

Oakland Unified School District Academic Performance Summary Report 2007-08



Focus Areas of Academic Performance Summary Report

- Overview of California Standards Test (CST)
- Overview of California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE)
 Performance
- Federal Accountability Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Program Improvement (PI) Status
- State Accountability Academic Performance Index (API)
- District-wide Trends



Overview of California Standards Test (CST) Performance

English Language Arts Performance

- -Percent Proficient and Growth:
 - by Grade
 - by School Type
 - by Subgroup

Mathematics Performance

- -Percent Proficient and Growth:
 - by Grade or Test Taken
 - by School Type
 - by Subgroup

Science Performance

-Percent Proficient and Growth by Grade or Test Taken

History Performance

-Percent Proficient and Growth by Grade or Test Taken




Overview of California Standards Test (CST) Performance

English Language Arts Performance


- -Percent Proficient and Growth:
 - by Grade
 - by School Type
 - by Subgroup








California Standards Test (CST) Growth by School Type










CST Writing Performance

California Standards Test (CST)


Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade

(Writing Proficiency = Score of 6 or 8)





Elementary Schools with Increased Monitoring and Support Show Highest Gains in English Language Arts CST



| | # Schools | 2007 P/A | | Change in % Proficiency |
|------------|--------------|----------|-----|-------------------------|
| Blue/Green | 14 | 56% | 58% | 2% |
| Yellow | 28 | 39% | 43% | 4% |
| Orange/Red | 6 | 23% | 29% | 6% |




Overview of California Standards Test (CST) Performance

Mathematics Performance

- Percent Proficient and Growth
 - by Grade or Test Taken
 - by School Type
 - by Subgroup




California Standards Test (CST) Percent Proficient By Grade or Test Taken







California Standards Test (CST) Growth by School Type







Elementary Schools with Most Support Show Highest Gains in Mathematics Portion of the CST





| | # | | | Change in % |
|------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------------|
| | Schools | 2007 P/A | 2008 P/A | Proficiency |
| Blue/Green | 14 | 56% | 58% | 2% |
| Yellow | 28 | 39% | 43% | 4% |
| Orange/Red | 6 | 23% | 29% | 6% |



Gains in Swun Math Schools: Promising Results of a New Program

4th Grade CST Proficiency Results







Overview of California Standards Test (CST) Performance

Science Performance

–Percent Proficient and Growth by Grade or Test Taken

History Performance


–Percent Proficient and Growth by Grade or Test Taken



Science Performance

California Standards Test (CST)

Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade or Test Taken




| Key | |
|-----|---------------|
| | State Average |
| | OUSD 2008 |
| | OUSD 2007 |




History Performance

California Standards Test (CST)


Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade or Test Taken







Allendale CST Summary: Big Gains in 2007-08





Overview of California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) Performance


CAHSEE Cumulative Pass Rates

-By Grade

CAHSEE 10th Grade Proficiency and Pass Rates Passing versus Proficiency




CAHSEE Cumulative Pass Rates





CAHSEE 10th Grade Proficiency and Pass Rates





Accountability

Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

AYP is a series of annual academic performance goals established for each school, school district, and the state as a whole. Schools, school districts, and the state have met AYP if they meet or exceed each year's goals (AYP targets and criteria).

State Accountability: Academic Performance Index (API)


The API is a single number, ranging from a low of 200 to a high of 1000, that reflects a school's or school district's performance level, based on the results of statewide testing. Its purpose is to measure the academic performance and growth of schools. The API target for California is 800.



Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in English Language Arts

Did all significant subgroups in Oakland Unified School District meet the federally-established Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English Language Arts?

English-Language Arts - Percent At or Above Proficient






Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in Mathematics

Did all significant subgroups in Oakland Unified School District meet the federally-established Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in mathematics?

Mathematics - Percent At or Above Proficient





State Accountability: Academic Performance Index (API)


| Subgroup | OUSD 2007 Base API | OUSD 2008 Growth API | OUSD Change in API 2007 to 2008 | California 2007 Base API All Grades | California 2008 Growth API All Grades | California Change in API 2007 to 2008 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Overaii | 658 | 6/4 | +16 | 728 | 742 | +14 |
| African American | 603 | 609 | +6 | 644 | 658 | +14 |
| American Indian | 701 | 711 | +10 | 697 | 708 | +11 |
| Asian | 777 | 801 | +24 | 852 | 866 | +14 |
| Filipino | 752 | 770 | +18 | 813 | 825 | +12 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 616 | 642 | +26 | 666 | 683 | +17 |
| Pacific Islander | 634 | 616 | -18 | 720 | 734 | +14 |
| White | 881 | 890 | +9 | 806 | 816 | +10 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 632 | 648 | +16 | 663 | 679 | +16 |
| English Learners | 625 | 641 | +16 | 647 | 661 | +14 |
| Students with Disabilities | 474 | 471 | -3 | 536 | 549 | +13 |



