## **REPORT OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTIONS** Progress Report #2

Name of District:       Oakland Unified School District       Name of Superintendent:       Dr. Randolph Ward									
Name of School: <u>Havenscou</u>	urt Middle School	Na	ame of Principal: <u>Pa</u>	amela Watson					
Name of SAT or SAIT Organization: <u>Sacramento County Office of Education</u>									
Name of Lead:       Cathi Bardo       Phone #: (916) 228-2553       E-mail Address:       cbardo@scoe.net									
Essential Components #1: In	structional Program								
<ul> <li>Finding #1.1: All students at all grade levels/program levels have and use the most recent SBE-adopted instructional program in reading/language arts.</li> <li>Finding #1.2: All students at all grade levels/program levels have and appropriately use on a daily basis the most recent SBE-adopted intervention reading/language arts instructional program materials.</li> <li>Finding #1.3: All students at all grade levels have and appropriately use on a daily basis the most recent SBE-adopted instructional program materials in mathematics.</li> </ul>									
Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)			
1.1 No Corrective Action			Imprententing	oversight		Successes & channenges)			
<ul><li>1.2 No Corrective Action</li><li>1.3 No Corrective Action</li></ul>	<b>Strong recommendation:</b> *Staff expressed concern about varied results between student sub-groups. Team supports the investigation of alternative intensive intervention reading programs for non-ELL students.				4	District has selected LANGUAGE! curriculum for English Only students who qualify for intensive reading intervention. District has arranged teacher training for August. Site needs to identify teacher to be trained in the program.			

Essential Component #2: Instructional Time

Finding #2.1: About half of the classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students in the adopted reading/language arts "basic core" program.

Finding #2.2: All classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students enrolled in the reading intervention program.

Finding #2.3: All classrooms have the appropriate daily time allocation for students in mathematics.

Finding #2.4: Few students receive the additional time allocation needed for mathematics intervention during the instructional day.

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
2.1 All classrooms will have the appropriate time allocations for students in the adopted reading/language arts	Havenscourt staff will work with feeder schools to administer the Holt placement tests early enough to use in developing the 2005-06	Spring, 2005	Site Principal	District Secondary Education Office	2	Holt placement test was not administered to incoming 6 <sup>th</sup> graders at feeder schools.
basic core program. (Grades 6-8: 1 period for grade level or above and 2 periods for students scoring	master schedule. The master schedule will include blocked classes of 2 periods for students needing strategic support					Only the students who tested out of High Point were administered the Holt placement test.
just below grade level up to 2 years below grade level.)	in Holt in grades 6, 7 and 8.				4	The 2005-06 master schedule includes 2- period blocked language arts classes.
2.2 No Corrective Action	Strong recommendations: *Use same placement process as described in 2.1 above for High Point Program. *Pilot a 3 period High Point Basics Intervention class.					See statement in 2.1 No 3-period intervention classes are scheduled for next year.
2.3 No Corrective Action	Strong recommendation: Use same placement process as described in 2.1 above.				3	Rising 7 <sup>th</sup> graders were given Algebra Readiness Test. Results of this test, with CST scores, and teacher recommendation were put in a matrix to determine placement in Algebra I or Algebra A.
2.4 All students needing mathematics intervention will receive the additional time within their instructional day. (Grades 6-8: 1 additional instructional period daily	Revise the master schedule to pilot a small intervention math class for students needing additional support in math. Use the same placement process as described in 2.1 above.	February, 2005 Spring, 2005	Site Principal	District Secondary Education Office	0 4	Small math intervention class was discontinued after 1 week in March, 2005. For next year, students will receive intervention with Moving with Math materials and Keys Curriculum. The 2005-06 schedule includes 2-periods for math.

Essential Component #3: School Principals' Instructional Leadership Training

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
1 No Corrective Action 2 No Corrective Action			Implementing	Oversight	1-2-3-4	District and school administration are to be commended for their efforts to ensure that al administrators and teachers receive trainin in the state-adopted programs in reading/language arts and mathematics. NOTE: If administration changes at the school, the new administration will need to be trained AB 75, Module 1.

Essential Component #4: Credentialed Teachers and Teachers Professional Development Opportunities Finding #4.1: a. The district staffs most classrooms with fully credentialed teachers b. The district has limited plan in place to recruit and retain fully credentialed teachers within 3 years for all classrooms at the school Finding #4.2: All of the school's reading/language arts teachers have completed the AB 466 training in either Holt Literature and Language Arts or High Point Programs. Finding #4.3: All of the school's math teachers have completed the AB 466 training in either McDougal Littell grades 6-7 or Prentice Hall Pre Algebra and Algebra adopted programs. Benchmark Due Date Person(s) **Corrective Action** Person(s) Progress Comments Frequency Responsible for Accountable for Score (Identify & explain the Implementing Oversight 1-2-3-4 successes & challenges) 4.1 a. No Corrective Actions 4.1 b. The district will Superintendent Pending a letter of 2 4.1.1: SAIT and Program August, Assistant assurance from HRD-develop and implement an Improvement schools will be 2005 Superintendent appropriate plan to recruit As positions are filled given priority for placement of and retain fully credentialed for next year, the Human teachers. teachers within 3 years for Resources Department 4.1.2: Additional training and all classrooms at the school. Assistant Superintendent will work with SAIT to August, support will be provided for new ensure high quality staff, 2005 Superintendent teachers at SAIT and PI schools. Superintendent trained in AB 466 Assistant August, 4.1.2: The district will develop a programs, is placed at 2005 Superintendent plan to retain teachers at SAIT and the school. PI schools. 4.2 No Corrective Action 4.3 No Corrective Action

Finding #5.1: Reading/language arts curriculum-embedded assessments are in regular use at the school. Finding #5.2: Algebra I and general mathematics curriculum-embedded assessments are in regular use at the school.								
Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)		
5.1 and 5.2 No Corrective Action						g,,		
<b>Strong recommendation:</b> All teachers will participate in assessment collection and reporting, including teachers of Special Education								
students.								

