| Board Office Use: Le | gislative File Info. |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| File ID Number | 13-0717 |
| Introduction Date | April 24, 2013 |
| Enactment Number | 13-0671 |
| Enactment Date | 4/21/12 |



Community Schools, Thriving Students

Memo

To

Board of Education

From

Dr. Anthony Smith, Superintendent Maria Santos, Deputy Superintendent

Board Meeting

Date Subject April 24, 2013

Adoption of Resolution 1213-0141, Requesting State
Superintendent Of Public Instruction For Renewal of
Alternative School of Choice Waiver of Education Codes
Pertaining to Computing Average Daily Attendance (ADA).

Action Requested

Adoption of Resolution 1213-0141— Request to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction for Renewal of Alternative School of Choice Waiver of Education Codes pertaining to Computing Average Daily Attendance (ADA) for the period from July 1, 2013 to June 15, 2013 to fully implement the Gateway to College Alternative High School at Laney College.

Background

A one paragraph explanation of why the consultant's services are needed. Gateway to College is a nationally recognized education model serving youth who have dropped out of high school. In June 2008, the District authorized the establishment of Gateway as an Alternative education high school to be operated on the Laney Campus. Students attending Gateway are concurrently enrolled in Laney and Oakland Unified School and from their first day earn credits toward a high school diploma and an Associate's Degree. Gateway's student body is largely comprised of foster youth, adjudicated youth, teen parents, and students recovering from homelessness or drug and alcohol abuse.

Discussion

One paragraph summary of the scope of work.

Gateway to College at Laney Alternative High School is a school of high expectations and equally high levels of community support with the goal that vulnerable youth receive a second chance to re-engage in education in a meaningful way and create better lives for themselves.

The District will seek and obtain all necessary renewal waivers from the California Department of Education in order to fully continue to support Gateway. Under the Waiver Authority of the Education Code Section 58509, the particular Education Code or the California Code of Regulations Section(s) or portion thereof to be waived is: Computing Average Daily Attendance.

This is a request to again seek waiver of the following sections of the

Community Schools, Thriving Students

California education Code related to computation of average daily attendance for high schools.

- Education Code §41601 Reports of average daily attendance
- Education Code §46202 Reduction of districts apportionment
- Education Code §46300 Method of computing average daily attendance
- Education Code §46305 Reports of active enrollment
- Education Code §48802 Allowance and Appointments for concurrently enrolled students

The aforementioned sections provide the process and unit of measurement for student accounting procedures used in apportioning and distributing state ADA funds for education. In particular, section 46300 provides, in part, that in computing average daily attendance, pupils should be under the immediate supervision and control of an employee of the District who possesses a valid certification document.

Under the California Department of Education regulation and the Alternative School of Choice Waiver Request for (CDE form AEW-1 (Rev 3/10), no public hearing is required if the renewal waiver is not controversial. In addition, meet and confer with bargaining units and review by the SSC are not required if the renewal waiver is not controversial. OEA and the SSC have been advised that the District is seeking renewal of the waivers. There is no controversy related to the Gateway program.

Recommendation

Adoption of Resolution 1213-0141— Request to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction for Renewal of Alternative School of Choice Waiver of Education Codes pertaining to Computing Average Daily Attendance (ADA) for the period from July 1, 2013 to June 15, 2013 to fully implement the Gateway to College Alternative High School at Laney College.

Fiscal Impact

Funding resource name: None to the District

Attachments

- Resolution No. 1213-0141
- Alternative School of Choice Renewal Waiver Request with Attachment

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Resolution No. 1213-0141

Request to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction for renewal of the Alternative School of Choice Waiver of Education Codes pertaining to **Computing Average Daily Attendance (ADA)**. This is a renewal request to waive the following sections of the California Code related to computation of average daily attendance for high schools: Education Code § 41601 – Reports of average daily attendance, Education Code § 46202 – Reduction of districts apportionment, Education Code § 46300 – Method of computing average daily attendance, Education Code § 46305 – Reports of active enrollment, Education Code § 48802 – Allowances and Apportionments for concurrently enrolled students for Fiscal Years 2013-2014 and 2014-2015.