The Achievement Gap


An Analysis of the Achievement Gap

Based on the Academic Performance Index (API)






OUSD 2008 API Still Ranks Low Compared with Other Large Urban Districts





OUSD Demonstrates Largest 4 Year API Gains Among Large CA Urban Unified Districts





Academic Performance Index (API) School Highlights

| School Level API Gains | | | School Level | School Level API Declines | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | | 2008 | 2007 |
| Bementary Schools | Growth | Base | Change | Elementary Schools | Growth | Base |
| ACORN Woodland Elementary | 774 | 688 | +86 | EnCompass Academy Elementa | 649 | 731 |
| Allendale Elementary | 741 | 678 | +63 | Lafayette Elementary | 629 | 669 |
| ASCEND | 751 | 690 | +61 | Middle Schools | | |
| Esperanza Elementary | 665 | 615 | +50 | Frick Middle | 557 | 595 |
| Franklin Elementary | 835 | 768 | +67 | High Schools | | |
| International Community | 730 | 680 | +50 | BEST | 490 | 551* |
| La Escuelita Elementary | 827 | 771 | +56 | East Oakland School of the Arts | 478 | 521 |
| Manzanita Community | 689 | 636 | +53 | | | |
| Markham Elementary | 701 | 619 | +82 | | | |
| New Highland Academy | 629 | 567 | +62 | | | |
| Reach Academy | 568 | 488* | +80 | | | |
| Sankofa Academy | 691* | 535 | +156 | | | |
| Whittier Elementary | 675 | 607 | +68 | | | |
| Middle Schools | | | | | | |
| Edna Brewer Middle | 782 | 717 | +65 | | | |
| Elmhurst Community Prep | 641 | 594 | +47 | | | |
| High Schools | | _ | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

+41

+58

+100

526

635

455*

485

577

355*

CBIT

LIFE Academy

Rudsdale Continuation



-82 -40

-38

-61 -43

| | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
|---|--|
| Elementary Mathematics (includes pacing, assessments, curriculum, intervention program) | Expand math program from 8 to 21 schools Start K-1 pilot at five schools Start grade 6 pilot at three middle schools Build teacher leader network to transition PD in house by summer 2009. Keep program with new math adoption Goal: District-wide K-Algebra program by 2011 |



| | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| ELD/English Learner achievement | •Full implementation of K-5 English Language Development (ELD) program |
| | •K-5 intervention program for those not making progress towards English language proficiency |
| | Continue professional development for teachers |
| | •Implement ELD benchmark assessments K-12 |
| | •Strengthen newcomer programs and curriculum |
| | •Target long-term EL students for ELD intervention |



| | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
|---------|---|
| Writing | •Continue pre/post writing performance assessments in grades 6-11 |
| | •Continue support for school-based scoring of writing assessments |
| | Continue professional development on effective writing instruction |
| | Create district writing "exemplars" for grades 1-5 |
| | •Begin district calibration process for Senior Project quality |
| | Partnership with Peralta and CSU to align OUSD exit/college entrance writing proficiency expectations |



| | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
|---|--|
| Red/Orange School Growth, especially in | Network officers and coaches build principal and instructional leadership team capacity to raise the bar and close the gap |
| elementary | Speed deployment and improve coordination of classroom support by central, site-based, and external coaches |
| | •Teachers collaborate in professional learning communities to assess individual student progress against key standards and plan for mastery |
| | •Instructional walkthroughs by central office with follow up action steps |
| | •School priority for professional development and new programs |



District-wide Achievement Challenges

| | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
|----------------|--|
| K-3 Reading | •Move to standards-based assessments in grades 2-5 •Increase lesson time in small groups with differentiated activities and learning objectives to meet individual student learning needs •Expand progress monitoring of K-2 students who show gaps in |
| | early reading skills and use intervention resources to address those gaps immediately |
| | •Study schools that increase % of proficient 2-3 grade students |
| | •Increase family and community engagement on how to help students be kindergarten ready and support student literacy development K-3 |
| | •Expand classroom libraries and leveled books, accelerated reader programs; get more books into students' homes |



