to attract jobs and stimulate economic growth in Oakland. Business, labor, teachers, parents, principals, community and religious leaders are all supporting Measure J. Please vote YES on Measure J.

#### Argument In Favor of Measure J

#### Signers

1. Renee Swayne

Retired Teacher & Chair, Measure B Oversight Committee

2. Beverly Rivas

Executive Director, Oakland Builders Alliance

3. Jonathan Klein

Executive Director, Great Oakland Public Schools

4. Ruth Woodruff

Parent and Co-Founder, Oakland School Food Alliance

5. Jesus Nieto-Ruiz

Pastor

#### II. FULL TEXT OF THE MEASURE

#### BEGINNING OF FULL TEXT OF MEASURE---->>>>

#### **BOND AUTHORIZATION**

By approval of this proposition by at least 55% of the registered voters voting on the proposition, the Oakland Unified School District (the "District") shall be authorized to issue and sell bonds of up to \$475,000,000 in aggregate principal amount to provide financing for the specific school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List below, and in order to qualify to receive State matching grant funds, subject to all of the accountability safeguards specified below.

#### **ACCOUNTABILITY SAFEGUARDS**

The provisions in this section are specifically included in this proposition in order that the District's voters and taxpayers may be assured that their money will be spent wisely to address specific facilities needs of the District, all in compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3) of the State Constitution, and the Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000 (codified at Education Code Sections 15264 and following).

Evaluation of Needs. The Board of Education hereby certifies that it has evaluated the facilities needs of the District, and the priority of addressing each of these needs. The results of the Board of Education's evaluation and its priorities are articulated in the District's Facilities Master Plan, adopted by the Board and dated May 22, 2012. In the course of its evaluation, the Board of Education took safety, class size reduction and information technology needs into consideration while developing the Facilities Master Plan and the Bond Project List.

<u>Limitation on Use of Bond Proceeds</u>. The State of California does not have the power to take locally approved school district bond funds for any State purposes. The Constitution allows proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this proposition to be used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities listed in this proposition, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses. Proceeds of the bonds may be used to pay or reimburse the District for the cost of District staff only when performing work on or necessary and incidental to the bond projects.

Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee. The Board of Education shall establish an independent Citizens' Oversight Committee (pursuant to Education Code Section 15278 and following), to ensure bond proceeds are spent only for the school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List. The committee shall be established within 60 days of the date on which the Board of Education enters the election results on its minutes.

Annual Performance Audits. The Board of Education shall conduct an annual, independent performance audit to ensure that the bond proceeds have been expended only on the school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List.

Annual Financial Audits. The Board of Education shall conduct an annual, independent financial audit of the bond proceeds (which shall be separate from the District's regular annual financial audit) until all of those proceeds have been spent for the school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List.

Special Bond Proceeds Account; Annual Report to Board. Upon approval of this proposition and the sale of any bonds approved, the Board of Education shall take actions necessary pursuant to Government Code Section 53410 and following to establish an account in which proceeds of the sale of bonds will be deposited. As long as any proceeds of the bonds remain unexpended, the Superintendent or the Deputy Superintendent, Business Services and Operations of the District (or such other employee as may perform substantially similar duties) shall cause a report to be filed with the Board no later than December 31 of each year, commencing December 31, 2012, stating (1) the amount of bond proceeds received and expended in that year, and (2) the status of any project funded or to be funded from bond proceeds. The report may relate to the calendar year, fiscal year, or other appropriate annual period as such officer shall determine, and may be incorporated into the annual budget, audit, or other appropriate routine report to the Board.

#### **FURTHER SPECIFICATIONS**

Specific Purposes. All of the purposes enumerated in this proposition shall be united and voted upon as one single proposition, pursuant to Education Code Section 15100, and shall constitute the specific purposes of the bonds, and proceeds of the bonds shall be spent only for such purposes, pursuant to Government Code Section 53410.

Joint Use. The District may enter into agreements with the County of Alameda or other public agencies or nonprofit organizations for joint use of school facilities financed with the proceeds of the bonds in accordance with Education Code Section 17077.42 (or any successor provision). The District may seek State grant funds for eligible joint-use projects as permitted by law, and this proposition hereby specifies and acknowledges that bond funds will or may be used to fund all or a portion of the local share for any eligible joint-use projects identified in the Bond Project List or as otherwise permitted by California State regulations, as the Board of Education shall determine.

Rate of Interest. The bonds shall bear interest at a rate per annum not exceeding the statutory maximum, payable at the time or times permitted by law.

Term of Bonds. The number of years the whole or any part of the bonds are to run shall not exceed the legal limit, though this shall not preclude bonds from being sold which mature prior to the legal limit.

#### **BOND PROJECT LIST**

The Bond Project List below describes the specific projects the Oakland Unified School District proposes to finance with proceeds of the bonds. Listed projects will be completed as needed at a particular school site according to Board-established priorities, and the order in which such projects appear on the Bond Project List is not an indication of priority for funding or completion. The final cost of each project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded, and projects are completed. Certain construction funds expected from non-bond sources, including State grant funds for eligible projects, have not yet been secured. Until all project costs and funding

sources are known, the Board of Education cannot determine the amount of bond proceeds available to be spent on each project, nor guarantee that the bonds will provide sufficient funds to allow completion of all listed projects. Completion of some projects may be subject to further government approvals by State officials and boards, to local environmental review, and to input from the public. For these reasons, inclusion of a project on the Bond Project List is not a guarantee that the project will be funded or completed. The Board of Education may make changes to the Bond Project List in the future consistent with the projects specified in the proposition.

<u>Part I</u>: District-wide authorization: the projects in this part are authorized to be completed at each or any of the District's sites, as shall be approved by the Board of Education. The examples under each project type do not form an exhaustive list.

- Construct, equip, furnish, repair and rehabilitate existing school sites to create
   a Full Service Community School District, including:
  - o Dedicated space for early childhood development,
  - o Private rooms for family counseling,
  - o Childhood Development Centers,
  - o Clinics for school health centers,
  - Q Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels,
  - o Improved community access to classrooms, auditoriums, multipurpose rooms, and other spaces,
  - Equip spaces for Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs.
- Enhance seismic safety, including:
  - o Seismic retrofits.
- Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility including:
  - o repairing, rehabilitating and acquiring HVAC systems, roofs, plumbing and electrical systems,
  - o upgrading accessibility, improving or acquiring technology and technology infrastructure,
  - o acquiring, replacing and upgrading security systems and fire alarm systems,

- o removing portable classrooms and replacing them with permanent buildings,
- improving school grounds,
- o renovating and/or constructing central, on-site, and community kitchens,
- constructing, renovating, and replacing school playgrounds, educational gardens, tracks and fields;
- o constructing and repairing landscaping and paving, and installing improvements such as sun-shades.
- Improve energy efficiency, renewable power generation, and sustainability including:
  - o installation of photovoltaic panels,
  - o upgrading lighting, lighting technology, and other lighting control systems,
  - o install window shades, or daylighting enhancements,
  - o install / upgrade insulation,
  - o perform mechanical system repairs, replacements, and upgrades
  - o install and/or upgrade automatic control systems,
  - o remediate storm water impacts,
  - o other resource usage reduction initiatives, and
  - o reduce light pollution.
- Increase utilization of under-used assets, including:
  - redeveloping administrative sites and inactive school sites,
  - o reconfiguring inactive school sites for alternative uses such as teacher housing, alternative academics, and training,
  - reconfiguration of sites or parts of sites to house administrative functions, and
  - o optimizing active school sites to host community partners.

- o Enhance seismic safety,
- Equip spaces for Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs.

#### • Foster Elementary School (2850 West Street)

- O Convert site into a central commissary including a central kitchen, a farm produce receiving and handling center, a demonstration farm, and a food education center,
- o Enhance seismic safety.

#### Fremont Federation School (4610 Foothill)

- O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
- o Turf field replacement,
- o Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,
- o Enhance seismic safety.

#### • Glenview Elementary (4215 La Cresta Avenue)

- o Remove portable classrooms and replace them with permanent buildings,
- o Enhance seismic safety.

#### • Skyline High School (12250 Skyline Blvd)

- Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
- o Repair and improve paving on roads and paths on campus,
- Turf field replacement,
- o Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,
- o Enhance seismic safety,

- Technological and facilities improvements to support student learning & instruction, including:
  - o Acquiring, modernizing or replacing classroom and instructional technology required for 21st century student learning and teaching,
  - o Upgrading and expanding classrooms, labs, and specialized facilities for career and vocational technology programs,
  - O Constructing, rehabilitating and modernizing classrooms, labs, and specialized facilities for high school students, and
  - O Upgrading, replacing, repairing or acquiring electrical capacity and aging wiring to support instructional technology.

Part II: Site-specific authorization: the following projects are authorized at the specific sites indicated below (and are supplemental to, and do not limit the authorization set forth in, Part I).

- Washington Elementary School (581 6st Street)
  - o Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels (K-8),
  - O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- Roosevelt Middle School (1926 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue)
  - O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,
  - Enhance seismic safety.
- McClymonds High School (2608 Myrtle Street)
  - O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Turf field replacement,
  - o Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,

- Equip spaces for Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs.
- Webster Academy (8000 Birch Street)
  - o Childhood Development Center replacement.
- Whittier Elementary School (6328 East 17<sup>th</sup> Street)
  - O Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels (K-8),
  - Remove portable classrooms and replace them with permanent buildings,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- Sobrante Park Elementary School (470 El Paseo Drive)
  - O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- Madison Middle School (400 Capistrano Drive)
  - O Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels (6-12)
  - o Construct sports complex.

Each project listed is assumed to include its share of costs of the election and bond issuance and other construction-related costs, such as construction management, architectural, engineering, inspection and other planning costs, legal, accounting and similar fees, independent annual financial and performance audits, a customary construction contingency, and other costs incidental to and necessary for completion of the listed projects (whether work is performed by the District or by third parties), including:

Remove, dispose of, and otherwise remediate hazardous materials, including asbestos, lead, etc., where necessary.

Address unforeseen conditions revealed by construction/modernization (including plumbing or gas line breaks, dry rot, seismic, structural, etc.).

Site preparation/restoration in connection with new construction, renovation or remodeling, or installation or removal of relocatable classrooms, including ingress and egress, demolition of existing structures, removing, replacing, or installing irrigation and drainage, utility lines (such as gas lines, water lines, electrical lines, sewer lines, and communication lines), trees and landscaping, relocating fire access roads, traffic lights and mitigation, and acquiring any necessary easements, licenses, or rights of way to the property.

Rental or construction of storage facilities and other space on an interim basis, as needed to accommodate construction materials, equipment, and personnel, and interim classrooms (including relocatables) for students and school functions or other storage for classroom materials displaced during construction.

Acquisition of any of the facilities on the Bond Project List through temporary lease or lease-purchase arrangements, or execute purchase option under a lease for any of these authorized facilities.

Furnishing and equipping of existing and newly constructed, modernized or rehabilitated classrooms and facilities on an ongoing basis, including to replace worn, broken, or out-of-date furniture and equipment for all classrooms, athletic facilities and other facilities, as needed.

For any project involving renovation, modernization, remodeling or rehabilitation of a building or the major portion of a building, the District may proceed with new replacement construction instead (including any necessary demolition), if the Board of Education determines that replacement and new construction is more practical than rehabilitation and renovation, considering the building's age, condition, expected remaining life, comparative cost, and other relevant factors.

The Bond Project List shall be considered a part of this ballot proposition, and shall be reproduced in any official document required to contain the full statement of the bond proposition.

<><< CONTROL C

# LEGISLATIVE FILE File ID No. 15-2024 Introduction Date 10-14-15 Enactment No. Enactment Date

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Office of the Board of Education
October 14, 2015

To:

Board of Education

From:

Antwan Wilson, Superintendent

By: Hitesh Haria, Chief Operations Officer

Lance Jackson, Interim Deputy Chief, Facilities Planning and

Management

Subject:

Expenditure Authorization / Measure J Bond / Second Series Issuance - In an Amount Not to Exceed \$180 Million to Fund Measure J New Construction and

**Modernization Projects** 

#### **ACTION REQUESTED**

Adoption of Resolution No. 1516-0016 authorizing the expenditure of the August 2015 second issuance of bond funds for Measure J new construction and modernization projects in accordance with the Measure J Bond Project List and the amended Measure J Spending Plan.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In November of 2012, the voters of Oakland authorized the issuance of up to \$475 million of general obligation bonds. In 2013, the Board authorized the first series of bonds of \$120 million to be issued under Measure J. On June 24, 2015 the Board authorized the second series of bonds of \$180 million to be issued under Measure J.

This resolution and related documents will authorize the expenditure of the second series issuance in a not-to-exceed amount of \$180 million in accordance with the Measure J Bond Project List and the amended Measure J Spending Plan and allow the District to continue to fund its capital facilities program projects over the next two years.

#### BACKGROUND

On April 9, 2014 the Facilities Department presented to the Board a comprehensive report on planned Measure J Facilities Projects and prioritization, Legislative File number 14-0653, entitled

"Draft Measure J Spending Plan." (A copy of the 2014 Draft Spending Plan is attached to the pending Resolution as Exhibit "A".)

