## Oakland Unified School District

Academic Performance

## 2008-09 Summary Report

## Focus Areas of Academic Performance Summary Report

Overview of California Standards Test (CST)

District-wide Trends

To Be Included When State Data Released
Federal Accountability - Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Program Improvement (PI) Status

State Accountability - Academic Performance Index (API)

## 2008-2009 California Standards Test (CST)

## English Language Arts Performance

- Increasing the number of students proficient at each grade level
- Increasing the number of schools making growth
- Increasing the number of students proficient in each subgroup
- Reducing the achievement gap among subgroups


## CST English Language Arts Performance

## Percent Proficient By Grade



## CST English Language Arts Performance

## Percent Proficient by Subgroup



## CST English Language Arts Performance

## Subgroup Achievement Gap



## CST English Language Arts Performance

## Growth by School Type



## 2008-2009 California Standards Test (CST)

## Mathematics Performance

- Increasing the number of students proficient at each grade level
- Increasing the number of schools making growth
- Increasing the number of students proficient in subgroups
- Reducing the achievement gap between subgroups


## CST Mathematics Performance

## Percent Proficient By Grade or Exam



## CST Mathematics Performance

## Percent Proficient By Subgroup



Expect Success Every Student. Every Classroom. Everyday.

## Mathematics Performance

Subgroup Achievement Gap


## CST Mathematics Performance

## Growth by School Type



## Appendix

- Data Tables
-Growth in English Language Arts \& Math Performance by Grade
-Growth in English Language Arts \& Math Performance by Subgroup
- CST Writing Performance
- CST Science Performance
- CST History Performance
- CAHSEE Performance


## English Language Arts Performance

Growth in English Language Arts Performance by Grade

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CST Proficiency } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 1-Year Growth (2008 to 2009) | State 1-Year Growth (2008 to 2009) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 2 | 50\% | +7.3\% | 5\% |
| Grade 3 | 36\% | +7.3\% | 6\% |
| Grade 4 | 50\% | +6.6\% | 6\% |
| Grade 5 | 44\% | +4.7\% | 6\% |
| Grade 6 | 33\% | +5.6\% | 5\% |
| Grade 7 | 38\% | +7.5\% | 5\% |
| Grade 8 | 29\% | +1.2\% | 3\% |
| Grade 9 | 27\% | +0.5\% | 1\% |
| Grade 10 | 24\% | +3.1\% | 3\% |
| Grade 11 | 22\% | +1.2\% | 3\% |

## English Language Arts Performance

## Growth in English Language Arts Performance by Subgroup

|  | CST Proficiency 2009 | 1-Year Growth (2008 to 2009) | 1-Year State Growth (2008 to 2009) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 37\% | +4.9\% | +4.2\% |
| African American | 28\% | +4.1\% | +4.4\% |
| Asian | 56\% | +3.2\% | +3.6\% |
| Filipino | 49\% | +3.0\% | +4.1\% |
| Hispanic/ Latino | 27\% | +5.1\% | +4.8\% |
| Native American | 39\% | +4.0\% | +3.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 26\% | +4.1\% | +4.6\% |
| White | 83\% | +3.1\% | +3.6\% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 30\% | +4.5\% | +4.7\% |
| English Learners | 19\% | +4.3\% | +3.9\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 15\% | +6.5\% | +3.8\% |

## Mathematics Performance

## Growth in Mathematics Performance by Grade

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CST Proficiency } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 1-Year Growth (2008 to 2009) | State 1-Year Growth (2008 to 2009) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 2 | 61\% | +6.6\% | 4\% |
| Grade 3 | 58\% | +7.1\% | 3\% |
| Grade 4 | 58\% | +6.9\% | 5\% |
| Grade 5 | 53\% | +4.5\% | 6\% |
| Grade 6 | 34\% | +7.1\% | 5\% |
| Grade 7 | 35\% | +7.2\% | 2\% |
| Grade 8 | 25\% | +3.6\% |  |
| Grade 9 | 12\% | +0.7\% |  |
| Grade 10 | 9\% | +0.9\% |  |
| Grade 11 | 8\% | -0.5\% |  |

## Mathematics Performance

Growth in Mathematics Performance by Subgroup

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CST Proficiency } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 1-Year Growth <br> (2008 to 2009) | 1-Year State Growth (2008 to 2009) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OVERALL | 39\% | +5.5\% | +3.1\% |
| African American | 26\% | +4.9\% | +3.2\% |
| Asian | 60\% | +4.0\% | +2.9\% |
| Filipino | 60\% | +11.0\% | +3.2\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 32\% | +4.9\% | +3.2\% |
| Native American | 32\% | +3.5\% | +3.3\% |
| Pacific Islander | 31\% | +7.5\% | +3.0\% |
| White | 76\% | +2.9\% | +3.0\% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 34\% | +5.5\% | +3.1\% |
| English Learners | 35\% | +5.1\% | +3.8\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 19\% | +7.2\% | +2.9\% |

## CST Writing Performance

## CST: Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade (Writing Proficiency = Score of 6 or 8)



## Science Performance

## CST: Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade or Test Taken



## History Performance

CST: Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade or Test Taken


## CAHSEE 10th Grade Pass and Proficiency Rates

## Percent of Students Passing and Proficient on Both ELA and Math CAHSEE



## CAHSEE Cumulative Pass Rates

## Percent of Students Passing Both ELA and Math CAHSEE in the Last Three Years



## OUSD Schools Performing Above the State Average in English Language Arts

|  | Elementary <br> Schools | Middle <br> Schools | High <br> Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Average <br> \% Proficient | $53 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| No. of Schools Above <br> State Average | 17 | 2 | 0 |

