# Special Committee on School Admissions, Attendance and Boundaries 

Overcrowded Schools

August 29, 2008

## Meeting Agenda

- Review of Facilities Data (7:30-7:55)
- Review of Facilities Utilization Formula
- Review data on classroom availability for overcrowded schools
- Review past and current facilities projects for overcrowded schools
- Review of Full-Day/Half-Day Kindergarten Data (7:55-8:15)
- Review Hillcrest December 2007 Board of Education decisions
- Review half-day kindergarten case studies
- Review Options/Open Enrollment data for overcrowded schools
-Discussion of Policy 3020 (Split Street Policy) (8:15-8:25)
- Staff update on Policy 3020 and next steps
-Next Steps and Next Meeting Date (8:25-8:30)
- Review next steps, schedule next meeting date and topic


## OUSD Facility Usage Formula



Classrooms needed to support program

## Formula details

Facilities Loading
Standards:

- K-3 = 20:1
- K-5 = 23:1
- $4-5=25: 1$
- $6-12=25.5: 1$
- $9-12=25: 5: 1$

|  | Formula details |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facilities Loading Standards: <br> - K-3 = 20:1 <br> - K-5 = $23: 1$ <br> - $4-5=25: 1$ <br> - $6-12=25.5: 1$ <br> - $9-12=25: 5: 1$ | Flex Space: <br> $0-14$ classrooms $=+1$ flex space $15-24$ classrooms $=+2$ flex space <br> $25-32$ classrooms $=+3$ flex space <br> $33-100$ classrooms $=+4$ flex <br> space | Special Education Allocation: Rooms at Site divided by 12 | Current SPED rooms at site |
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## OUSD Facility Usage Formula Example



## Classroom Availability

All schools on list do not have space available for additional students based on facilities utilization formula

| School | Rooms On Site | Parent Resource | Flex Space | SPED (formula) | Rooms Available for Instruction | Effective Capacity | $\overline{08-09}$ <br> Projections | Rooms Needed for Instruction | Availabe Rooms (Based on Formula) | Additional Special Ed Rooms | Actual Available Rooms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHABOT | 25 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 469 | 486 | 23 | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| HILLCREST | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 241 | 333 | 15 | -5 | -1 | -4 |
| KAISER | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 192 | 254 | 12 | -4 | -1 | -3 |
| LINCOLN | 29 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 555 | 590 | 28 | -3 | -2 | -1 |
| MONTCLAIR | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 342 | 367 | 17 | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| REDWOOD HEIGHTS | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 299 | 306 | 14 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| THORNHILL | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 320 | 332 | 16 | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| PERALTA | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 192 | 273 | 13 | -4 | 0 | -4 |

Another look: Grades 4-5 with a 30:1 (instead of 25:1) loading standard* All schools are still short on space, but to a lesser degree with this possible configuration.

| School | Rooms On Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { Parent } \\ \text { Resource } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flex } \\ \text { Space } \end{gathered}$ | SPED (formula) | Rooms Available for Instruction | Effective Capacity | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08-09 \\ \text { Projections } \end{gathered}$ | Rooms Needed for Instruction | Availabe Rooms (Based on Formula) | Additional Special Ed Rooms | Actual Available Rooms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHABOT | 25 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 469 | 486 | 22 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| HILLCREST | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 258 | 333 | 15 | -4 | -1 | -3 |
| KAISER | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 192 | 254 | 11 | -3 | -1 | -2 |
| LINCOLN | 29 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 555 | 590 | 26 | -2 | -2 | 0 |
| MONTCLAIR | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 342 | 367 | 17 | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| REDWOOD HEIGHTS | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 299 | 306 | 14 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| THORNHILL | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 320 | 332 | 15 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| PERALTA | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 192 | 273 | 12 | -4 | 0 | -4 |

Change in grade 4-5 loading standards results in increase of one classroom at 5 out of the 8 schools, but still short on space at each site overall
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## Facilities Projects and Impact on Space

| School | Past and Current Projects | Impact on <br> classroom space |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chabot | Two portables added 2006. | Added capacity from <br> 2005 |
|  | Portable Replacement in 2009. | No impact |
|  | One portable added for All Day K in 2006 | Added capacity |
| Hillcrest | New 2 story modular in 2006. Net increase of 3 <br> classrooms | Added capacity |
|  | New 2 story classroom building in 2009. Net increase <br> of 1 classroom | Added capacity |
| Montclair | No projects affecting capacity in the last 10 years | No impact |
| Redwood | Two portables added for All Day K in 2006. | Added capacity |
| Heights | One more portable in 2007. | Added capacity |
| Thornhill | One portable added for All Day K in 2006 | Added capacity |
| Peralta | One portable added for All Day K in 2006. | Added capacity |
|  | One after school Portable added by Board in 2008 | No impact |

Information provided by Facilities Dept.