Essential Component #6: On-going Instructional Assistance and Support

Findings #6.1: The school/district provides limited instructional assistance to support teachers in delivering reading/language arts instruction using the adopted core materials in the Holt Literature and Language Arts Program

	strict provides little instructional assis	0	teachers in deliverin	g mathematics instruc	tion using th	ne adopted materials
Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
6.1 The school/district will provide appropriate instructional assistance and support to reading/language	6.1.1. Provide coaching for all ELA teachers through an external coach.	Spring, 2005	SCOE, Principal	Secondary Ed Dept.	3	May 23-26, Holt and High Point coach provided by SAIT observed classes and
arts teachers in delivering the adopted Holt Literature and Language Arts Program.	6.1.2. Select and train district coaches.	By 5/05	SCOE, Principal			gave verbal and written feedback to teachers.
	<ul><li>6.1.3. District coaches will work along side external coaches.</li><li>6.1.4. Shift most coaching responsibilities to school coaches.</li></ul>	Fall semester, 2005 Spring semester 2006	Lead teacher, principal, SCOE Lead teacher, principal		1	More time is needed to develop coaches from the school. District level coaches must be purchased out of site funds for 2005-06.
6.2 The school/district will provide the same model as described in 6.1 for the math teachers at the school.	<ul><li>6.2.1. Provide coaching for all math teachers through an external coach.</li><li>6.2.2. Select and train district</li></ul>	Spring, 2005 By 5/05	SCOE, Principal SCOE, Principal	Secondary Ed Dept.	2	Teachers have received coaching from an external coach in the ACCESS Program.
	<ul><li>coaches.</li><li>6.2.3. District coaches will work along side external coaches.</li></ul>	Fall semester 2005	Lead teacher, principal, SCOE		1	More time is needed to develop coaches from the school and within the district.
	6.2.4. Shift most coaching responsibilities to school coaches.	Spring semester	Lead teacher, principal			

2006

Essential Component #7: Monthly Collaboration for Teachers by Grade Level or Program Level

Findings #7.1 and 7.2: The school/district provides limited opportunities for teachers to collaborate by grade level/program level specific to issues of curriculumembedded assessment, data review, instructional planning and lesson delivery in the adopted reading/language arts and mathematics programs.

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
7. 1 and 7.2 The school/district will provide opportunities on a frequent basis for language arts and mathematics teachers to collaborate by grade level around the following issues: examination of curriculum- embedded assessments; instructional planning; and instructional delivery of their respective adopted	<ul> <li>7.1.1 and 7.2.1: The school will reserve and protect the 1.5 hours of time on at least two</li> <li>Wednesdays per month for teachers to meet by department to review curriculum-embedded assessments and plan instruction in the adopted programs in reading/language arts and mathematics.</li> <li>7.1.2 and 7.2.2: The principal will ensure that meetings have a consistent focus, format and</li> </ul>	February, 2005 February, 2005	Site Principal	District Secondary Education Office District Secondary Education Office	4	English teachers are reviewing Holt and High Point assessments in department meetings. Math teachers are reviewing the benchmark tests and Algebra Readiness tests for 2005- 06 placement information. School is keeping a binder of meeting agendas and notes on
Recommendation: The school/district will support cross-curricular meetings to plan the implementation of school- wide strategies to improve students' literacy and academic language skills.	structure.					collaborative meetings.

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges
1 and 8.2			× 0			
Corrective Actions						

Essential Component #9: Fiscal support

Finding #9.1: The school/district uses its general and categorical funds to support some of the reading/language arts goals in the school plan. Goals and spending are not adequately focused directly on student achievement. Finding #9.2: The school/district uses its general and categorical funds to support some of the mathematics goals in the school plan. Goals and spending are not

adequately focused directly on student achievement.

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
9.1 and 9.2: The school will revise the school plan to reflect SAIT corrective actions.	9.1.1 and 9.2.1 Provide evidence of school plan revisions and of Board and SSC approval.	May, 2005	Principal	Secondary Ed Dept.	4	Havenscourt has included the SAIT corrective actions in their school plan/budget.
9.1 and 9.2 The school and district will provide general and categorical funds to support the ELA and math	9.1.2 and 9.2.2 Provide annual budgets showing how all corrective actions will be funded.	May, 2005	Principal, Secondary Ed Dept.	Asst. Supt.		
goals in the revised school plan.	9.1.3 and 9.2.3 Provide a financial plan to sustain corrective actions beyond SAIT funding.	August, 2006	Asst. Supt.	Superintendent		