

Board Office Use: Legislative File Info.	
File ID Number	25-2039
Introduction Date	8/27/25
Enactment Number	25-1755
Enactment Date	10/21/2025 er

Board Cover Memorandum

To Board of Education

From Dr. Denise Saddler, Interim Superintendent
Jenine Lindsey, General Counsel
Kelly Krag-Arnold, Director, Office of Charter Schools

Meeting Date October 21, 2025

Subject Charter Renewal Decision Hearing – **Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy**

RENEWAL DENIED

Ask of the Board Vote

Background On January 24, 2018, the OUSD Board of Education voted to approve a five-year term for Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy (“Aspire GSP”). Due to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, Education Code Section 47607.4 extended this term an additional three years, resulting in a charter term which currently expires on June 30, 2026.

On August 1, 2025, Aspire GSP submitted its renewal petition to OUSD. On September 25, 2025, the OUSD Board of Education held an Initial Public Hearing, where Aspire GSP staff had the opportunity to present to the Board. In accordance with California Education Code, the OUSD Office of Charter Schools prepared a Staff Report which was posted publicly by October 6, 2025.

Aspire GSP was placed in the Middle tier by the State and is consequently eligible for a 5-year term.

Discussion The Charter Schools Act of 1992 established the criteria by which charter renewal applications must be evaluated. A charter school must meet the requirements set forth in Education Code (Ed Code) Sections 47605, 47607, and 47607.2. Specifically, a charter school is evaluated on the following renewal criteria:

- I. Has the Charter School Presented a Sound Educational Program?
- II. Is the Charter School Demonstrably Likely to Successfully Implement the Proposed Educational Program?
- III. Is the Petition Reasonably Comprehensive?
- IV. Is the School Serving All Students Who Wish to Attend?

Based on the analysis in the attached Staff Report, the OUSD Office of Charter Schools (OCS) Staff recommends **approval** on the basis that the school has adequately met each of the four renewal criteria.

If the renewal petition is approved, Staff recommends a Fiscal and Performance Benchmark as detailed on Page 37 of the Staff Report.

Fiscal Impact

No direct fiscal impact.

Attachment(s)

- Aspire GSP – Renewal Staff Report
- Aspire GSP – Renewal Staff Presentation
- Aspire GSP – Charter School Presentation
- Aspire GSP – Charter School Renewal Petition
- Aspire GSP – Charter School Performance Report
- Aspire GSP – Charter School Fiscal Packet

**RESOLUTION OF THE GOVERNING BOARD
OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**Resolution No. 2526-0004
(Revised¹)**

**DENYING CHARTER PETITION OF
Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy – GRADES 6-12
AND WRITTEN FINDINGS OF SUPPORT THEREOF**

WHEREAS, the Charter Schools Act (Ed. Code §47600, et seq.) establishes the criteria by which charter school renewals are to be approved or denied; and

WHEREAS, Education Code Section 47605(c) charges school district governing boards with the responsibility of reviewing charter petitions to determine whether they meet the legal requirements for a successful charter petition; and

WHEREAS, on August 1, 2025, the District received a renewal petition for Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy (“Petition”), a public charter school currently serving 403 students in grades 6-12 and authorized to serve grades 6-12; and

WHEREAS, the law outlines a three-tier system for most charter schools seeking renewal, including additional requirements for evaluating the soundness of the school’s educational program depending on the school’s renewal tier; and

WHEREAS, Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy was placed in the Middle tier by the California Department of Education based on its State Dashboard data; and

WHEREAS, a charter school placed in the Middle tier shall not be renewed if the chartering authority makes *all* of the following written factual findings, setting forth specific facts to support the findings:

1. The charter school has failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward meeting standards that provide a benefit to the pupils of the school; and
2. The closure is in the best interest of the pupils; and
3. The decision provided greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance (if applicable); and

WHEREAS, a charter school placed in the Middle tier shall not be renewed if the chartering authority makes a written factual finding, setting forth specific facts to support the finding:

¹ Revised during Board Meeting.

- A. Substantial fiscal or governance concerns; or
- B. The school is not serving all pupils who wish to attend, as documented by data provided by the CDE or by any substantiated complaints that the charter school has not complied with suspension, expulsion, or involuntary disenrollment procedures.