WHEREAS, The Oakland Unified School District (District) and the Peralta Community College District operate the Gateway to College alternative high school on the Laney College Campus; and

WHEREAS, the aforementioned sections provide the process and unit of measurement for student accounting procedures used in apportioning and distributing state ADA funds for education; and

WHEREAS, section 46300 provides, in part, that in computing average daily attendance, pupils should be under the immediate supervision and control of an employee of the District who possesses a valid certification document; and

WHEREAS, the program is offered on a college campus, the District is requesting renewal of the waiver renewal of the method of computing average daily attendance for K-12 schools; and

WHEREAS, the students are under the supervision and control of a community college instruction; and

WHEREAS, under the agreement with the District, Laney (Peralta Community College District) will not claim Full Time Equivalent Student (FTES) apportionment and instead, the District will claim ADA on behalf of the alternative school established at Laney College (Gateway to College) under the method identified in section 58001.3; and

WHEREAS, under the requirement that students be under the supervision and control of a certificated District employee, the District believes that supervision by a qualified community college faculty person satisfies the intent and purpose of the law; and

WHEREAS, Section 66700 of the Education Code provides, in part, that California Community Colleges are part of the public school system of the state. Section 53405 of the California Code of Regulation provides that references to certificated employees in the California Code of Regulations, as it pertains to community colleges, apply to community

college faculty who meet the minimum qualifications required by the Code. In support of the conclusion that supervision by certificated community college employees satisfies that statute, we note that students can receive high school credit for courses taught by community college faculty under section 48800 (c) of the Education Code; and

WHEREAS, Gateway to College at Laney College is part of the Early College High School Network which is listed as a type of school that can be established under the statutory framework of section 58500 et seq. of the Education Code. The school was established in accordance with statutory procedures and approved by the governing board of the Oakland Unified School District and the California Department of Education, and

WHEREAS, renewal of the waiver of the aforementioned K-12 provisions is necessary to continue to fully implement the Gateway to College model in the college setting; and

WHEREAS, the District is requesting renewal of the waiver for two years; and

WHEREAS, the request by the District for and granting of the renewal of the Waiver by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction will allow Gateway to College to continue to operate on the Laney College campus and collect apportionment, which it would otherwise be denied; and

WHEREAS, the request by the District and granting of the renewal of the Waiver by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction will not have a negative fiscal impact on, or otherwise harm, the District; and

WHEREAS, as provided by California Department of Education regulations a public hearing is not required for renewal requests that are not controversial; and

WHEREAS, this renewal waiver request is not controversial; and

WHEREAS, at its April 24, 2013 meeting, the Board of Education authorized the District to seek waiver of Education Codes pertaining to ADA; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Education of the Oakland Unified School District hereby approves the District's Request for Alternative School of Choice Waiver of Education Codes pertaining to Computing Average Daily Attendance, this renewal request to waive the following sections of the California Code related to computation of average daily attendance for high schools: Education Code § 41601 – Reports of average daily attendance, Education Code § 46202 – Reduction of districts apportionment, Education Code § 46300 – Method of computing average daily attendance, Education Code § 46305 – Reports of active enrollment, Education Code § 48802 – Allowances and Apportionments for concurrently enrolled students.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District this 24th day of April, 2013, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES:

Jody London, James Harris, Roseann Torres, Vice President Jumoke Hinton

Hodge, President David Kakishiba

NOES:

None

ABSTAINED: None

ABSENT:

Gary Yee, Christopher Dobbins

CERTIFICATION

I, Edgar Rakestraw, Secretary of the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District, Alameda County, State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly approved and adopted by the Governing Board of said District at a meeting thereof held on the 24th day of April, 2013 with a copy of such Resolution being on file in the Office of the Governing Board of said District.

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr.

Secretary, Governing Board

| Board Office Use: Le | gislative File Info. |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| File ID Number | 13-0717 |
| Introduction Date | 4/24/13 |
| Enactment Number | 13-0671 |
| Enactment Date | 4/24/13 00 |

CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL OF CHOICE WAIVER REQUEST

AEW-1 (Rev. 3/10)

Return to:

Educational Options Office California Department of Education 1430 N Street, Suite 6408 Sacramento, CA 95814-5901

Telephone: 916-322-5012 Fax: 916-323-2039 County and District Code: 0 1 - 6 1 2 5 9

Check one:

First time waiver

Renewal waiver

| | | | • | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Loc | cal Educational Agency | y: | Contact recipient of approval/denial notice: | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Unified School District | | | | Dr. Anthony Smith, Superintendent | | | | | | |
| Add | dress: | City | State | ZIP | Phone: | | | | | |
| 211 | 1 International Blvd | Oakland | CA | 94606 | (510) 434-7790 | | | | | |
| Period of request: (month/day/year) Local board approval date | | | | (Required) Date of public hearing: Note necessary for renewal waivers, unless controversial. | | | | | | |
| FIO | om: July 1, 2013 | To: June 30, 2015 | April 24, 2013 | | N/A | | | | | |
| | | | Part 1: LEGAL CRITER | Α | | | | | | |
| | | (PLEASE PROVIDE THE INI | FORMATION REQUESTED | IN THE SPACE | ES DESIGNATED) | | | | | |
| 1. | Under the Waiver Authority of the Education Code Section 58509, the particular Education Code or the California Code of Regulations section(s), or portion(s) thereof to be waived: Computing Average Daily Attendance (ADA) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | If this is a renewal of a previously approved waiver, list approval date, and attach a copy of the original document: August 6, 2012 See Attached | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Position of the barg Not necessary for Re | paining unit. Does the district enewal Waivers unless contro | have any employee bargair versial. | ning units? | Yes No | | | | | |
| | Date(s) the bargainin | g unit(s) was/were consulted: | N/A / | | | | | | | |
| | Name of the bargaini | ng unit person(s) consulted:_ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The position(s) of the bargaining unit(s) was/were: Neutral Support Oppose Please summarize below. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Comments (If appropriate): Renewal Waiver is not controversial | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Public hearing requirement. A public hearing is not simply a board meeting, but a properly noticed public hearing held during a board meeting at which time the public may testify on the waiver proposal. Distribution of local board agenda does not constitute notice of a public hearing. Acceptable ways to advertise include: (1) print a notice that includes the time, date, location, and subject of the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation; or (2) in small school districts, post a formal notice at each school and three public places in the district (modeled after Education Code Section 5362). Not necessary for Renewal Waivers unless controversial. How was the required public hearing advertised? N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Notice in a newsparenewal Waiver is no | aper Notice posted at each toontroversial | h school Other | | Please summarize below. | | | | | |
| 5. | 5. Advisory committies/school site councils. Please identify the committee or council that reviewed this waiver: Not necessary for Renewal Waivers unless controversial. N/A Date the committee/council reviewed the waiver request: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Check here, if there w | vere objection(s) | ummarize the objection(s) b | elow. | | | | | | |
| | Renewal Waiver is no | ot controversial | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL OF CHOICE WAIVER REQUEST AEW-1 (Rev. 3/10) Page 2 of 2

| | | | | PART II. PURPOSE A | AND DESIRED OUT | TCOMES | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. | Summary of the Education Code or California Code of Regulations section(s) or portion(s) to be waived. Please summarize the meaning, in plain language, of the Education Code or California Code of Regulations Section(s) or portion(s) to be waived. If a portion of a section is requested to be waived, include that portion verbatim. | | | | | | | | |
| | SEE ATTACHED | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Desired outcome/rationale. State what you hope to accomplish with the waiver. Describe briefly the circumstances that brought about the request and why the waiver is necessary to achieve improved student performance and/or streamline or facilitate local agency operations. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | SE | E ATTACHED | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | For a w | aiver ren | ewal, district also r | nust certify: | | | | | |
| True False ☐ The facts which precipitat | | ecipitated the original waiv | er request have not | t changed. | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | | The remedy for the | problem has not changed | d. | | | | |
| | Members of the local governing board and district staff are not aware of the existence of any controversy over the implementation of this waiver or the request to extend it. | | | | | | | | |
| gov evid leas | erning bo dence of o st two me | pard must a controvers onths before | approve the renewal y associated with the ore the waiver expi | request. Because the dis- e waiver's renewal, it is no | trict certifications ab t necessary to repe for action by the S | to the date the active waiver expires. The loc bove assure the State Superintendent that the eat the public hearing. Submit the renewal requ state Superintendent before the present waiven | ere is no uest at | | |
| _ | Signature | or Şuneri | I hereby certify | that the information provided See Ti | bunty Certification ded on this application the | ion is correct and complete. | | | |
| N | | | FOR | CALIFORNIA DEPARTM | | ION USE ONLY | | | |
| Res | sponsible | Office: | | | Guidelines: N | Met Not Met Don't Exist | | | |
| CAI | LIFORNIA | A DEPAR | TMENT OF EDUCA | TION RECOMMENDATIO | N: Approve | ☐ Deny | | | |
| Stat | Staff (Type or print) Staff (Signature) | | | Staff (Signature) | | Date: | | | |
| Unit | Manage | r (Type or | ype or print) Unit Manager (Signature | | ture) | Date: | | | |
| Divi | Division Director (Type or print) Division Director (Signal | | | Division Director (Sig | gnature) | Date: | | | |
| Dep | Deputy (Type or print) Deputy (Signature) | | | Deputy (Signature) | | Date | | | |

Renewal Waiver Application [Computing ADA]

Part II. Purpose and Desired Outcomes

1. **Summary of the Education Code or California Code of Regulations Section(s) or portion(s) to be waived.** Please summarize the meaning, in plain language, of the Education Code or California Code of Regulations
Sections(s) or portions(s) to be waived. If a portion of a section is requested to be waived, include that portion verbatim.