On June 24, 2015, the Facilities Department presented to the Board Measure J projects and bond prioritizations, Legislative File number 15-1389, which included the 2014 Draft Spending Plan and a new, revised spending plan for Measure J Facilities Projects, entitled "Draft Measure B & J Revised Plan". (A copy of the 2015 Revised Plan is attached to the pending Resolution as Exhibit "B".)

Since the August 2015 second series Measure J Bond issuance, the Measure J Spending Plan has been revised ("Revised 2015 Measure J Spending Plan") to reflect the tax-exempt bond proceeds that will be used for construction projects approved by the Board and allowable under Measure J and the taxable bonds issued for interim housing while the Dr. Marcus Foster Educational Leadership Center is b u i l t. (A copy of the final Revised 2015 Measure J Spending Plan is attached to the pending Resolution as Exhibit "C".)

Staff is requesting that the Board authorize expenditures from the second series Measure J Bond issuance in an amount not to exceed \$180 million to Fund Measure J New Construction and Modernization Projects consistent with the previously presented 2014 "Draft Measure J Spending Plan" (Ex. "A") and 2015 "Draft Measure B & J Revised Plan" (Ex. "B"), and the final Revised 2015 Measure J Spending Plan (Ex. "C").

#### RECOMMENDATION

Adoption of Resolution No. 1516-0016 authorizing the expenditure of the August 2015 second issuance of bond funds for Measure J new construction and modernization projects in accordance with the Measure J Bond Project List and the amended Measure J Spending Plan.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Resolution No. 1516-0016

## BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

## RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE OF THE AUGUST 2015 SECOND ISSUANCE OF BOND FUNDS FOR MEASURE J NEW CONSTRUCTION AND MODERNIZATION PROJECTS

#### Resolution No. 1516-0016

**WHEREAS**, in November of 2012, the voters of Oakland authorized the issuance of up to \$475 million of general obligation bonds;

**WHEREAS**, in 2013, the Board authorized the first series of bonds of \$120 million to be issued under Measure J;

**WHEREAS**, on June 24, 2015 the Board authorized the second series of bonds of \$180 million to be issued under Measure J;

**WHEREAS,** by Resolution No. 1415-1183 the Board authorized the expenditure of the second series issuance in a not-to-exceed amount of \$180 million in accordance with the Measure J Bond Project List and the amended Measure J Spending Plan;

**WHEREAS,** on April 9, 2014 a comprehensive report on planned Measure J Facilities Projects and prioritization, entitled "Draft Measure J Spending Plan" was presented to the Board of Education for consideration, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A";

**WHEREAS**, on June 24, 2015 an updated report to the Board on Measure J Projects Facilities and bond prioritizations, was presented to the Board of Education and included the 2014 Draft Measure J Spending Plan and a revised spending plan for Measure J Facilities Projects entitled "Draft Measure B & J Revised Plan", a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "B";

WHEREAS, since the August 2015 second series Measure J Bond issuance, the Measure J spending plans have been further revised "Revised 2015 Measure J Spending Plan" to properly reflect the tax-exempt bond proceeds from the second series Measure J sale that will be used for construction projects approved by the Board and allowable under Measure J, and the taxable bond proceeds from the second series sale issued for interim housing while the Dr. Marcus Foster Educational Leadership Center is built, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "C";

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that** the Board of Education of the Oakland Unified School District herby authorizes expenditure of the 2013 First Series Issuance of Bond Funds in an amount not to exceed \$120 million dollars and the August 2015 Second Series Issuance of Bond Funds in an amount not to exceed \$180 million dollars for Measure J New Construction and

Modernization Projects consistent with the Measure J Bond Project List and as specified on the Revised 2015 Measure J Spending Plan.

PASSED	AND	ADOPTI	D by the	Board	of Edi	ucation	the C	<b>Dakland</b>	Unified	School
District,	, this	14 <sup>th</sup> day	of Octob	er, <b>201</b>	5, by	the foll	owing	y vote:		

**AYES:** 

**NOES:** 

ABSTAINED:

ABSENT:

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, Antwan Wilson, Secretary of the Board of Education of the Oakland Unified School District, Alameda County, State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly approved and adopted by the Board of Education of said district at a meeting thereof held on the 14th day of October, 2015, with a copy of such Resolution being on file in the Administrative Office of said District.

Antwan Wilson, Superintendent and Secretary to the Oakland Unified Board of Education

Community Schools. Throng Students

## # 14-0653 APRIL 9, 2014 DRAFT MEASURE J SPENDING FLAN

Location - Project	Location - Project Legislative. #s		1st Draw, Oct 2013 - \$120M		2nd Draw, Aug 2015 - \$160MIL		3rd Draw, Aug 2017 - \$150MIL		4th Draw, Aug 2019 - \$45MIL		Project Cost
			Board Appro	ve	d Projects						
California Solar Initiative (CSI) Project	13-2767	\$		_	oject complete	n/a		n/a		\$	40,250,000
Fremont High School - Replacement	12-1282	\$	8,050,000		23,000,000	\$	67,950,000	\$	32,000,000	\$	131,000,000
Central Kitchen/Commissary at Foster site	13-2840	\$	8,050,000	\$	27,950,000	proje	ct complete	n/a		\$	36,000,000
Glenview Elementary School - Replacement	13-2844	\$	6,900,000		39,100,000	proje	ct complete	n/a		\$	46,000,000
Madison Grade Expansion Project	13-2854	\$	5,750,000		25,300,000	proje	ct complete			\$	31,050,000
School Kitchens - Phase I		\$	3,175,000	\$	4,600,000	proje	ct complete	n/a		\$	7,775,000
Greenleaf Grade Expansion Project	13-2851	\$	2,300,000	-	4,600,000	\$	25,300,000			\$	32,200,000
Sankofa Grade Expansion Project	13-2848	\$	2,300,000	Table 2 and the later 2 is not 1	4,600,000	\$	10,925,000			\$	17,825,000
School Kitchens - Phase II	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					\$	1,150,000	proje	ct complete	\$	1,150,000
Roosevelt Modernization	11-0750	\$	1,000,000	\$	6,500,000	\$	15,650,000			\$	23,150,000
Subtotal		\$	77,775,000		135,650,000	\$	120,975,000	\$	32,000,000	\$	366,400,000
			Energy and	Te	chnology						
Prop 39 Projects and Energy Efficiency		\$	3,450,000	\$	3,450,000	\$	1,725,000			\$	8,625,000
Technology and Common Core		\$	2,150,000	-						\$	2,150,000
Security Camera Projects		\$	1,000,000	ì						\$	1,000,000
Technology infrastructure upgrades		S	2,400,000	\$	2,400,000	\$	2,400,000	1		\$	7,200,000
Subtotal		\$	9,000,000	\$	5,850,000	\$	4,125,000	\$		\$	18,975,000
		Defer	red Maintena	nce	Other Proje	cts					
Paving and Infrastructure	13-2839 to 13-2842	1 \$	6,555,000	\$	1,150,000	\$	8,775,000	\$	4,485,000	\$	20,965,000
Bathroom Renovations	13-2847, 13-2849	\$	5,750,000	\$	1,150,000	\$	8,775,000	\$	4,485,000	\$	20,160,000
Deferred Maintenance	13-2843, 13-2850	\$	3,800,000	A Paragraph of productions	3,900,000	\$	3,900,000			\$	11,600,000
Child Development Centers improvements	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	5,750,000	pro	ject complete	n/a		n/a	, manual transcription	\$	5,750,000
Turf Field Replacement- First two sites		S	2,645,000		,					\$	2,645,000
Health Clinics - Oakland Tech		\$	575,000					\$	2,875,000	\$	3,450,000
Turf Field Replacement- Next two sites		\$	-			\$	3,000,000	projec	ct complete	\$	3,000,000
Subtotal		\$	25,075,000	\$	6,200,000	\$	24,450,000	\$	11,845,000	\$	67,570,000
Bond Program Contingency		\$	4,600,000	\$	8,500,000	\$	7,800,000	\$	1,155,000	\$	22,055,000
		\$	116,450,000	\$	156,200,000	\$	157,350,000	\$	45,000,000	\$	475,000,000