And the chartering authority has provided at least 30 days' notice to the charter school of the alleged violation and provided the charter school with a reasonable opportunity to cure the violation, including a corrective action plan proposed by the charter school, AND the chartering authority makes a written factual finding, setting forth specific facts to support the finding:

- A. The corrective action proposed by the charter school has been unsuccessful; or
- B. The violations are sufficiently severe and pervasive as to render a corrective action plan unviable; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board did not issue a notice to the charter school which set forth specific facts to support the above findings; and

WHEREAS, on September 25, 2025, the Governing Board held an initial public hearing on the renewal petition as required by Education Code Section 47605(b); and

WHEREAS, on October 21, 2025, the Governing Board held a decision public hearing on the renewal petition as required by Education Code Section 47605(b); and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board, under Education Code Section 47605(b), is obligated to take action to grant or deny the renewal petition within 90 days of submission, unless Petitioner agrees to an extension of up to 30 days;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL HEREBY adopts all aspects of the Staff Report on Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy's petition, except to the extent that any aspect of the Staff Report is inconsistent with this Resolution;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL HEREBY FINDS that Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has **not** met the requirements of Education Code Section 47605(c) and 47607(e) and the District's Charter Renewal Standards in that the Petition does not present a sound educational program for the pupils to be enrolled in the Charter School.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL HEREBY FINDS that in accordance with Education Code Section 47607.2(b)(6), the Governing Board has made the following findings:

1. The charter school has failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward meeting standards that provide a benefit to the pupils of the school; as noted in the Staff Report,

Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has had a decline in Math proficiency and DFS over the term, with current proficiency at 6.9% with a Red Dashboard indicator, schoolwide ELA proficiency and DFS remains below the District average, and A-G rates declined significantly during charter term; and

2. The closure is in the best interest of the pupils; students would be served better in comparison schools as highlighted in the Staff Report (including but not limited to Figures 49 and 50 from the Staff Report); and
3. The decision provided greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance (if applicable); as noted above, Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has not made progress towards academic standards to provide a benefit to students and students would be served better at comparison schools.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Governing Board that the Charter Petition of **Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy – GRADES 6-12** be and is hereby denied.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District this 21st day of October, 2025, by the following vote:

PREFERENTIAL AYE: None

PREFERENTIAL NOE: None

PREFERENTIAL ABSTENTION: None

PREFERENTIAL RECUSED: None

AYES: Rachel Latta, VanCedric Williams, Vice President Valarie Bachelor, President Jennifer Brouhard

NOES: Patrice Berry, Clifford Thompson, Mike Hutchinson

ABSTAINED: None

RECUSED: None

ABSENT: Maximus Simmons (Student Director), Marianna Smith (Student Director)

CERTIFICATION

We hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a Resolution passed at a Special Meeting of the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District, held on October 21, 2025.

Legislative File Info.	
File ID Number:	25-2039
Introduction Date:	8/27/2025
Enactment Number:	25-1755
Enactment Date:	10/21/2025
By	ER

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT



Jennifer Brouhard
President, Governing Board



Dr. Denise Saddler
Interim Superintendent and Secretary, Governing Board

**~~RESOLUTION OF THE GOVERNING BOARD
OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT~~**

~~Resolution No. 2526-0004~~

**~~APPROVING CHARTER PETITION OF
Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy – GRADES 6-12
AND WRITTEN FINDINGS OF SUPPORT THEREOF~~**

~~WHEREAS~~, the Charter Schools Act (Ed. Code §47600, et seq.) establishes the criteria by which charter school renewals are to be approved or denied; and

~~WHEREAS~~, Education Code Section 47605(c) charges school district governing boards with the responsibility of reviewing charter petitions to determine whether they meet the legal requirements for a successful charter petition; and

~~WHEREAS~~, on August 1, 2025, the District received a renewal petition for Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy (“Petition”), a public charter school currently serving 403 students in grades 6-12 and authorized to serve grades 6-12; and

~~WHEREAS~~, the law outlines a three-tier system for most charter schools seeking renewal, including additional requirements for evaluating the soundness of the school’s educational program depending on the school’s renewal tier; and

~~WHEREAS~~, Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy was placed in the Middle tier by the California Department of Education based on its State Dashboard data; and

~~WHEREAS~~, a charter school placed in the Middle tier shall not be renewed if the chartering authority makes *all* of the following written factual findings, setting forth specific facts to support the findings:

- ~~1. The charter school has failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward meeting standards that provide a benefit to the pupils of the school; and~~
- ~~2. The closure is in the best interest of the pupils; and~~
- ~~3. The decision provided greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance (if applicable); and~~

~~WHEREAS~~, a charter school placed in the Middle tier shall not be renewed if the chartering authority makes a written factual finding, setting forth specific facts to support the finding:

- ~~A. Substantial fiscal or governance concerns; or~~

- B. ~~The school is not serving all pupils who wish to attend, as documented by data provided by the CDE or by any substantiated complaints that the charter school has not complied with suspension, expulsion, or involuntary disenrollment procedures.~~

~~And the chartering authority has provided at least 30 days' notice to the charter school of the alleged violation and provided the charter school with a reasonable opportunity to cure the violation, including a corrective action plan proposed by the charter school, AND the chartering authority makes a written factual finding, setting forth specific facts to support the finding:~~

- A. ~~The corrective action proposed by the charter school has been unsuccessful; or~~
- B. ~~The violations are sufficiently severe and pervasive as to render a corrective action plan unviable; and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, the Governing Board did not issue a notice to the charter school which set forth specific facts to support the above findings; and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, on September 25, 2025, the Governing Board held an initial public hearing on the renewal petition as required by Education Code Section 47605(b); and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, on October 21, 2025, the Governing Board held a decision public hearing on the renewal petition as required by Education Code Section 47605(b); and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, the Governing Board, under Education Code Section 47605(b), is obligated to take action to grant or deny the renewal petition within 90 days of submission, unless Petitioner agrees to an extension of up to 30 days;~~

~~**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL HEREBY FINDS** that Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has met the requirements of Education Code Section 47605(c) and 47607(e) and the District's Charter Renewal Standards in that:~~

- 1) ~~The Petition presents a sound educational program for the pupils to be enrolled in the Charter School; and~~
- 2) ~~The Petitioners are demonstrably likely to successfully implement the program set forth in the Petition; and~~
- 3) ~~The Petition has reasonably comprehensive descriptions of all required elements; and~~
- 4) ~~The Charter School appears to be serving all students that wish to attend; and~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Governing Board establishes monitoring benchmarks as set forth in the Staff Report dated October 21, 2025; and~~

~~BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED~~ by the Governing Board that the Charter Petition of ~~Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy – GRADES 6-12~~ be and is hereby approved (renewed) for a term of five (5) years commencing July 1, 2026, and concluding June 30, 2031.

~~PASSED AND ADOPTED~~ by the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District this 21st day of October, 2025, by the following vote:

~~PREFERENTIAL AYE:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL NOE:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL ABSTENTION:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL RECUSE:~~

~~AYES:~~

~~NOES:~~

~~ABSTAINED:~~

~~RECUSED:~~

~~ABSENT:~~

CERTIFICATION

~~We hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a Resolution passed at a Regular Meeting of the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District, held on October 21, 2025.~~

Legislative File Info.	
File ID Number:	25-2039
Introduction Date:	8/27/2025
Enactment Number:	25
Enactment Date:	10/21/2025

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Jennifer Brouhard
President, Governing Board

Dr. Denise Saddler
Interim Superintendent and Secretary, Governing Board

~~RESOLUTION OF THE GOVERNING BOARD
OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT~~

~~Resolution No. 2526-0004~~

~~DENYING CHARTER PETITION OF
Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy – GRADES 6-12
AND WRITTEN FINDINGS OF SUPPORT THEREOF~~

~~WHEREAS, the Charter Schools Act (Ed. Code §47600, et seq.) establishes the criteria by which charter school renewals are to be approved or denied; and~~

~~WHEREAS, Education Code Section 47605(c) charges school district governing boards with the responsibility of reviewing charter petitions to determine whether they meet the legal requirements for a successful charter petition; and~~

~~WHEREAS, on August 1, 2025, the District received a renewal petition for Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy (“Petition”), a public charter school currently serving 403 students in grades 6-12 and authorized to serve grades 6-12; and~~

~~WHEREAS, the law outlines a three-tier system for most charter schools seeking renewal, including additional requirements for evaluating the soundness of the school’s educational program depending on the school’s renewal tier; and~~

~~WHEREAS, Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy was placed in the Middle tier by the California Department of Education based on its State Dashboard data; and~~