This is a renewal request to waive the following sections of the California Education Code related to school attendance and minimum school day, minimum school week and minimum school-year.

- Education Code § 41601 Reports of average daily attendance
- Education Code § 46202 Reduction of districts appointment
- Education Code § 46300 Method of computing average daily attendance
- Education Code § 46305 Reports of active enrollment
- Education Code § 48802 Allowances and Apportionments for concurrently enrolled students

The aforementioned sections provide the process and unit of measurement for student accounting procedures used in apportioning and distributing state ADA funds for education. In particular, section 46300 provides, in part, that in computing average daily attendance, pupils should be under the immediate supervision and control of an employee of the district who possesses a valid certification document.

2. **Desired outcome/rationale.** State what you hope to accomplish with the waiver. Describe briefly the circumstances that brought about the request and why the waiver is necessary to achieve improved student performance and/or streamline or facilitate local agency operations.

The legislation authorizing the establishment of alternative schools of choice provides, in part, that school districts may establish alternative schools based on the premise that the best learning takes place when the student learns because of his or her desire to learn. Alternative schools and programs of choice are often characterized by responsiveness to instructional style differences, the positive consequences of being a school of choice, and small unit size. The Gateway to College alternative high school fits this profile.

Gateway to College at Laney College ("Gateway") serves 350 students, 16 to 20 years old, who have dropped out of school and provides a second chance for students to get back on a path leading to economic and educational opportunity. In keeping with the statutory purpose, the program achieves success by maintaining a learning situation that maximizes student self-motivation and encourages a student in his own time to follow his interests.

Because the program is offered on a college campus, the District is requesting a renewal of the waiver of the method of computing average daily attendance for K-12 schools and the requirement that students be under the supervision and control of a certificated K-12 employee. Since Gateway to College is a college-based program, we submit that section 58003.1 of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR) provides an alternative and approved method for apportioning and distributing ADA funds in the program. Under agreement with OUSD, Laney (Peralta Community College District) does not claim FTES apportionment. Instead, OUSD claims ADA on behalf of the alternative school established at Laney College (Gateway) under the method identified in section 58003.1.

Regarding the requirement that students be under the supervision and control of a certificated district employee, we believe that supervision by a qualified community college faculty person satisfies the intent and purpose of the law. Section 66700 of the Education code provides, in part, that California Community Colleges are part of the public school system of the state. Section 53405 of the CCR provides that references to certificated employees in the CCR, as it pertains to community colleges, apply to community college faculty who meet the minimum qualifications required by the Code. In support of the conclusion that supervision by certificated community college employees satisfies that statue, we note that students can receive high school credit for courses taught by community college faculty under section 48800 (c) of the Education Code. Hence, a renewal waiver of the aforementioned K-12 provisions is necessary to fully implement the Gateway to College model in the college setting. The District is requesting a renewal of the waiver for two years.

Evaluation Procedure

An annual evaluation, pursuant to Education Code Section 58510, will be performed in accordance with guidelines established by the California Department of Education and the State Superintendent of Public Instruction. The evaluation will be conducted by the OUSD Office of Alternative Education and will include input from key stakeholders such as teachers, students, and parents and guardians.

The methodology used will be consistent with evaluations of other OUSD Alternative Schools and Programs. The evaluation will include student performance data on K-12 mandatory testing and will examine features such as the following to determine their impact on student learning outcomes:

- Sustainable Partnership (e.g., advisory committee, referrals, information sharing systems, etc.)
- Integration of the program into college (e.g., attendance on college calendar, college orientation, flexible class schedule)
- Dual credit (e.g., diploma and testing requirements are aligned with college courses, counselors trained in high school and advising systems)
- Adequate teaching and administrative staff
- Collaboration between faculty members and between students (e.g., team based approach to student success)
- Teaching and Learning (e.g., rigor and relevance in the curriculum)

- Student Support systems (e.g., focus on academic and non-academic barriers)
- Professional Development for faculty and staff (e.g., mission and core values communicated, roles and responsibilities are clearly communicated)

School is Legally Compliant

Gateway to College at Laney College is part of the Early College High School Network which is listed as a type of school that can be established under the statutory framework of section 58500 et seq. of the Education Code. The school was established in accordance with statutory procedures and approved by the governing board of the Oakland Unified School District and the California Department of Education.