#### DRAFT MEASURE B and J REVISED PLAN

	1st Draw, Oct 2013 \$120M	2nd Draw, Aug 2015 \$1606	B Draw, April 2017 \$65M	3rd Draw, Aug 2017 \$165M	4th Draw, Aug 2019 \$30M	Project Coat (Measure J)	Variance (Measure J)	Original Cost (Measure J)	% of Measure J	Notes
		Marjaryili	rations and New Constraict	foot,	_					2
Tomas Soint Inflative (CKS) Presect	1 95,000,000	The second secon				\$ 25,000,000	5 .	1 31,000,000	7 379	
new Elementary School - Replacement	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 17,000,000				\$ 43,000,000	1 1 000 000	\$ 45,000,000	9.059	
Seon Grede Expension Project (From 8-9 to 6-12)				\$ 10,000,000		3 77,000,000	3	1 27.000.000	5.665	
entered Expersion Project (Figure 4-6 to K-4)	3 3000 000	1 14000000		3 35000		\$ 42 000 000	18,000,000	5 28 000 000	8.529	
Viola Expansion Propertif From KIA to KIA;	11.000,000	3 29.000,000				3 7.000.000	1 18 500 0001	\$ 15,500,000	1.429	
moral High School - Replacement	\$ 2,000,000	5 5 502 000				\$ 82,000,000	\$ (32,000,000)	114000000	17.793	
	\$ 3550,000			5 76 450 900			\$ 40,500,000	1,400.00	10.429	
cation Learning Consider (ELC)		1 1000 000	-	5 43 500 300		5 42 500 000		1	. 0.215	
Clymonds HS Reform Intersers Support Eris		5 1,000,000	5 5,000,000			\$ 1,500,000	1 1000,000	1		
sillement HS Internens Support Sets		1 200,000	\$ 500000		-	5 1.000.000	1 1 200 200	1	5215	
eafeld E.G.Edensee Support DAs		\$ 1000,000	\$ 5,000,000			\$ 1000,000	5 1,202,500	3	2215	
A MS PERMAN SUCCIONAL		5 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000			\$ 1000,000	\$ 4.000,000	5	2219	
ntral Kitchen/Commany of Fooler Sits	\$ 7,000,00e	\$ 25 000 000	1 200000			1 26000000	1 5,000,000	3 51 200 000	7589	
soliCitchens - Presse I	5 3.175.000	\$ 8.603.000	3 2 000 000			\$ 49.775.000	1 5.015 000	5 5780,000	2.48%	
oolKochera - Phase E			\$ *1000,000			3	3 11 000 DOC	\$ 1,000,000	2009	
equel Modernzation						3	120 000 0001	5 20 000 000	0.000	See art months led
(a) distantive	\$ 70,725,000	\$ 132,600,000	\$ 44,000,000	\$ 131,950,000	t .	\$ 335.278.000	3 17,015,000	3 318.260.000	70,689	
	- CANADA	AL	Security Projects		And the second second		7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1			
		The second secon	Street, Coderie		The same and the s	The second second second second	Character Character Linear Colonia	The same of the sa	-	The state of the second
unity Carcara Plan (Design Only)		\$ 300,000				\$ 507,000	1 5/0/000	-	0.113	
unity improvement at HS and at priority MS		\$ \$100,000	\$ 3,000,000		E 1,000,000	\$ 4 000 000	1 1,000,000	5 1 000 too	D.543	
Subtotal	5	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 3,000,000	1 .	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 4,600,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	0.952	
			nergy and Technology							
p 30 Projects and Everyy Efficiency			1	E 3.715.000	s 1710,000	\$ 6.625.000		s .e.ezt.000	1,625	
cational Technology	\$ 1,700,000	1.500,000			1772.00		\$ 2500,000	3 300,000	0.47	
Problegy and Commen Core		\$ 1,500,000	1.000.000	\$ 500,000		\$ 2000,000	200000	1 7,200,000	1529	
	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 7.50000		3 2.400,000		\$ 7,100,000	1	-	-	
thrology infrastructure Upgrades	\$ 2,500,000		\$ 2,500,000	3		\$ 2,500,000	1 400,000	\$ 7,100,000	0.535	
Subtotal	3 6.800,000	\$ 5,400,000	5 3,500,000	\$ 6,615,000	\$ 1,710,000	\$ 20,325,000	1 2,400,000	3 17.925,000	4.285	
			er Capital Improvements							
NO and Prinalityce.19	1s 4502 007					s e.507.907	3 252000	19 200 000	1299	
	\$ 5.507.90T					5 8.507.907 5 5.040.469	3 /9 #92 0975 5 (17 #50 512)	t 18 200 000	* 1000	
proon Parovetone	\$ 507.907					3 5040 469	3 (12 450 515)		A 1097	
ong and Prinatricus in Prinain Panandora ofing Prinain	\$ 507.957 \$ 5049.469 \$ 2209.74					3 5 049 499 1 2 220 974	\$ (12.450.515) \$ 2.220.978		A 1207	(1.4)2.221 sacron modelin
Provin Parkandorie Prog Projecta noide ES Blaschers-Rendesorie	\$ 507.907					5 5 249 469 2 222 5/8 5 1,930,300	\$ 2200978 \$ 1,000,000	3	0.475 0.475	11.637.337 special modelite
iroum Paraeltoni Prop Projecti Anne ES Blacken Mechanism d'Ondescriment Carles in provincero - Lauril	\$ 5.07.907 \$ 5.049.89 \$ 2270.974 \$ 1.000.00			1 250, 20		5 5040 489 5 2 270 578 5 1,000,000 5 2 500,000	\$ (12.450.515) \$ 2.220.928 \$ 1.500.000 \$ (2.000.000	3	0.4°3 0.2°5 0.2°5	11-62-22 sesson mediate
omoin Penantone fring Princed from Princed from the Confidence Penantonine from the Confidence	\$ 5.507.957 \$ 0.049.469 \$ 2.200.95 \$ 1.300.00 \$ 2.500.000			2 2500 200		1 5040 499 1 2222 5/8 1 0200 000 1 1000 000 1 2500 000	3 (17 450 515) 3 (200 978 3 (300 990 3 (200 990 5 (900 990	3	0.4°3 0.4°3 0.2°5 3.743 0.231	11-62/22/ species madistre
Insuin Parkaetions Prop President Prop President Prop President Prop President Prop President Prop Prop Prop Prop Prop Prop Prop Prop	\$ 5.07.907 \$ 5.049.89 \$ 2270.974 \$ 1.000.00	Cth	er Capital Improvements	1 2500.300		\$ 5.00.499 \$ 277.516 \$ 1,00.000 \$ 2,00.000 \$ 2,00.000 \$ 2,00.000 \$ 250.000	3 (12 450 515) 3 (200 678 3 (300 690 3 (200 690 5 (200 690 3 (200 690 3 (200 690	3	04°3 04°3 0215 0743 0737	11-63/23/ carron moditie
onion Pennentoria frig Princial frig Princia	\$ 5.507.957 \$ 0.049.469 \$ 2.200.95 \$ 1.300.00 \$ 2.500.000	Qtb				3 200 469 1 2225 978 3 1200 000 3 2200 000 5 2250 000 5 2250 000 6 250 000	3 (12 450 511) 3 2 200 928 3 1,300 200 3 250 200 3 82 200 3 2 500 200	3	043 043 023 0743 0753 0733	11-52701 secon moditie
Integral Parkwellone Prop Proposition Prop Proposition Prop Proposition Development Cardien in Improvement - Laurel Interess - Centered Earle in Improvement - Laurel Interess - Centered Earle Prop Market Cardien From Prop	\$ 5.507.957 \$ 0.049.469 \$ 2.200.95 \$ 1.300.00 \$ 2.500.000	1 2/00/20 5 100/20	er Capital Improvements	5 2750000	2000 000	1 5747.499 1 22759/4 2 1000.000 1 2000.000 2 2000.000 5 2010.000 5 2210.000 5 1270.000 5 1270.000	3 (17 450 511) 3 (200 978 3 (130 000) 3 (200 000) 3 (200 000) 3 (200 000) 3 (200 000) 3 (200 000) 4 (200 000) 4 (200 000)	3	0.473 0.273 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743	11-55: )) I secon exelicie
onoun Panovations Aring Pinguista Aring Pinguista Aring Pinguista Aring Control Carterian Indonvanienta - Laurial Aring Control Carterian Indoversation - Laurial Aring Control Carterian Indoversation Aring Control Carterian Aring Control Aring Cont	\$ 8,502,907 \$ 5,009,809 \$ 2,200,909 \$ 1,500,000 \$ 2,500,000 \$ 2,500,000 \$ 82,500	Qtb	er Capital Improvements		3 200 CU	3 539,499 1 222,284 3 000,000 5 250,000 5 250,000 5 250,000 5 22,000 6 22,000 6 22,000 1 22,000 1 22,000 1 22,000 1 22,000 1 20,000	3 (12 450 511) 3 7 20 52 5 3 1 3 0 0 50 3 1 3 0 0 50 3 10 0 0 50 3 10 0 0 50 3 2 50 0 60 3 2 50 0 60 4 2 50 0 60 5 2 50 0 60 6 2 50 0 60	3	213 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 24	11-63/32/capron moditie
Intern Partnettons Fing Preparation Fing Preparation Fing Preparation Find Fish Belling Preparation Find Content Content Fine Find Content Fine Find Find Fine Find Fine Find Fine Find Find Fine Fine Find Fine Fine Find Fine Fine Find Fine Find Fine Find Fine Fine Find Fine Fine Find Fine Fine Fine Fine Fine Fine Fine Fine	\$ 5.507.957 \$ 0.049.469 \$ 2.200.95 \$ 1.300.00 \$ 2.500.000	1 2/00/20 5 100/20	er Capital Improvements	5 2750000		3 200 492 3 200 298 3 100 000 5 200 000 5 200 000 5 200 000 5 200 000 5 2160 000 5 2160 000 5 2160 000 5 200 000 6 200 00	\$ (17 450 511) \$ 1.700 575 \$ 1.500 500 \$ (2.000 500 \$ 600 0000 \$ 2.000 500 \$ 2	3	2413 2413 2413 2513 2513 2513 2513 2513 2513	11-63/32/capron moditie
Internal Parkwellone Prop Prepaise Prop Prepaise Prop Prepaise Bobbelones Westermann Bob	\$ 8,502,907 \$ 5,009,809 \$ 2,200,909 \$ 1,500,000 \$ 2,500,000 \$ 2,500,000 \$ 82,500	1 2507-918 5 1200-2005 5 1200-2005	er Capital Improvements	\$ 2750000 \$ 2000000		3 204149 3 222248 3 420000 3 520000 5 250000 5 250000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000	1 (17 #50 91%) 3 (200 92%) 4 (200 90%) 5 (200 90%) 5 (200 90%) 5 (200 90%) 6 (200 90%) 6 (200 90%) 6 (200 90%) 7 (	3	2132 0433 0433 0433 0433 0433 0433 0433 04	11-63/32/capron moditie
Arroum Parkantions Arroum Parkan	\$ 5.000 907 \$ 5.009 809 \$ 2.200924 \$ 1.300000 \$ 2.500000 \$ 88.594	1 2/00/20 5 100/20	er Capital Improvements	5 2750000		3 5091 499 1 2022 918 1 2022 918 1 1000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000	1 (17 450511) 3	1 17 500 000 3 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	219 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253	11-52 )) I service modition
moun Pennedons (ng Pingelia) (ng Pingelia) (ng Pingelia) (ng Pingelia) (Deletores Cartes Indopendenta - Laurel (Deletores Indopendenta -	\$ 5.000 907 \$ 5.009 809 \$ 2.200924 \$ 1.300000 \$ 2.500000 \$ 88.594	1 2507-918 5 1200-2005 5 1200-2005	er Capital Improvements	\$ 2750000 \$ 200000		3 204149 3 222248 3 420000 3 520000 5 250000 5 250000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000 5 220000	1 (17 #50 91%) 3 (200 92%) 4 (200 90%) 5 (200 90%) 5 (200 90%) 5 (200 90%) 6 (200 90%) 6 (200 90%) 6 (200 90%) 7 (	3	2132 0433 0433 0433 0433 0433 0433 0433 04	11-52 )) I service modition
Arroum Parkantions Arroum Parkan	\$ 8,502,507 \$ 0,508,607 \$ 2,200,005 \$ 1,300,000 \$ 2,500,000 \$ 82,506 \$ 200,000 \$ 500,000	2 500 700 5 1000 700 5 1000 700 5 1000 700	er Capital Improvements	\$ 2750000 \$ 200000	\$ 6000,000	3 5091 499 1 2022 918 1 2022 918 1 1000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000 1 2000 000	1 (17 450511) 3	1 17 500 000 3 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	219 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253 253	11-52 )) I severa moditie
moun Pennedons (ng Pingelia  Hop Pingelia  Hop Pingelia  Homes Co Blassime Mestarom  Dones Content Carter In Indones County  Bioches Content Face  200 MAR ED Pingelia Line  200 MAR ED Pingelia	\$ 5.507.007 \$ 5.009.009 \$ 2.201924 \$ 1.200.000 \$ 2.500.000 \$ 25.0000 \$ 20.000 \$ 20.000 \$ 20.000 \$ 20.000	1 2500 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 500 200 5 500 200	2 2500,000	\$ 2750000 \$ 2300000 \$ 2500000 \$ 10,750,000	\$ 6000,000	\$ 0,97,499 1 272574 1 1200,000 5 1200,000 5 12100,000 5 12100,000 6 12100,000 1 1200,000 1 1200,000 1 1200,000 1 1200,000 1 1200,000 1 1200,000	1 (72.455311) 1 7.250293 1 7.502920 1 10.00020 1 10.000200 1 10.000200 1 10.000200 1 10.000200 1 10.000200 1 10.000200 1 10.000200 1 7.007.872	1 17500 000 3 1 1000 000 1 1000 000 1 1000 000 1 1000 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.43 0.43 0.23 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.7	1-55: )) Lacon ealitie
moun Pennethors rip Pingstein rip Pingstein rip Pingstein rip Pingstein Robert Stein Robert Stei	\$ 8,502,507 \$ 0,508,607 \$ 2,200,005 \$ 1,300,000 \$ 2,500,000 \$ 82,506 \$ 200,000 \$ 500,000	2 500 700 5 1000 700 5 1000 700 5 1000 700	2 2500,000	\$ 275000 \$ 200000 \$ 200000 \$ 10,760,000 \$ 50000	\$ 6000,000	1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009 1 2007-009	1 (17 450511) 3	1 17 500 000 1 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 1 2 44 200 000 1 2 44 200 000	1000 0.41 1000 0	11-632 331 secons modities