~~WHEREAS, a charter school placed in the Middle tier shall not be renewed if the chartering authority makes *all* of the following written factual findings, setting forth specific facts to support the findings:~~

- ~~1. The charter school has failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward meeting standards that provide a benefit to the pupils of the school; and~~
- ~~2. The closure is in the best interest of the pupils; and~~
- ~~3. The decision provided greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance (if applicable); and~~

~~WHEREAS, a charter school placed in the Middle tier shall not be renewed if the chartering authority makes a written factual finding, setting forth specific facts to support the finding:~~

- ~~A. Substantial fiscal or governance concerns; or~~

- ~~B. The school is not serving all pupils who wish to attend, as documented by data provided by the CDE or by any substantiated complaints that the charter school has not complied with suspension, expulsion, or involuntary disenrollment procedures.~~

~~And the chartering authority has provided at least 30 days' notice to the charter school of the alleged violation and provided the charter school with a reasonable opportunity to cure the violation, including a corrective action plan proposed by the charter school, AND the chartering authority makes a written factual finding, setting forth specific facts to support the finding:~~

- ~~A. The corrective action proposed by the charter school has been unsuccessful; or~~
- ~~B. The violations are sufficiently severe and pervasive as to render a corrective action plan unviable; and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, the Governing Board did not issue a notice to the charter school which set forth specific facts to support the above findings; and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, on September 25, 2025, the Governing Board held an initial public hearing on the renewal petition as required by Education Code Section 47605(b); and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, on October 21, 2025, the Governing Board held a decision public hearing on the renewal petition as required by Education Code Section 47605(b); and~~

~~**WHEREAS**, the Governing Board, under Education Code Section 47605(b), is obligated to take action to grant or deny the renewal petition within 90 days of submission, unless Petitioner agrees to an extension of up to 30 days;~~

~~**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL HEREBY** adopts all aspects of the Staff Report on Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy's petition, except to the extent that any aspect of the Staff Report is inconsistent with this Resolution;~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL HEREBY FINDS** that Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has **not** met the requirements of Education Code Section 47605(c) and 47607(c) and the District's Charter Renewal Standards in that:~~

- ~~1) The Petition does not present a sound educational program for the pupils to be enrolled in the Charter School; and~~
- ~~2) The Petitioners are not demonstrably likely to successfully implement the program set forth in the Petition; and~~
- ~~3) The Petition does not have reasonably comprehensive descriptions of all required elements; and~~
- ~~4) The Charter School does not appear to be serving all students that wish to attend; and~~

~~BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL~~
~~HEREBY FINDS that in accordance with Education Code Section 47607.2(b)(6), the Governing~~
~~Board has made the following findings:~~

- ~~1. The charter school has failed to meet or make sufficient progress toward meeting standards that provide a benefit to the pupils of the school; as noted in the Staff Report, Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has had a decline in Math proficiency and DFS over the term, with current proficiency at 6.9% with a Red Dashboard indicator, schoolwide ELA proficiency and DFS remains below the District average, and A G rates declined significantly during charter term,~~
- ~~2. The closure is in the best interest of the pupils; students would be served better in comparison schools as highlighted in the Staff Report (including but not limited to Figure 49 from the Staff Report),~~
- ~~3. The decision provided greater weight to performance on measurements of academic performance (if applicable); as noted above, Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy has not made progress towards academic standards to provide a benefit to students and students would be served better at comparison schools.~~

~~BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Governing Board that the Charter Petition of~~
~~Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy GRADES 6-12 be and is hereby denied.~~

~~PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District this 21st~~
~~day of October, 2025, by the following vote:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL AYE:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL NOE:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL ABSTENTION:~~

~~PREFERENTIAL RECUSED:~~

~~AYES:~~

~~NOES:~~

~~ABSTAINED:~~

~~RECUSED:~~

~~ABSENT:~~

CERTIFICATION

~~We hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a Resolution passed at a Regular Meeting of the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District, held on October 21, 2025.~~

Legislative File Info:	
File ID Number:	25 2039
Introduction Date:	8/27/2025
Enactment Number:	25
Enactment Date:	10/21/2025

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

~~Jennifer Brouhard~~
~~President, Governing Board~~

~~Dr. Denise Saddler~~
~~Interim Superintendent and Secretary, Governing Board~~