## Case Study: Classroom Configuration for Hillcrest (K-5)

- The Board of Education agreed to maintain Hillcrest at a K-8 configuration
- Admitting 50 Hillcrest neighborhood students would still not fully alleviate overcrowding in a K-5
- Classroom configurations would not be at appropriate class sizes (Goal is 20:1 at K-3)
- Will not receive class-size reduction funds due to classroom configuration adjustments
- Based on current data, 16 neighborhood students would have to be re-directed
- No other Hill schools in the study had to re-direct students as of June 2008

| Hillcrest Grade Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Configurations | 2008-2009 |  | 2009-2010 |  | 2010-2011 |
| K | 25 | K | 25 | K | 25 |
| K | 25 | K | 25 | K | 25 |
| 1st | 20 | 1st | 25 | 1st | 25 |
| 1st | 20 | 1st | 25 | 1st | 25 |
| 1st/2nd | $12 / 8$ | 2nd | 26 | 2nd | 25 |
| 2nd | 20 | 2nd | 26 | 2nd | 25 |
| 2nd | 20 | 3rd | 25 | 3rd | 30 |
| 2nd/3rd | $1 / 29$ | 3rd | 24 | 3rd/4th | 22/8 |
| 3rd/4th | $19 / 11$ | 4th | 24 | 4th | 30 |
| 4th/5th | $21 / 8$ | 4th | 24 | 4th/5th | $11 / 18$ |
| 5th | 28 | 5th | 32 | 5th | 30 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |  | 299 |

## Half Day Kindergarten Case Study: Hillcrest (K-8)

Purpose 1: Increase number of incoming neighborhood Kindergarten students

- Hillcrest's 2009 Kindergarten class would be 80 students
- Half day Kindergarten would allow for four Kindergarten classes at Hillcrest.
- Using Hillcrest's 06-07 grade progressions and no admittance for students in grade higher than Kindergarten, Hillcrest would far exceed their current facilities capacity ( 599 students by 2015)
- Hillcrest would need to add at least two additional classrooms each year for the next five years in order to house students

| Hillcrest Enrollment: 06>07 Grade Progressions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| K | 36 | 37 | 45 | 45 | 42 | 38 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | GP: Medium |
| 1 | 34 | 39 | 34 | 42 | 44 | 39 | 32 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 96.1\% |
| 2 | 34 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 39 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 100.0\% |
| 3 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 37 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 100.0\% |
| 4 | 35 | 34 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 100.0\% |
| 5 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 28 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 36 | 29 | 44 | 45 | 48 | 35 | 71 | 71 | 91.9\% |
| 6 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 33 | 66 | 93.1\% |
| 7 | 17 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 33 | 100.0\% |
| 8 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 26 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 95.7\% |
| Total | 265 | 269 | 273 | 273 | 282 | 279 | 282 | 299 | 318 | 333 | 387 | 431 | 470 | 506 | 548 | 576 | 599 |  |

## Half Day Kindergarten Case Study: Hillcrest (K-8)

Purpose 2: Increase facilities capacity to accommodate more $1^{\text {st }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade students

- Admit 40 Kindergarteners to Hillcrest for half day program (at a $20: 1$ configuration)
- Due to existing space constraints, one additional classroom would still need to be added for 2009.
- If no additional students were added in grades higher than Kindergarten, the population at Hillcrest would stabilize with the half day Kindergarten scenario. This scenario would only work with 40 Kindergarten students.
- For equity reasons, we would need to use the same 20:1 configuration for all overcrowded schools

| Hillcrest Enrollment: 06>07 Grade Progressions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| K | 36 | 37 | 45 | 45 | 42 | 38 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | GP: Medium |
| 1 | 34 | 39 | 34 | 42 | 44 | 39 | 32 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 96.1\% |
| 2 | 34 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 39 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 100.0\% |
| 3 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 37 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 100.0\% |
| 4 | 35 | 34 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 100.0\% |
| 5 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 28 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 36 | 29 | 44 | 45 | 48 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 91.9\% |
| 6 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 33 | 33 | 93.1\% |
| 7 | 17 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 27 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 33 | 100.0\% |
| 8 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 26 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 95.7\% |
| Total | 265 | 269 | 273 | 273 | 282 | 279 | 282 | 299 | 318 | 333 | 347 | 353 | 353 | 351 | 355 | 347 | 337 |  |