Incon Paranetons Fing Pingelse Fing Pingelse Fing Pingelse Find Contract Contract Fine Find Contractors Find Find Find Contractors Find Contractors Find Contractors Find Find Find Find Contractors Find Contractors Find Find Find Find Find Find Find Find	\$ \$500 000 \$ 2500 000 \$ 2500 000 \$ 2500 000 \$ 2500 000 \$ 2500 000 \$ 200 000 \$ 200 000 \$ 2500 000 \$ 2500 000	1 2500 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 500 200 5 500 200	2 2500,000	\$ 2750000 \$ 2300000 \$ 2500000 \$ 10,750,000	\$ 6000,000	1 1000 dep 1 2022 dep 1 2022 dep 1 2020 dep 1 2000 dep	1 (17 450511)  1 720278  1 1302000  1 (2000000  3 (2000000  3 (2000000  3 (2000000  3 (2000000  3 (2000000  3 (2000000  3 (2000000  4 (2000000  5 (2000000  5 (2000000  7 (2017,8772	1 17500 000 1 1 1000 000 1 1000 000 1 1000 000 1 1000 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1200 0.1210 0.	11-502 331 secons modition
moun Pennenbors (reg Princises (reg	\$ 5.507.007 \$ 5.409.009 \$ 2.201974 \$ 1.200.000 \$ 2.500.000 \$ 25.0000 \$ 20.407.572 \$ 20.407.572	1 2500 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 500 200 5 500 200	2 2500,000	\$ 275000 \$ 200000 \$ 200000 \$ 10,760,000 \$ 50000	\$ 6000,000	1 200,400 1 2725/4 1 2725/4 1 1200,000 1 2500,000	1 (7.45531) 1 7.20291 2 7.20292 3 1 2000.000 3 1 2000.000 3 1 2155 3 2 200.000 4 200.000 1 200.000 1 200.000 1 7.017.872 1 500.000 1 3.500.000	1 17 500 000 1 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 1 2 44 200 000 1 2 44 200 000	1 000 0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1-55: 331 secun malitim
moun Pennestors reg Pingeste reg Pingeste reg Pingeste reg Pingeste reg Pingeste Rockers Rocke	\$ 8.502.507 \$ 0.508.609 \$ 2.200.000 \$ 1.300.000 \$ 2.500.000 \$ 250.000 \$ 500.000 \$ 500.000 \$ 20.487.572	1 2500 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 500 200 5 500 200	2 2500,000	\$ 275000 \$ 200000 \$ 200000 \$ 10,760,000 \$ 50000	\$ 6000,000	1 2007-009 1 202284 1 202284 1 202284 1 202000	1 (17 450 511)  1 7270 978  1 130 2000  1 (2 000 00	1 17 500 000 1 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 1 2 44 200 000 1 2 44 200 000	# 1999 Q4 '4' Q2 '4' Q2 '4' Q2 '4' Q3 '4' Q4 '4' Q5	11-52 201 secons modition
moun Parknetions  rop Propriets  de Co Blacchers Rectiveres  (Desegorant Car Str. In propriets 2 - Louril III  Dictass - Contended Car Str. In propriets 2 - Louril III  Dictass - Contended Car Str. In propriets  one Maker ED Physical Face  one Maker ED Physical Face  one Maker ED Physical Face  one Calestrome and Loo.  Another ED Physical Face  one Str. In propriets Face  (Valle ES Physical Face  Valle ES Physical Face  Valle ES Physical Face  (Valle ES Physical Face  (Valle ES Physical Face  One Str. In propriets  Bulletolal  Field Replacement - Coolers (CS & Cool Too) (16 Field  Field Replacement - Coolers (CS & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  Field Replacement - Coolers (CS & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16 Field  one ES Str. In propriets (16 ES & Cool Too) (16	\$ 5.507.007 \$ 5.499.009 \$ 2.201974 \$ 1.200.000 \$ 2.500.000 \$ 25.0000 \$ 20.407.572 \$ 20.407.572	1 2500 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 500 200 5 500 200	2 2500,000	\$ 275000 \$ 200000 \$ 200000 \$ 10,760,000 \$ 50000	\$ 6000,000	1 5 090 499 1 2 22 5 19 1 2 20 5 19 1 2 20 5 10 1 2 20	3 (77.455311) 3 7.25973 3 1.350720 3 1.350720 3 1.350720 3 7.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 3.550720	1 17 500 000 1 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 1 2 44 200 000 1 2 44 200 000	1 1000 0.45 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21	11-52 201 secons modition
moun Pennethors  rig Pingelia  she ES Bilaschere Pendromna  (Desesponent Cardan Pendromna  (Desesponent Cardan Pendromna  (Desesponent Cardan Pendromna  son Miller CS Pingerson Valler  son Calaboromna set also  matting and Pingerson Valler  sontial SE Pingerson Val	\$ 8.502.507 \$ 0.508.609 \$ 2.200.000 \$ 1.300.000 \$ 2.500.000 \$ 250.000 \$ 500.000 \$ 500.000 \$ 20.487.572	1 2500 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 1200 200 5 500 200 5 500 200	2 2500,000	\$ 275000 \$ 200000 \$ 200000 \$ 10,760,000 \$ 50000	\$ 6000,000	1 200.409 1 200.500	1 (17.450311) 1 7.270478 1 7.270478 1 1302200 1 (200020	1 17 500 000 1 2 200 000 1 2 200 000 1 3 200 000 1 3 200 000 1 3 44 200 009 1 2 2 45 500 2 2 7 7 1 1 1 0 0 0	1 200 0 4 1 200	11-57 2) Lacon mobilis
mon Pennethors Free Principle Free P	\$ 8.502.507 \$ 0.508.609 \$ 2.200.000 \$ 1.300.000 \$ 2.500.000 \$ 250.000 \$ 500.000 \$ 500.000 \$ 20.487.572	1 2500 000 1 1200 000 1 1200 000 1 1200 000 1 1120 000 1 1120 000	s Z500.000 Field Projects	\$ 275000 \$ 200000 \$ 200000 \$ 10,760,000 \$ 50000	\$ 6000,000	1 5 090 499 1 2 22 5 19 1 2 20 5 19 1 2 20 5 10 1 2 20	3 (77.455311) 3 7.25973 3 1.350720 3 1.350720 3 1.350720 3 7.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 2.550720 3 3.550720	1 17 500 000 1 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 5 2 100 000 1 2 44 200 000 1 2 44 200 000	1 1000 0.45 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21	11-57 27 Leaven moditie
mon Pennethors Free Principle Free P	\$ 8.502.907 \$ 5.409.909 \$ 2,209.90 \$ 1,000.909 \$ 2,509.905 \$ 25.00.905 \$ 200.905 \$ 200.905	1 2 500 300 1 1 200 000 1 1 200 000 2 5 500 300 2 112 200 1 12 200 1 12 200	s 2.500.000  S 2.500.000  S 2.500.000	1 2750000 1 2300000 1 2500000 3 19,769,999 5 500000 1 2750000	\$ 6000,000	1 200.409 1 200.500	1 (17 450 511) 1 7 170 171 1 1 7 170 171 1 1 1 150 170 171 1 1 1 150 170 171 1 1 1 150 170 171 1 1 1 150 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 17	1 17 500 000 1 2 200 000 1 2 200 000 1 3 200 000 1 3 200 000 1 3 44 200 009 1 2 2 45 500 2 2 7 7 1 1 1 0 0 0	1 200 0 4 1 200	11-52 201 secon mattin
moun Pennentons (reg Proposition (reg Pr	\$ 8.502.907 \$ 5.409.909 \$ 2,209.90 \$ 1,000.909 \$ 2,509.905 \$ 25.00.905 \$ 200.905 \$ 200.905	\$ 2500 000 \$ 1000 000 \$ 500 000 \$ 500 000 \$ 5,000 000 \$ 6,110,000	s 2.500.000  1 2.500.000  1 2.500.000  Fleid Projects  5 2.500.000  5 3.200.000	\$ 2750,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 10,750,000 \$ 10,750,000 \$ 2150,000 \$ 3,200,000	\$ 15.000.000 \$ 15.000.000	1 5090 499 1 2015/14 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 10000000 1 10000000 1 100000000	1 (17.455311) 1 7.202974 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 11602020 1 1 11602020	1 17500 000 1 1 17500 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2022	11-502 331 secons modition
Internal Parkentions  Frog Proposition  Frog Proposition  Frog Proposition  Bib Delete Contend Tech  Bib Delete Contend Tech  Bib Delete Contend Tech  grow Make Co Proposition  grow Make Co Proposition  grow Make Co Proposition  grow Make Contend Tech  Bib Delete  Bib Delete  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Grow Contend Tech  Grow Delete Proposition  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Bib Delete  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Grow Contend Tech  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Bib Delete  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Bib Proposition  Fred Asstr Tech  Bib Proposition  Bib Delete  Bib Notal  Delete Make Tech  Bib Make Contenders  Bib Notal  Delete Make Tech  Bib Make Contenders  Bib Notal  Delete Make Tech  Bib Make Tech	\$ 4.502.507 \$ 5.048.607 \$ 1.200.007 \$ 1.200.007 \$ 2.200.005 \$ 2.200.005 \$ 2.200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005	5 1000 000 5 1000 000 5 1000 000 5 5,000 000 1 100 000 1 100 000 5 6,110,000 5 9,100,000	s 2.500.000  S 2.500.000  S 2.500.000	\$ 2750000 \$ 200000 \$ 19,759,992 \$ 500000 \$ 2750000 \$ 3,299,990	\$ 15,000,000 \$ 15,000,000	1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 51.21,572	1 (17,450311) 1 7,270478 1 7,270478 1 130220 1 2000,000	1 17 500 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 200 0 4 1 200 0 4 1 200 0 4 1 200 0 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	11-632 331 secon molitie
Internal Parkentions  Frog Proposition  Frog Proposition  Frog Proposition  Bib Delete Contend Tech  Bib Delete Contend Tech  Bib Delete Contend Tech  grow Make Co Proposition  grow Make Co Proposition  grow Make Co Proposition  grow Make Contend Tech  Bib Delete  Bib Delete  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Grow Contend Tech  Grow Delete Proposition  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Bib Delete  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Grow Contend Tech  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Bib Delete  Fred Replacement - Delete ASS Low Tech  Bib Proposition  Fred Asstr Tech  Bib Proposition  Bib Delete  Bib Notal  Delete Make Tech  Bib Make Contenders  Bib Notal  Delete Make Tech  Bib Make Contenders  Bib Notal  Delete Make Tech  Bib Make Tech	\$ 8.502.907 \$ 5.409.909 \$ 2,209.90 \$ 1,000.909 \$ 2,509.905 \$ 25.00.905 \$ 200.905 \$ 200.905	\$ 2500 000 \$ 1000 000 \$ 500 000 \$ 500 000 \$ 5,000 000 \$ 6,110,000	s 2.500.000  1 2.500.000  1 2.500.000  Fleid Projects  5 2.500.000  5 3.200.000	\$ 2750,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 10,750,000 \$ 10,750,000 \$ 2150,000 \$ 3,200,000	\$ 15.000.000 \$ 15.000.000	1 5090 499 1 2015/14 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 2000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 1000000 1 10000000 1 10000000 1 100000000	1 (17.455311) 1 7.202974 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1502020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 1002020 1 1 11602020 1 1 11602020	1 17500 000 1 1 17500 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2022	11-02 201 secon moditie
Provide Personations  Africa Princisia  Africa Princis  Africa Princisia  Africa Princisia  Africa Princisia  Africa Pri	\$ 8.002.007 \$ 5.008.009 \$ 7.209.005 \$ 1.000.005 \$ 2.509.005 \$ 82.498 \$ 200.000 \$ 200.000 \$ 200.000 \$ 200.000 \$ 200.000 \$ 3.100.000 \$ 7.885.000 \$ 3.100.000 \$ 7.885.000	\$ 2500 000 \$ 110 000 \$ 1 70 600 \$ 1 70 600 \$ 1	2 2500.000  1 2500.000  1 2500.000  1 3,000.000  1 3,000.000  1 4,000.000	\$ 2750,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 \$ 2175,000 \$ 3299,990 \$ 3299,990 \$ 11665,000	\$ 15,000,000 \$ 15,000,000	1 209.499 1 2725/14 1 120000 1 120000 1 200000	1 (17,450311) 1 7,270478 1 7,270478 1 130220 1 2000,000	1 17500 COC 1 1 17500 COC 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 202 0.4 1 202	11-502 201 sacra malitin
Processor  Africa Projects  Africa Proje	\$ 4.502.507 \$ 5.048.607 \$ 1.200.007 \$ 1.200.007 \$ 2.200.005 \$ 2.200.005 \$ 2.200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 200.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005 \$ 3.000.005	5 1000 000 5 1000 000 5 1000 000 5 5,000 000 1 100 000 1 100 000 5 6,110,000 5 9,100,000	s 2.500.000  1 2.500.000  1 2.500.000  Fleid Projects  5 2.500.000  5 3.200.000	\$ 2750000 \$ 200000 \$ 19,759,992 \$ 500000 \$ 2750000 \$ 3,299,990	\$ 15,000,000 \$ 15,000,000	1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 200.00 1 51.21,572	1 (17,450311) 1 7,270478 1 7,270478 1 130220 1 2000,000	1 17 500 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 200 0 4 1 200 0 4 1 200 0 4 1 200 0 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	11-502 201 sacra malitin