District-wide Achievement Gap Challenges

| | 2008-09 Strategies to Meet the Challenge |
|---|--|
| African- American student achieve- ment | Study OUSD and other schools that are accelerating the achievement of African American students. Increase focus and commitment that curriculum, instruction and climate at every school is culturally responsive, equity centered and meets student needs. Increase teacher coaching to improve rigor and relevance of |
| | lessons and instruction, with focus on equity, student engagement and understanding. |
| | Students set goals and monitor their progress frequently Teacher leader network and professional development on Academic English Development and Standard English Learner proficiency. |
| | •Increase mentors, teacher diversity, early childhood education access, family outreach and education, and access to after school, summer school and health programs. |



District-wide Achievement Gap Challenges

| | 2008-09 Strategies to Address the Challenge |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Middle and High School | Principals ensure curriculum and instruction based on grade level standards, with pacing aligned to the assessments Increase coaching support to improve rigor and relevance of lessons and instruction, with focus on equity, student |
| | engagement and understanding Strengthen teacher collaboration using frequent assessment data to plan and adjust instruction to address gaps |
| | •Improve counseling/advisory support and monitoring focused on lower achieving students. Intervene early (6th - 9th grades) to keep students on track. |
| | •Strengthen career education and pathway activities; expand support to alternative schools and college partnerships. |



District-wide Achievement Gap Challenges

| | 2008-09 Strategies to Address the Challenge |
|----------------------------|--|
| Students With Disabilities | Implementation of Voyager Reading district-wide in grades 4-8 Special Day Classes, including professional development and coaching support for teachers. Implementation of literacy program for Severely Handicapped Special Day Classes. Strengthen SDC teacher collaboration using regular assessment data to plan instruction and address student learning needs. Strengthen math instruction; ensure involvement of SDC classes in elementary math initiative in participating schools. |



Focus Areas of Academic Performance Summary Report

- ✓ Overview of California Standards Test (CST)
- ✓ Overview of California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) Performance
- ✓ Federal Accountability Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Program Improvement (PI) Status
- ✓ State Accountability Academic Performance Index (API)
- ✓ District-wide Trends: Gains and Challenges
- ✓ Appendix: Data Tables



Appendix: Data Tables



Growth in English Language Arts CST Performance by Grade

| | CST Proficiency 2008 | 1-Year Growth (2007 to 2008) |
|----------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Grade 2 | 43% | +1% |
| Grade 3 | 29% | +1% |
| Grade 4 | 43% | +5% |
| Grade 5 | 40% | +5% |
| Grade 6 | 28% | +3% |
| Grade 7 | 30% | +1% |
| Grade 8 | 28% | +4% |
| Grade 9 | 27% | +1% |
| Grade 10 | 21% | +2% |
| Grade 11 | 21% | +1% |



Growth in English Language Arts Performance by Subgroup

| | CST Proficiency | 1-Year Growth |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | (2007 to 2008) |
| Overall | 32% | +3% |
| African American | 24% | +2% |
| American Indian | 35% | +1% |
| Asian | 53% | +3% |
| Filipino | 46% | +2% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 22% | +3% |
| Pacific Islander | 22% | +1% |
| White | 80% | +2% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 26% | +3% |
| English Learners | 15% | +5% |
| Students with Disabilities | 8% | 0% |



Growth in Mathematics Performance by Grade or Test Taken

| | CST Proficiency 2008 | 1-Year Growth (2007 to 2008) |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Grade 2 | 55% | +5% |
| Grade 3 | 51% | +3% |
| Grade 4 | 51% | +6% |
| Grade 5 | 49% | +8% |
| Grade 6 | 27% | +3% |
| Grade 7 | 28% | +1% |
| General Math | 7% | -1% |
| Algebra I | 13% | 0% |
| Geometry | 13% | +2% |
| Algebra II | 11% | -1% |



Growth in Mathematics Performance by Subgroup

| | CST Proficiency | 1-Year Growth |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | (2007 to 2008) |
| Overall | 33% | +3% |
| African American | 21% | +3% |
| American Indian | 21% | -8% |
| Asian | 56% | +4% |
| Filipino | 49% | +6% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 27% | +4% |
| Pacific Islander | 23% | 0% |
| White | 73% | +2% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 29% | +3% |
| English Learners | 30% | +8% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12% | +1% |