Unfunded Projects		Notes
dra Brewer MS Modernzeton	\$ 41,000,000	
Asen Portable Demoston	\$	
I & C Infrastruction Lipprades	\$ 20,000,000	
Emeraca ES Fields	\$ 2,900,000	
Solden Gele/Aspire Bentley Vaynard Heating and Sutters	\$ 2,800,000	
effection ES Unit A Commission	\$ 125,000	
Security Commons Widon Accress	\$ 72,000,000	
00 High Blood Security Comeran	\$ 34,000	
200 Brushey	1 + 4mm am	
Rosevelt MS Modernumon	\$ 20,000,000	
TCPA	1 700,000	
Ckyline HS Dym	\$ 250,000	To be funded from rooting projects savings
Diskez Lights & Certiples		
Shanda Dama		
	3 153 556 000	

EXHIBIT B FILE #15-1389 JUNE 24, 2015





REVISED 2015 MEASURE J SPENDING PLAN Exhibit "C" 15 - 2024

FACILITIES PLANNING AND	MANAGEMENT
-------------------------	------------

		1st Draw, Oct 2013 \$120M		d Draw, Aug 015 \$180M		Draw, April 2017 \$65M		rd Draw, Aug 2017 \$145M		h Draw, Aug 2019 \$30M	Project Cost (Measure J)	Variance (Measure J)		Original Cost (Measure J)	% of Measure
the state of the s		A		B Madaraizatio	me o	nd New Con	eter	D CHOR		E	F	G			1
California Solar Initiative (CSI) Project	\$	35,000,000		Mederinzano	RATE IN	IND HER SHIP	CONTRACT.	- Contract	_		\$ 35,000,000	\$ -	_	\$ 35,000,000	7.3
Glenview Elementary School - Replacement	\$	6,000,000		37,000,000							\$ 43,000,000			\$ 40,000,000	9.0
Madison Grade Expansion Project (From 6-9 to 6-12)	\$	3,000,000		24,000,000	-			-	_		\$ 27,000,000			\$ 27,000,000	5.6
Greenleaf Expansion Project (From 6-9 to 6-12)	\$	9,500,000		30,500,000							\$ 40,000,000			\$ 28,000,000	8.4
Sankofa Expansion Project (From K-5 to K-8)	\$	2,000,000		5,000,000			-		-		\$ 7,000,000			\$ 15,500,000	1.4
Fremont High School - Replacement	\$	5,050,000		3,000,000			S	73,950,000			\$ 82,000,000			\$ 114,000,000	17.2
Dr Marcus Foster Leadership Campus (ELC2)	4	5,050,000	\$	7,000,000			S	36,000,000	_		\$ 43,000,000			\$ -	9.0
ELC2 Interim Housing (Restricted Funds)	-		\$	6,500,000				30,000,000	_		\$ 6,500,000			\$ -	1.3
McClymonds HS Intensive Support Site	-		\$	2,000,000	2	2,000,000	-				\$ 2,000,000				0.4
Castlemont HS Intensive Support Site	-		\$	4,000,000	Φ	2,000,000					\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,0		\$ -	0.8
Brookfield ES Intensive Support Site			\$	1,000,000	0	3,000,000					\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,0		\$ -	0.2
Frick MS Intensive Support Site			\$	1,000,000	Φ	3,000,000					\$ 1,000,000			\$ -	0.2
			Ф	1,000,000	-	8,000,000					\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,0	00	¢ -	0.0
ISS General Fund		7.000.000	-	00,000,000	\$	3,000,000	-		_			\$ 5,000,0	00	\$ 31,000,000	7.5
Central Kitchen	\$	7,000,000		29,000,000					_		\$ 3,775,000	\$ (2,985,0		\$ 6,760,000	0.7
Finishing Kitchens - Phase I	\$	2,512,944	4	1,262,056		16,000,000	-				\$ 3,775,000	\$ (1,000,0		\$ 1,000,000	0.0
Finishing Kitchens - Phase II	_			4 000 000	\$	13,000,000	-		-						0.2
Roosevelt Modernization (Design Only)			\$	1,000,000		12 222 222						(19,000,0			
Subtotal	\$	70,062,944	\$	152,262,056	_	48,000,000	2	109,950,000	\$	-	\$ 332,275,000	\$ 14,015,00	10	\$ 318,260,000	69.9
		Name and Address of the Owner, State of the Ow			Guri	ity Projects		ALLEGA AND	-	N. W. Comp. Lands and and belle lands	500,000	500.0	00.1	•	0.4
Security Camera Plan (Design Only)			\$	500,000					-		\$ 500,000				0.1
Security Improvement at HS and at priority MS			\$	2,000,000		4,000,000			\$	1,000,000					0,6
Subtotal	\$		\$	2,500,000	\$	4,000,000	\$	-	\$	1,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,500,00	00	\$ 1,000,000	0.7
				Energ	y ar	nd Technolog	ЗУ								
Prop 39 Projects and Energy Efficiency	\$	1,700,000	\$	1,500,000			\$	3,715,000	\$	1,710,000	\$ 8,625,000	\$ -		\$ 8,625,000	1.8
Educational Technology			\$	1,500,000	\$	1,000,000	\$	500,000			\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,0	00	\$ -	0.4
Technology and Common Core	\$	2,400,000	\$	2,400,000			\$	2,400,000			\$ 7,200,000	\$ -		\$ 7,200,000	1.5
Technology Infrastructure Upgrades	\$	2,500,000			\$	2,500,000	\$				\$ 2,500,000	\$ 400,0	00	\$ 2,100,000	0.5
Subtotal	\$	6,600,000	\$	5,400,000	\$	3,500,000	\$	6,615,000	\$	1,710,000	\$ 20,325,000	\$ 2,400,00	00	\$ 17,925,000	4.2
				Other C	apita	al Improveme	ents	3							
Device and Infrastructure				4											
Paving and Infrastructure	\$	6,186,715	1								\$ 6,186,715	\$ (12,013,2	85)	\$ 18,200,000	1.3
Bathroom Renovations	\$	5,049,489									\$ 5,049,489	(12,450,5	11)	\$ 17,500,000	1.0
Roofing Projects	\$	764,647									\$ 764,647	\$ 764,64	47	\$ -	0.1
Fruitvale ES Bleachers/Restrooms	\$	1,300,000									\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,0	00	\$ -	0.2
Child Development Centers Improvements - Laurel						-	\$	3,500,000			\$ 3,500,000	\$(2,000,0	00)	\$ 5,500,000	0.7
Health Clinics - Oakland Tech	\$	2,500,000									\$ 2,500,000	\$ (500,0)	00)	\$ 3,000,000	0.5
Joaquin Miller ES Playstructure	\$	89,198									\$ 89,198	\$ 89,19	98	\$ -	0.0
Claremont MS Kitchen Fire			\$	2,500,000	\$	2,500,000					\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,00	00	\$ -	0,5
Science Classrooms and Labs			S	1,000,000	-		\$	2,750,000	\$	9,000,000		\$ 12,750,00		\$ -	2.6
Playmatting and Playstructures			S	1,000,000			\$	2,000,000		6,000,000		\$ 9,000,0		\$ -	1,8
Manzanita ES Play Area	\$	200,000	-	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			-	,,	_		\$ 200,000	\$ 200,0		\$ -	0.0
Bella Vista ES Portable Removal	\$	600,000									\$ 600,000	\$ 600,0		S -	0.1
Skyline HS Gym Roof	\$	250,000									\$ 250,000	\$ 250.00		S -	0.0
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment (FF&E)	-	200,000	\$	500,000			\$	2,500,000	_		\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,0			0.6
Subtotal	\$	16,940,049	\$	5,000,000	C	2,500,000	-		\$		\$ 47,690,049			\$ 44,200,000	10,0
Subtotal	9	10,340,043	9		-		4	10,730,000	φ	13,000,000	41,030,043	\$ 3,450,04	-5	44,200,000	10,0
		0.505.000			relu	Projects					A	0 0 (440.0)	Troo	0.045.000	0.5
Turf Field Replacement - Skyline HS	\$	2,535,000		500.00		1 500 05						\$ (110,00		\$ 2,645,000	0.5
Turf Field Replacement - Oak Tech HS Field			\$	300,000	\$	1,200,000					\$ 300,000	\$ 300,0	UU		0.0
Turf Field Replacement - Castlemont HS & McClymonds HS							\$	2,700,000			\$ 2,700,000	2 -	- 1	\$ 2,700,000	0.5
Carter @ Oakland International High School Turf Replacement	\$	3,500,000									\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,00		\$ -	0.7
Burbank ES Site Improvements	\$	2,750,000									\$ 2,750,000	\$ 2,750,00		\$	0,6
Parker ES Astro Turf Installation	\$	1,253,993									\$ 1,253,993	\$ 1,253,99	93	\$ -	0.2
Scoreboard at Fields	\$	100,000									\$ 100,000				0.0
Middle School Fields			\$	5,000,000		4,300,000					\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,00		5 -	1.0
Subtotal	\$	10,138,993	\$	5,300,000	\$	5,500,000	\$	2,700,000	\$	-	\$ 18,138,993	\$ 12,693,99		\$ 5,345,000	3.8
Deferred Maintenance											\$ -	\$(11,600,00		\$ 11,600,000	0.0
Bond Program Contingency					\$	1,500,000	\$	3,120,000	\$	1,790,000	\$ 4,910,000	\$ (17,145,00	00)	\$ 22,055,000	1.0
Bond Program Coordination	\$	16,258,014	\$	9,537,944			\$	11,865,000	\$	10,500,000	\$ 48,160,958	(6,454,04	42)	\$ 54,615,000	10.1
															-
		120,000,000		180,000,000				145,000,000			\$ 475,000,000	\$ (100,00	-	\$ 475,000,000	100.0

TOTAL 540, 800. 1000 J&B MEASURE #



## **Answers To Questions**

#### **OUSD CBOC Questions / Answers**

OUSD Agenda Item #16-0598 Bond Project Measure J and B OUSD Legislative File #15-5024 dated Oct. 14. 2015 (attached) Presented by: Hitesh Haria and Lance Jackson

1. Background: This shows conchology of changes to the Original Measure J spending plan Exhibit A to the now Board approved spending plan exhibit C. Exhibit C also shows in column C – Measure "B" funds draw of \$65million in Aug 2015 (What is left in measure B? Explain what is happening/has happened with projects listed as unfunded in exhibit B?)

#### Answer #1:

Currently there are approximately \$16Million in accrued interest balance related to Bond Measure B issuances. There is \$65 Million that has not been drawn down we anticipate drawing down this summer. We are currently vetting internally how we will utilize the remaining funds. Once this is completed, staff will be ready to present publicly.

- Measure J County Counsel Analysis: Third paragraph describes included and allowed under OUSD Measure J (attached)
- In ballot measure Submittal Form Question to Voters is "repair bathrooms"
- Full Text of Analysis references District Facilities Master Plan dated May 22, 2012. <u>Can staff please provide this Master Plan for our next CBOC meeting?</u>
- (Measure J spending revised plan exhibit "C" changes allocation for bathroom renovation from original \$17,500 million to only spending \$5 049,489million why?)

#### Answer #2: Per the Measure J Ballot Resolution:

"The Bond Project List below describes the specific projects the District proposes to finance with proceeds of the bonds. Listed projects will be completed as needed at a particular school site according to Board-established priorities, and the order in which such projects appear on the Bond Project List is not an indication of priority for funding or completion. The final cost of each project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded, and projects are completed. ... Go to page 14: "Completion of some projects may be subject to further government approvals by State Officials and boards, to local environmental review, and to input from the public. For these reasons, inclusion of a project on the Bond Project List is not a guarantee that the project will be funded or completed. The Board of Education may make changes to the Bond Project List in the future consistent with the projects specified in the proposition.

Full Text of Analysis references District Facilities Master Plan dated May 22, 2012. <u>Provide Master Plan to CBOC</u>

#### Answer #3:

See full text of the Bond Measure which is attached.

- Bond Project list (attached): Part I;
- Improving School yards: How does district determine which sites to be paved, and when changing master projects list which ones get eliminated? How was the decision made to

revise this budget from \$18.2million to \$6,186,71million in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?

#### Answer #4:

District priorities changed. Because the 2012 Facilities Master Plan identified over \$1billion in direct facilities related "needs", yet the Measure J Bond was sized at \$475 million to meet debt financing requirements, District financial, legal and facilities staff ("Measure J Team") were tasked with preparing a draft a Project List to present to the voters that addresses both the current and prospective facilities assessment needs of the District. As noted above the bond measure approved by the voters provides that listed projects will be completed as needed at a particular school site according to Board-established priorities, and the order in which such projects appear on the Bond Project List is not an indication of priority for funding or completion. The final cost of each project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded, and projects are completed. ... Go to page 14: "Completion of some projects may be subject to further government approvals by State Officials and boards, to local environmental review, and to input from the public. For these reasons, inclusion of a project on the Bond Project List is not a guarantee that the project will be funded or completed. The Board of Education may make changes to the Bond Project List in the future consistent with the projects specified in the proposition.

• Constructing, renovating and replacing school play-yards, educational gardens, tracks and fields how does district prioritize projects? I.e., how does TECH girls' softball field/track combo get considered as a project?

#### Answer #5:

District priorities changed. Subsequent to the passage of Measure J, the Board of Education approved a new strategic plan called Pathways to Excellence: 2015-2020 which provides an academic roadmap rooted in the foundational principles of putting students first, equity and integrity. The five goals of the Strategic Plan are: (i) providing every student with access to a high-quality school, (ii) ensuring each student is prepared for college, career and community success, (iii) staffing every school with talented individuals committed to working in service of children, (iv) creating a school district that holds itself and its partners accountable for superior outcomes, and (v) guaranteeing rigorous instruction in every classroom. The Strategic Plan also identified three major priorities: (i) creating effective talent programs, (ii) creating an accountable school district, and (iii) creating quality community schools. In addition to the new strategic plan, the Board identified Intensive Support Schools and established as a part of the Quality School Development program a "Call for Quality Schools." There is currently

realignment of assets and programs throughout the District to support the Intensive Support Schools and the Schools participating in the Call for Quality Schools. This realignment has included changes in the allocation of bond funds to support capital improvements at these schools.

• Increase utilization of under-used assets includes redevelopment of administrative sites or inactive school sites Ask: Bond Counsel, does the law allow for 43million of Measure J to be used for new Marcus Foster ELG in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?

## Answer #6: Yes. See page # 14 of the Attachment (full text of the Bond Measure) and note response above.

• Reconfiguration of sites or parts of sites to house administrative functions *Ask: Bond Counsel, does the law allow for Measure J to be used for new Marcus Foster ELG in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?* 

#### Answer #7: Yes, See Part I. of the Ballot Resolution Language.

• Fremont Federation School (4610 Foothill) How was the decision made to revise this budget from \$ 114million to \$82million in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?

## Answer #8: Priorities Changed. See response above, noting as provided in the bond language, the final cost of each project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded, and projects are completed.

Part Bond Project List: Part II

• Roosevelt Middle School (1926 19th Ave) how was the decision made to revise this budget from \$20million to \$1million in revised spending plan exhibit "C"?

## Answer #9: Priorities Changed. Per the Measure J Ballot Resolution: See response above related to changes in the Fremont Project revised spending plan

#### To Staff - Questions and requests

**1.** CBOC requests written response that changes to Bond Projects list for Measure J is OK by law. Reason for ask: In several other CA School Districts citizens have filed lawsuits citing listed were not accomplished/dropped off the list, or that additional projects went forward

that were not in Bond projects list hat was passed by voters.

Answer #10: Per the Measure J Ballot Resolution: See the response above. The OUSD bond specifically provides that changes as provided in the bond are authorized.

- 2. Explain what other decisions/changes have been to Measure J spending plan and the process.
- Answer #11: See the response above. In addition, when drafting the Resolution calling an election and the accompanying Measure J "75 word" ballot measure language, the District carefully balanced the need for specificity with the desire for flexibility by creating the 2-tierd Project List, which specifically identifies seven (7) "Pipeline" projects (Part II) and flexibly addresses the remainder of District wide facility needs, in recognition of the over \$500 mil shortfall (Part I.)
  - 3. On Measure B project detail how much was spent in fiscal year 2014/2015.

#### Answer #12: \$10,553,922.50

4. Where in Master plan expenditure details are project specific consultants for architects, inspectors, and others shown?

#### Answer #13: This information is not part of the Master Plan.

- Provide vendor invoicing detail for all Measure J and B consultants listed on Measure J Consultant
- Answer #14: We currently provide a summary of the consultants' list as part of the Monthly Expenditure Report for Measure J.

Expenditure Details dated 3/30/16. What does Object 5825 mean?

- Answer #15: Per CSAM: This is the object code we use to track Consultant payments. Record expenditures for personal services rendered by personnel who are not on the payroll of the LEA. Professional/consulting services are delivered by an independent contractor (individual, entity, or firm) that offers its services to the public. Such services are paid on a fee basis for specialized services that are Page 330-24 November 2013 Procedure 330 Object Classification Code Definition usually considered to be temporary or short term in nature, normally in areas that supplement the expertise of the LEA. This includes all related expenditures covered by the personal services contract.
  - 6. On March 30th expenditure report: What is fund 35?

#### Answer #16: Per CSAM: This is the County Schools Facilities Fund. This Fund is used to

account for apportionments received from the state and for proceeds of various state bond issues. Districts may expend for new school facility construction, modernization and hardship projects. This fund is established pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.43 to receive apportionments from the 1998 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 1A), the 2002 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 47), the 2004 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 55), or the 2006 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 1D) authorized by the State Allocation Board for new school facility construction, modernization projects, and facility hardship grants, as provided in the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (Education Code Section 17070.10 et seg.). The principal revenues and other sources in this fund are: School Facilities Apportionments **Interest Interfund Transfers In Funding provided by the State Allocation** Board for reconstruction of facilities after disasters such as flooding may be deposited to Fund 35. Typical expenditures in this fund are payments for the costs of sites, site improvements, buildings, building improvements, and furniture and fixtures capitalized as a part of the construction project.

7. Explain Bond program contingency and reason/how dollars are allocated.

#### **Answer #17:**

Historically, the approach to managing risk in "large" school construction projects, which generally cost in the tens of millions of dollars, involved allocating a standard contingency reserve to the project, amounting somewhere between 5% and 10% of total project cost. However, the amount of the contingency will depend on the status of design, procurement, and construction; and the complexity and uncertainties of the component parts of the project. The idea is to ensure we have set aside an appropriate % of funds to cover unexpected program cost such as unplanned costs arising from inspections, cost overruns, etc. As we get closer to finishing a project, the contingency needed is reduced.

In general the project contingency serves three core purposes:

- $\cdot$  To account for errors and omissions in the construction documents
- · To modify or change the scope of the project
- · To pay for unknown conditions
- 8. Did District do any Bond fund transfers for the purpose of loans to other District programs in the fiscal 2014/2015? If so, were the funds returned to Bond account in 2014/2015 fiscal year?

#### Answer #18: No

9. What is timeline for Marcus Foster ELC, how it entire project going to be funded, (43Mil shown on exhibit "C", but presented elsewhere, total project cost is twice that amount – 77plus million).

Answer #19: The Board of Education will receive an update on the Marcus Foster Administrative Building and new Dewey Academy High School project at the Board meeting on May 25, 2016. After the presentation/update to the Board, the Staff welcomes the opportunity to provide an informational update to the CBOC.

#### New Business - Status of Audits

1. Status of Performance and Financial Audits - by end of March 31st of each fiscal year.

Answer #20: Bond audit has been completed and will be presented to the Committee at the May 17<sup>th</sup> committee meeting.

The District financial audits are performed by VTD as per the concurrence of the State Controller's Office. The District's financial audits are to be completed by December 15<sup>th</sup> following the end of the fiscal year (June 30<sup>th</sup>). We anticipate the 2014-15 financial audit to be completed no later than July 2016. At this point, the District we be caught up with its financial audits and fully expect the 2015-16 financial audit to be completed by December 15, 2016.

gislative File Info.
12-1566
6/13/12
12-1679
6/27/2



### Memo

Board of Education

From

Jacqueline Minor, General Counsel

**Board Meeting Date** 

June 27, 2012

Subject

RESOLUTION ORDERING SCHOOL BOND ELECTION IN AN

AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$475,000,000

**Action Requested** 

ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0756 ORDERING SCHOOL BOND ELECTION IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED

\$475,000,000

**Background** 

The Board is authorized to order elections for the purpose of submitting to the voters the question of whether the District may issue and sell general obligation bonds and levy an ad valorem tax to repay those bonds for the purpose of raising money for the construction and modernization of school facilities. The election would occur on November 6, 2012 and if approved by 55% of the votes cast, the District would be authorized to issue the bonds.

Discussion

The proposed ballot summary states:

"To improve the quality of Oakland schools and school facilities to better prepare students for college and jobs, to upgrade science labs, classrooms, computers and technology, improve student safety and security, repair bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewer lines, improve energy efficiency and earthquake safety, shall the Oakland Unified School District be authorized to issue \$475 million in bonds, with an independent citizens oversight committee and annual audits to guarantee funds are spent properly to benefit Oakland children?"

Recommendation

ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0756 ORDERING SCHOOL BOND ELECTION IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$475,000,000

**Fiscal Impact** 

If the bonds are approved, the District plans to sell the bonds in 4 series over a period of approximately 6 years. Principal and interest on the bonds will be payable from the proceeds of tax levies made

upon the taxable property in the District.

**Attachments** 

Resolution No. 1112-0756, Ballot Summary, Full Text of Measure

A944100



#### OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION

1025 Second Avenue, Suite 320 Oakland, CA 94606-2212 510.879.8199 w • 510.273.3235 f • 510.273.3474 TTY / TDD www.ousd.k12.ca.us

e-mail: boe@ousd.k12.ca.us



Community Schools, Thriving Students

#### **BOARD OF EDUCATION 2012**

Jody London, President, District 1 Jody.London@ousd.k12.ca.us

Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Vice President, District 3 Jumoke.Hodge@ousd.k12.ca.us

David Kakishiba, District 2 David.Kakishiba@ousd.k12.ca.us

Gary Yee Ed.D., District 4 Gary.Yee@ousd.k12.ca.us

Noel Gallo, District 5 Noel.Gallo@ousd.k12.ca.us

Christopher Dobbins, District 6 Christopher.Dobbins@ousd.k12.ca.us

Alice Spearman, District 7 Alice.Spearman@ousd.k12.ca.us

STAFF

Jacqueline Minor General Counsel Jacqueline.Minor@ousd.k12.ca.us

Tony Smith Ph.D. Superintendent Tony.Smith@ousd.k12.ca.us

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr. Secretary & Executive Officer, Board of Education Edgar.Rakestraw@ousd.k12.ca.us

July 30, 2012 HAND DELIVERED; ENDORSED COPY REQUESTED

#### RECEIVED Alameda County

JUL 30 2012

**Dave Macdonald** Registrar of Voters County of Alameda 1225 Fallon Street, Rm. G-1

Oakland, CA 94612

Cheryl Perkins Acting Clerk, Board of Supervisors County of Alameda 1221 Oak Street, Suite 536 Oakland, CA 94612

Reg. of Voters

Dear Mr. Macdonald and Ms. Perkins:

Re: Oakland Unified School District Resolution No. 1112-0756 - Ordering School Bond Election In An Amount Not To Exceed \$475,000,000

Enclosed please find an original copy of Oakland Unified School District Resolution No. 1112-0756, adopted June 27, 2012, Ordering School Bond Election In An Amount Not to Exceed \$475,000,000 ... for the District on the November 6, 2012 General Election Ballot. The particulars of the request are stated in the Resolution.

Also enclosed in the letter packet for you, Mr. Macdonald, on a flash drive, is a Microsoft Word copy of the Resolution.

The District respectfully requests each of you to take any and all necessary official actions, required by law, to cause this matter to be placed before District voters on the November 6, 2012 ballot.

I also will serve as liaison to your respective office as you prepare this measure for the ballot.

If I may respond to any questions or queries that you may have regarding the District's request, please feel free to communicate with me at 510/273-3238 (office) or 510/455-0797 (cell) or in my absence, my assistants, Linda Floyd at 510/273-3234 (office) or Ou Fin Saechao 510/273-3232 (office).

Sincerely,

ER:ofs

Enclosure: Oakland Unified School District Resolution No. 1112-0756 cc: President Jody London and Members, Board of Education (w/o enclosure) Tony Smith, Superintendent of Schools (w/o enclosure) Jacqueline Minor, General Counsel (w/o enclosure)



RECEIVED Alameda County

JUL 30 2012

## RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### Reg. of Voters

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0756**

## RESOLUTION ORDERING SCHOOL BOND ELECTION IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$475,000,000, AND AUTHORIZING NECESSARY ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

WHEREAS, the Board of Education (the "Board") of the Oakland Unified School District (the "District") is authorized to order elections within the District and to designate the specifications thereof, pursuant to Sections 5304 and 5322 of the Education Code of the State of California (the "Education Code"); and

WHEREAS the Board is specifically authorized to order elections for the purpose of submitting to the electors the question of whether bonds of the District shall be issued and sold for the purpose of raising money for the purposes hereinafter specified, pursuant to Education Code Sections 15100 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18 of Article XVI and Section 1 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and Section 15266 of the Education Code, school districts may seek approval of general obligation bonds and levy an ad valorem tax to repay those bonds upon a vote of at least 55% of those voting on a proposition for the purpose, provided certain accountability measures are included in the proposition; and

WHEREAS, the Board, deems it necessary and advisable to submit a bond proposition to the electors which, if approved by 55% of the votes cast, would permit the District to issue its bonds; and

WHEREAS, such a bond election must be conducted concurrent with a statewide primary election, general election, or special election, or at a regularly scheduled local election, as required by Section 15266 of the Education Code; and

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2012, a statewide general election is scheduled to be conducted throughout the District; and

WHEREAS, the District is located entirely within the County of Alameda (the "County") and the Alameda County Superintendent of Schools has jurisdiction over the District; and

WHEREAS, the District's boundaries have not changed since the June 6, 2006 Election; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Education Code Section 15270, based upon a projection of assessed property valuation and a proposed schedule of bond issuances, the Board has determined that,



## BALLOT MEASURE SUBMITTAL FORM

Official Use Only: Date Stamp

RECEIVED
Alameda County

IUL 3 0 2012

Reg. of Voters

	MEASURE QUESTION								
Jurisdictio	<sup>on Name:</sup> Oakland Unified School	District	Election Date: November 6, 2012						
Note: The information as it appears within the measure question text box will be printed on the ballot.									
Insert ball	ot question text here:								
	To improve the quality of Oakland schools and school facilities to better prepare students for college and jobs, to upgrade science labs, classrooms, computers and technology, improve student safety and security, repair bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewer lines, improve energy efficiency and earthquake safety, shall the Oakland Unified School District be authorized to issue \$475 million in bonds, with an independent citizens oversight committee and annual audits to guarantee funds are spent properly to benefit Oakland children?								
			75						
PERCENT	TAGE NEEDED TO PASS								
Fifty-five (	55%) Percent								
FULL TEX	KT OPTION								
Full Text to	be printed in the Voter Information Pamph	ılet:							
YES (no	te: must submit separate copy of Full Text along	with this forn	))						
□ NO	, 10 ,	,							
AUTHORI	ZED REPRESENTATIVE								
Print Name:			Date:						
Edgar Ra	kestraw, Jr., Secretary of the Governi	ing Board	July 30, 2012						
Signature:	lake I								
	CT INFORMATION (for office use)	CO	NTACT INFORMATION (for public)						
Phone #: 510/273-3238 office; 510/455-0797 cell Phone #: 510/879-8199									
E-Mail:  Edgar.Rakestraw@ousd.k12.ca.us  boe@ousd.k12.ca.us/http://www.ousd.k12.ca.us									

#### OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION

1025 Second Avenue, Suite 320 Oakland, CA 94606-2212 510.879.8199 w • 510.273.3235 f • 510.273.3474 TTY / TDD www.ousd.k12.ca.us

e-mail: boe@ousd.k12.ca.us



Community Schools, Thriving Students

#### **BOARD OF EDUCATION 2012**

Jody London, President, District 1 Jody.London@ousd.k12.ca.us

Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Vice President, District 3 Jumoke.Hodge@ousd.k12.ca.us

David Kakishiba, District 2 David.Kakishiba@ousd.k12.ca.us

Gary Yee Ed.D., District 4 Gary.Yee@ousd.k12.ca.us

Noel Gallo, District 5 Noel.Gallo@ousd.k12.ca.us

Christopher Dobbins, District 6 Christopher.Dobbins@ousd.k12.ca.us

Alice Spearman, District 7 Alice.Spearman@ousd.k12.ca.us

> SUPERVISORS' OFFICE CLERK, BOARD

STAFF

**Jacqueline Minor** General Counsel Jacqueline.Minor@ousd.k12.ca.us

Tony Smith Ph.D. Superintendent Tony.Smith@ousd.k12.ca.us

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr. Secretary & Executive Officer, Board of Education Edgar.Rakestraw@ousd.k12.ca.us

July 30, 2012

#### HAND DELIVERED; ENDORSED COPY REQUESTED

**Dave Macdonald** Registrar of Voters County of Alameda 1225 Fallon Street, Rm. G-1

Oakland, CA 94612

Cheryl Perkins Acting Clerk, Board of Supervisors County of Alameda 1221 Oak Street, Suite 536 Oakland, CA 94612

Dear Mr. Macdonald and Ms. Perkins:

Re: Oakland Unified School District Resolution No. 1112-0756 - Ordering School Bond Election In An Amount Not To Exceed \$475,000,000

Enclosed please find an original copy of Oakland Unified School District Resolution No. 1112-0756, adopted June 27, 2012, Ordering School Bond Election In An Amount Not to Exceed \$475,000,000 ... for the District on the November 6, 2012 General Election Ballot. The particulars of the request are stated in the Resolution.

Also enclosed in the letter packet for you, Mr. Macdonald, on a flash drive, is a Microsoft Word copy of the Resolution.

The District respectfully requests each of you to take any and all necessary official actions, required by law, to cause this matter to be placed before District voters on the November 6, 2012 ballot.

I also will serve as liaison to your respective office as you prepare this measure for the ballot.

If I may respond to any questions or queries that you may have regarding the District's request, please feel free to communicate with me at 510/273-3238 (office) or 510/455-0797 (cell) or in my absence, my assistants, Linda Floyd at 510/273-3234 (office) or Ou Fin Saechao 510/273-3232 (office).

Sincerely,

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr.

ER:ofs

Enclosure: Oakland Unified School District Resolution No. 1112-0756 cc: President Jody London and Members, Board of Education (w/o enclosure) Tony Smith, Superintendent of Schools (w/o enclosure) Jacqueline Minor, General Counsel (w/o enclosure)

# RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0756

RESOLUTION ORDERING SCHOOL BOND ELECTION IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$475,000,000, AND AUTHORIZING NECESSARY ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

WHEREAS, the Board of Education (the "Board") of the Oakland Unified School District (the "District") is authorized to order elections within the District and to designate the specifications thereof, pursuant to Sections 5304 and 5322 of the Education Code of the State of California (the "Education Code"); and

WHEREAS the Board is specifically authorized to order elections for the purpose of submitting to the electors the question of whether bonds of the District shall be issued and sold for the purpose of raising money for the purposes hereinafter specified, pursuant to Education Code Sections 15100 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18 of Article XVI and Section 1 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and Section 15266 of the Education Code, school districts may seek approval of general obligation bonds and levy an ad valorem tax to repay those bonds upon a vote of at least 55% of those voting on a proposition for the purpose, provided certain accountability measures are included in the proposition; and

WHEREAS, the Board, deems it necessary and advisable to submit a bond proposition to the electors which, if approved by 55% of the votes cast, would permit the District to issue its bonds; and

WHEREAS, such a bond election must be conducted concurrent with a statewide primary election, general election, or special election, or at a regularly scheduled local election, as required by Section 15266 of the Education Code; and

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2012, a statewide general election is scheduled to be conducted throughout the District; and

WHEREAS, the District is located entirely within the County of Alameda (the "County") and the Alameda County Superintendent of Schools has jurisdiction over the District; and

WHEREAS, the District's boundaries have not changed since the June 6, 2006 Election; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Education Code Section 15270, based upon a projection of assessed property valuation and a proposed schedule of bond issuances, the Board has determined that,

# RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0756**

# RESOLUTION ORDERING SCHOOL BOND ELECTION IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$475,000,000, AND AUTHORIZING NECESSARY ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

WHEREAS, the Board of Education (the "Board") of the Oakland Unified School District (the "District") is authorized to order elections within the District and to designate the specifications thereof, pursuant to Sections 5304 and 5322 of the Education Code of the State of California (the "Education Code"); and

WHEREAS the Board is specifically authorized to order elections for the purpose of submitting to the electors the question of whether bonds of the District shall be issued and sold for the purpose of raising money for the purposes hereinafter specified, pursuant to Education Code Sections 15100 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18 of Article XVI and Section 1 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and Section 15266 of the Education Code, school districts may seek approval of general obligation bonds and levy an ad valorem tax to repay those bonds upon a vote of at least 55% of those voting on a proposition for the purpose, provided certain accountability measures are included in the proposition; and

WHEREAS, the Board, deems it necessary and advisable to submit a bond proposition to the electors which, if approved by 55% of the votes cast, would permit the District to issue its bonds; and

WHEREAS, such a bond election must be conducted concurrent with a statewide primary election, general election, or special election, or at a regularly scheduled local election, as required by Section 15266 of the Education Code; and

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2012, a statewide general election is scheduled to be conducted throughout the District; and

WHEREAS, the District is located entirely within the County of Alameda (the "County") and the Alameda County Superintendent of Schools has jurisdiction over the District; and

WHEREAS, the District's boundaries have not changed since the June 6, 2006 Election; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Education Code Section 15270, based upon a projection of assessed property valuation and a proposed schedule of bond issuances, the Board has determined that,

if approved by voters, the tax rate levied to meet the debt service requirements of the bonds proposed to be issued will not exceed the statutory limit of \$60 per year per \$100,000 of assessed valuation of taxable property pursuant to Education Code Section 15270; and

WHEREAS, Section 9400 et seq. of the Elections Code of the State of California (the "Elections Code") requires that a tax rate statement be contained in all official materials, including any ballot pamphlet prepared, sponsored or distributed by the District, relating to the election; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Education now desires to authorize the filing of a ballot argument in favor of the proposition to be submitted to the voters at the election; and

WHEREAS, if the project to be funded by the bonds will require State matching funds for any phase, the sample ballot must contain a statement, in form prescribed by law, advising the voters of that fact, and the Board of Education finds that completion of all or a portion of certain projects listed in the bond project list will not require State matching funds not yet received by the District;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT DOES HEREBY FIND, RESOLVE, DETERMINE AND ORDER, AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Recitals. All of the above recitals are true and correct.
- 2. Specifications of Election Order. Pursuant to Education Code Sections 5304, 5322, 15100 et seq., and 15266, an election shall be held within the boundaries of the Oakland Unified School District on November 6, 2012, for the purpose of submitting to the registered voters of the District the proposition contained in Exhibit A hereto.
- 3. Order of Election; Specifications of Ballot Measure; Abbreviation of Proposition. Pursuant to Section 13247 of the Elections Code and Education Code Sections 5322 and 15122, this Board of Education hereby directs the Registrar of Voters of the County (the "Registrar of Voters") to submit to the voters of the District at said election the proposition contained in Exhibit A-II hereto, and to use as the abbreviation of the bond proposition on the ballot the Abbreviation contained in Exhibit A-I hereto.
- 4. Required Certification. The Board of Education hereby certifies that it has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the Bond Project List of the proposition contained in Exhibit A-II hereto.
- 5. Delivery of Order of Election and Tax Rate Statement to County Officers. As soon as practicable following adoption of this Resolution, and in any event no later than August 10, 2012 (which date is not fewer than 88 days prior to the date set for the election), the Secretary of this Board of Education is hereby directed to cause one copy of this Resolution to be filed (1) with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County, and (2) with the Registrar of Voters of the County. The copy filed with the Registrar of Voters shall include the Tax Rate Statement (in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit B), completed and signed by the Superintendent of the District.



# 6. Conduct of Election.

- (a) Request to Registrar of Voters. Pursuant to Section 5303 of the Education Code, the Registrar of Voters is required to, and is hereby requested to, take all steps to hold the election in accordance with law and these specifications.
- (b) Ballot and Voter Pamphlet. The Registrar of Voters is requested to cause the exact wording of the Abbreviation of the Measure contained in Exhibit A-I to appear on the ballot, and to print the Full Text of the Measure contained in Exhibit A-II in the voter information pamphlet to be distributed to voters pursuant to Section 13307 of the Elections Code. The full text of the measure is all that text in Appendix A hereto between the indicators:

"BEGINNING OF FULL TEXT OF MEASURE---->>>>" and

# "<<<< -----END OF FULL TEXT OF MEASURE."

- (c) Consolidation. Pursuant to Education Code Section 15266(a), the election shall be consolidated with the statewide general election on November 6, 2012, and pursuant to Part 3 (commencing with Section 10400) of Division 10 of the Elections Code, the Registrar of Voters and the Board of Supervisors of the County are hereby requested to order consolidation of the election with such other elections as may be held on the same day in the same territory or in territory that is in part the same.
- (d) Canvass of Results. The Board of Supervisors of the County is authorized to canvass the returns of the election pursuant to Section 10411 of the Elections Code.
- (e) Required Vote. Pursuant to Section 18 of Article XVI and Section 1 of Article XIII A of the State Constitution, the proposition contained in Appendix A shall become effective upon the affirmative vote of at least 55% of those voters voting on the proposition.
- (f) Election Costs. This Board of Education shall pay all costs of the election approved by the Board of Supervisors of the County, pursuant to Education Code Section 5421.
- 7. No Requirement of State Matching Funds. The District has determined that the projects to be funded from the proposed bonds will not require State matching funds for any phase thereof, and that Section 15122.5 of the Education Code does not apply to the proposition, and accordingly, the Registrar of Voters is directed not to include the disclosure otherwise required by that section.
- 8. <u>Ballot Arguments:</u> The President of this Board, or any member or members of this Board as the President shall designate, are hereby authorized, but not directed, to prepare and file with the Registrar of Voters a ballot argument in favor of the proposition contained in Exhibit A hereof, within the time established by the Registrar of Voters, which shall be considered the official ballot argument of this Board as sponsor of the proposition.
- 9. <u>Further Authorization</u>. The members of this Board, the Superintendent, the Deputy Superintendent, Business Services and Operations, and all other officers of the District are hereby authorized and directed, individually and collectively, to do any and all things that they deem

necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of this resolution in accordance with the terms hereof and of applicable provisions of law.

10. <u>Effective Date</u>; <u>Required Vote</u>. This Resolution shall take effect upon its adoption by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Education.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this day, June 27, 2012, by the following vote:

AYES: David Kakishiba, Alice Spearman, Gary Yee, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Vice President Jumoke Hinton Hodge,

President Jody London

NOES:

None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

APPROVED:

Wdy Londo

President of the Board of Education of the Oakland Unified School District

ATTEST:

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr.

Secretary of the Board of Education of the Oakland Unified School District



# **EXHIBIT A**

#### I. ABBREVIATION OF THE MEASURE

To improve the quality of Oakland schools and school facilities to better prepare students for college and jobs, to upgrade science labs, classrooms, computers and technology, improve student safety and security, repair bathrooms, electrical systems, plumbing and sewer lines, improve energy efficiency and earthquake safety, shall the Oakland Unified School District be authorized to issue \$475 million in bonds, with an independent citizens oversight committee and annual audits to guarantee funds are spent properly to benefit Oakland children?

[75 words]



#### II. FULL TEXT OF THE MEASURE

# BEGINNING OF FULL TEXT OF MEASURE---->>>>

# **BOND AUTHORIZATION**

By approval of this proposition by at least 55% of the registered voters voting on the proposition, the Oakland Unified School District (the "District") shall be authorized to issue and sell bonds of up to \$475,000,000 in aggregate principal amount to provide financing for the specific school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List below, and in order to qualify to receive State matching grant funds, subject to all of the accountability safeguards specified below.

# **ACCOUNTABILITY SAFEGUARDS**

The provisions in this section are specifically included in this proposition in order that the District's voters and taxpayers may be assured that their money will be spent wisely to address specific facilities needs of the District, all in compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3) of the State Constitution, and the Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000 (codified at Education Code Sections 15264 and following).

Evaluation of Needs. The Board of Education hereby certifies that it has evaluated the facilities needs of the District, and the priority of addressing each of these needs. The results of the Board of Education's evaluation and its priorities are articulated in the District's Facilities Master Plan, adopted by the Board and dated May 22, 2012. In the course of its evaluation, the Board of Education took safety, class size reduction and information technology needs into consideration while developing the Facilities Master Plan and the Bond Project List.

Limitation on Use of Bond Proceeds. The State of California does not have the power to take locally approved school district bond funds for any State purposes. The Constitution allows proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this proposition to be used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities listed in this proposition, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses. Proceeds of the bonds may be used to pay or reimburse the District for the cost of District staff only when performing work on or necessary and incidental to the bond projects.

Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee. The Board of Education shall establish an independent Citizens' Oversight Committee (pursuant to Education Code Section 15278 and following), to ensure bond proceeds are spent only for the school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List. The committee shall be established within 60 days of the date on which the Board of Education enters the election results on its minutes.

Annual Performance Audits. The Board of Education shall conduct an annual, independent performance audit to ensure that the bond proceeds have been expended only on the school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List.



Annual Financial Audits. The Board of Education shall conduct an annual, independent financial audit of the bond proceeds (which shall be separate from the District's regular annual financial audit) until all of those proceeds have been spent for the school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List.

Special Bond Proceeds Account; Annual Report to Board. Upon approval of this proposition and the sale of any bonds approved, the Board of Education shall take actions necessary pursuant to Government Code Section 53410 and following to establish an account in which proceeds of the sale of bonds will be deposited. As long as any proceeds of the bonds remain unexpended, the Superintendent or the Deputy Superintendent, Business Services and Operations of the District (or such other employee as may perform substantially similar duties) shall cause a report to be filed with the Board no later than December 31 of each year, commencing December 31, 2012, stating (1) the amount of bond proceeds received and expended in that year, and (2) the status of any project funded or to be funded from bond proceeds. The report may relate to the calendar year, fiscal year, or other appropriate annual period as such officer shall determine, and may be incorporated into the annual budget, audit, or other appropriate routine report to the Board.

#### **FURTHER SPECIFICATIONS**

Specific Purposes. All of the purposes enumerated in this proposition shall be united and voted upon as one single proposition, pursuant to Education Code Section 15100, and shall constitute the specific purposes of the bonds, and proceeds of the bonds shall be spent only for such purposes, pursuant to Government Code Section 53410.

Joint Use. The District may enter into agreements with the County of Alameda or other public agencies or nonprofit organizations for joint use of school facilities financed with the proceeds of the bonds in accordance with Education Code Section 17077.42 (or any successor provision). The District may seek State grant funds for eligible joint-use projects as permitted by law, and this proposition hereby specifies and acknowledges that bond funds will or may be used to fund all or a portion of the local share for any eligible joint-use projects identified in the Bond Project List or as otherwise permitted by California State regulations, as the Board of Education shall determine.

Rate of Interest. The bonds shall bear interest at a rate per annum not exceeding the statutory maximum, payable at the time or times permitted by law.

Term of Bonds. The number of years the whole or any part of the bonds are to run shall not exceed the legal limit, though this shall not preclude bonds from being sold which mature prior to the legal limit.

# BOND PROJECT LIST

The Bond Project List below describes the specific projects the Oakland Unified School District proposes to finance with proceeds of the bonds. Listed projects will be completed as needed at a particular school site according to Board-established priorities, and the order in which such projects appear on the Bond Project List is not an indication of priority for funding or completion. The final cost of each project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded, and projects are completed. Certain construction funds expected from non-bond sources, including State grant funds for eligible projects, have not yet been secured. Until all project costs and funding

sources are known, the Board of Education cannot determine the amount of bond proceeds available to be spent on each project, nor guarantee that the bonds will provide sufficient funds to allow completion of all listed projects. Completion of some projects may be subject to further government approvals by State officials and boards, to local environmental review, and to input from the public. For these reasons, inclusion of a project on the Bond Project List is not a guarantee that the project will be funded or completed. The Board of Education may make changes to the Bond Project List in the future consistent with the projects specified in the proposition.

Part I: District-wide authorization: the projects in this part are authorized to be completed at each or any of the District's sites, as shall be approved by the Board of Education. The examples under each project type do not form an exhaustive list.

- Construct, equip, furnish, repair and rehabilitate existing school sites to create a Full Service Community School District, including:
  - o Dedicated space for early childhood development,
  - O Private rooms for family counseling,
  - o Childhood Development Centers,
  - O Clinics for school health centers.
  - O Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels,
  - O Improved community access to classrooms, auditoriums, multipurpose rooms, and other spaces,
  - Equip spaces for Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs.
- Enhance seismic safety, including:
  - Seismic retrofits.
- Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility including:
  - o repairing, rehabilitating and acquiring HVAC systems, roofs, plumbing and electrical systems,
  - o upgrading accessibility, improving or acquiring technology and technology infrastructure,
  - acquiring, replacing and upgrading security systems and fire alarm systems,

(14)

- o removing portable classrooms and replacing them with permanent buildings,
- o improving school grounds,
- o renovating and/or constructing central, on-site, and community kitchens,
- o constructing, renovating, and replacing school playgrounds, educational gardens, tracks and fields;
- o constructing and repairing landscaping and paving, and installing improvements such as sun-shades.
- Improve energy efficiency, renewable power generation, and sustainability including:
  - o installation of photovoltaic panels,
  - upgrading lighting, lighting technology, and other lighting control systems,
  - o install window shades, or daylighting enhancements,
  - o install / upgrade insulation,
  - o perform mechanical system repairs, replacements, and upgrades
  - o install and/or upgrade automatic control systems,
  - o remediate storm water impacts,
  - o other resource usage reduction initiatives, and
  - o reduce light pollution.
- Increase utilization of under-used assets, including:
  - o redeveloping administrative sites and inactive school sites,
  - o reconfiguring inactive school sites for alternative uses such as teacher housing, alternative academics, and training,
  - o reconfiguration of sites or parts of sites to house administrative functions, and
  - o optimizing active school sites to host community partners.



- Technological and facilities improvements to support student learning & instruction, including:
  - O Acquiring, modernizing or replacing classroom and instructional technology required for 21st century student learning and teaching,
  - Upgrading and expanding classrooms, labs, and specialized facilities for career and vocational technology programs,
  - O Constructing, rehabilitating and modernizing classrooms, labs, and specialized facilities for high school students, and
  - O Upgrading, replacing, repairing or acquiring electrical capacity and aging wiring to support instructional technology.

Part II: Site-specific authorization: the following projects are authorized at the specific sites indicated below (and are supplemental to, and do not limit the authorization set forth in, Part I).

- Washington Elementary School (581 6st Street)
  - o Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels (K-8),
  - Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- Roosevelt Middle School (1926 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue)
  - O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- McClymor.ds High School (2608 Myrtle Street)
  - O Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Turf field replacement,
  - o Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,



- o Enhance seismic safety,
- Equip spaces for Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs.
- Foster Elementary School (2850 West Street)
  - O Convert site into a central commissary including a central kitchen, a farm produce receiving and handling center, a demonstration farm, and a food education center,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- Fremont Federation School (4610 Foothill)
  - Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Turf field replacement,
  - o Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,
  - Enhance seismic safety.
- Glenview Elementary (4215 La Cresta Avenue)
  - O Remove portable classrooms and replace them with permanent buildings,
  - Enhance seismic safety.
- Skyline High School (12250 Skyline Blvd)
  - Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - O Repair and improve paving on roads and paths on campus,
  - O Turf field replacement,
  - Improve energy efficiency and renewable power generation, including the installation of solar panels,
  - o Enhance seismic safety,

- Equip spaces for Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs.
- Webster Academy (8000 Birch Street)
  - Childhood Development Center replacement.
- Whittier Elementary School (6328 East 17th Street)
  - O Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels (K-8),
  - o Remove portable classrooms and replace them with permanent buildings,
  - Enhance seismic safety.
- Sobrante Park Elementary School (470 El Pasec Drive)
  - Upgrade and modernize school facilities, including mechanical, electrical and structural elements of permanent buildings, and improve safety, building performance, and accessibility,
  - o Enhance seismic safety.
- Madison Middle School (400 Capistrano Drive)
  - O Expand and modify sites that accommodate more grade levels (6-12)
  - O Construct sports complex.

Each project listed is assumed to include its share of costs of the election and bond issuance and other construction-related costs, such as construction management, architectural, engineering, inspection and other planning costs, legal, accounting and similar fees, independent annual financial and performance audits, a customary construction contingency, and other costs incidental to and necessary for completion of the listed projects (whether work is performed by the District or by third parties), including:

Remove, dispose of, and otherwise remediate hazardous materials, including asbestos, lead, etc., where necessary.

Address unforeseen conditions revealed by construction/modernization (including plumbing or gas line breaks, dry rot, seismic, structural, etc.).

Site preparation/restoration in connection with new construction, renovation or remodeling, or installation or removal of relocatable classrooms, including ingress and egress, demolition of existing structures, removing, replacing, or installing irrigation and drainage, utility lines (such as gas lines, water lines, electrical lines, sewer lines, and communication lines), trees and landscaping, relocating fire access roads, traffic lights and mitigation, and acquiring any necessary easements, licenses, or rights of way to the property.

Rental or construction of storage facilities and other space on an interim basis, as needed to accommodate construction materials, equipment, and personnel, and interim classrooms (including relocatables) for students and school functions or other storage for classroom materials displaced during construction.

Acquisition of any of the facilities on the Bond Project List through temporary lease or lease-purchase arrangements, or execute purchase option under a lease for any of these authorized facilities.

Furnishing and equipping of existing and newly constructed, modernized or rehabilitated classrooms and facilities on an ongoing basis, including to replace worn, broken, or out-of-date furniture and equipment for all classrooms, athletic facilities and other facilities, as needed.

For any project involving renovation, modernization, remodeling or rehabilitation of a building or the major portion of a building, the District may proceed with new replacement construction instead (including any necessary demolition), if the Board of Education determines that replacement and new construction is more practical than rehabilitation and renovation, considering the building's age, condition, expected remaining life, comparative cost, and other relevant factors.

The Bond Project List shall be considered a part of this ballot proposition, and shall be reproduced in any official document required to contain the full statement of the bond proposition.

<><< ----END OF FULL TEXT OF MEASURE.



#### EXHIBIT B

#### TAX RATE STATEMENT

An election will be held in the Oakland Unified School District (the "District") on November 6, 2012, to authorize the sale of up to \$475,000,000 in bonds of the District to finance school facilities as described in the measure. If the bonds are approved, the District plans to sell the bonds in 4 series over a period of approximately 6 years. Principal and interest on the bonds will be payable from the proceeds of tax levies made upon the taxable property in the District. The information presented in numbered paragraphs 1-3 below is provided in compliance with Sections 9400-9404 of the Elections Code of the State of California.

- 1. The best estimate of the maximum tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the first series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is no more than three and nine-tenths cents per \$100 (\$39 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2013-14.
- 2. The best estimate of the maximum tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the last series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is no more than six cents per \$100 (\$60 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2019-20.
- 3. The best estimate of the highest tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is six cents per \$100 (\$60 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation, which is estimated to apply in fiscal year 2019-20.

Approval of the ballot measure authorizes the issuance of bonds under certain conditions, and is not approval of a specific tax rate or a specific bond issuance plan. The tax rate estimates in this statement reflect the District's current projection of future assessed values and of future debt service payments, which are based on certain assumptions. The actual tax rates and the years in which they will apply may vary from those presently estimated, due to variations from these estimates in the timing of bond sales, the amount and repayment structure of bonds sold, market interest rates at the time of each sale, and actual assessed valuations over the term of repayment of the bonds. The dates of sale of the bonds and the amount and repayment structure of bonds sold at any given time will be determined by the District based on its need for construction funds, its intention to meet the tax rate targets stated above, the legal limitations on bonds approved by a 55% vote, and other factors. The actual interest rates at which the bonds are sold will depend on the bond market at the time of each sale. Actual future assessed valuation will depend upon the amount and value of taxable property within the District as determined by the County Assessor in the annual assessment and the equalization process.

Voters should note that the estimated tax rates are based on the ASSESSED VALUE of taxable property in the District as shown on the County's official tax rolls, not on the property's market value. Property owners should consult their own property tax bills to determine their property's assessed value and any applicable tax exemptions.

Dated: June 27, 2012.

I attest that the historical assessed value data used as a basis of these estimates are accurate.

Assessor Alameda County Superintendent
Oakland Unified School District

# SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATE

I, Edgar Rakestraw, Jr., Secretary, Board of Education of the Oakland Unified School District, County of Alameda, California, do hereby certify as follows:

The attached is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Board of Education of the District duly and regularly held at the regular meeting place thereof on June 27, 2012, and entered in the minutes thereof, of which meeting all of the members of the Board of Education had due notice and at which a quorum thereof was present. The resolution was adopted by the following vote:

AYES: David Kakishiba, Alice Spearman, Gary Yee, Noel Gallo,

Christopher Dobbins, Vice President Jumoke Hinton Hodge,

President Jody London

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

An agenda of said meeting was posted at least 72 hours before said meeting at 1025 Second Avenue, Oakland, California, a location freely accessible to members of the public, and a brief description of said resolution appeared on said agenda. A copy of said agenda is attached hereto.

I have carefully compared the same with the original minutes of the meeting on file and of record in my office. The resolution has not been amended, modified or rescinded since the date of its adoption, and the same is now in full force and effect.

Pursuant to Section 6 of said resolution, I have caused a certified copy thereof to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County.

WITINESS my hand this 27th day of June, 2012.

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr. Secretary, Board of Education

Oakland Unified School District