## Half Day Kindergarten Case Study: Montclair

Purpose: Increase number of incoming neighborhood Kindergarten students

- Half day Kindergarten would allow for a fourth Kindergarten class at Montclair
- Montclair's 2009 Kindergarten class would be 87 students
- Using Montclair's 06-07 grade progressions, Montclair would far exceed their current facilities capacity
- Montclair would be able to house 4 Kindergarten classes in 2 rooms under the half day program, opening up space for an additional $1^{\text {st }}$ grade classroom in 2009
- As the additional Kindergarten class progresses to $1^{\text {st }}$ grade, facilities will need to be added to accommodate students-one additional classroom will need to be added per year for the next 5 years

| Montclair Enrollment: 06>07 GP Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 20122013 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K | 68 | 66 | 59 | 61 | 51 | 64 | 64 | 60 | 57 | 66 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | GP: 06>07 |
| 1 | 58 | 73 | 58 | 57 | 66 | 51 | 63 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 72 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 108.3\% |
| 2 | 59 | 55 | 67 | 62 | 58 | 60 | 45 | 65 | 67 | 65 | 62 | 74 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 103.1\% |
| 3 | 63 | 57 | 56 | 62 | 61 | 54 | 53 | 49 | 62 | 68 | 62 | 59 | 70 | 93 | 93 | 95.4\% |
| 4 | 57 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 62 | 45 | 55 | 48 | 59 | 67 | 61 | 58 | 69 | 91 | 98.0\% |
| 5 | 46 | 51 | 48 | 54 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 51 | 54 | 49 | 58 | 65 | 60 | 57 | 68 | 98.2\% |
| Total | 351 | 354 | 342 | 349 | 340 | 344 | 323 | 345 | 353 | 367 | 407 | 440 | 466 | 497 | 529 |  |

2008-2009 Options Data for Overcrowded Schools*

| Schools | Kindergarten <br> Students <br> enrolled at the <br> end of Options <br> deadline | No Shows | Kindergarten <br> Students <br> Currently <br> Enrolled as of <br> $8 / 19 / 08$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montclair | 76 | 15 | 73 |
| Franklin | 78 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 117 |
| Peralta | 48 | 7 | 50 |
| Horace Mann | 25 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 54 |
| Redwood Heights | 46 | 3 | 47 |
| Lincoln | 88 | 9 | 94 |
| Chabot | 84 | 17 | 82 |
| Thornhill | 73 | 17 | 66 |
| Kaiser | 38 | 12 | 48 |
| Hillcrest | 40 | 3 | 40 |
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## Policy 3020: Split Street Policy

- Due to several new policies that have been enacted, staff is currently researching which aspects of Policy 3020 have been superseded by new policies and which aspects still need to be addressed.
- Upon completion of the research, staff, with advice from legal counsel, proposes incorporating the remaining issues not addressed in an Administrative Regulation or addendum to the Board Policies


## In Summary

- In general, implementing half-day kindergarten in order to provide more $1^{\text {st }}$ grade classroom space will still result in increased space needs in the future for overcrowded schools
- Implementing half-day kindergarten in order to provide more slots for kindergarten students will still result in increased space needs in the future for overcrowded schools
- The no show rates in overcrowded schools are not enough to alleviate the overcrowding issues
- Due to overcrowding, these schools currently exceed the facilities formula loading standards to allocate for space/configurations
- Staff is in the process of working with Special Education to load balance special education classes across the District
- Staff is in the process of benchmarking facilities usage formulas from other districts
- Staff will review Policy 3020 to identify policy gaps


## Appendix

## Scenarios Considered

OUSD SCENARIO 1: Eliminate middle school (This scenario was not proposed by the LRPC. However as a district, we felt that it was very important to consider this alternative given that it was recommended by a number of pre-Kindergarten Hillcrest families)

## Advantages:

- Allows a greater number of Kindergartens in incoming classes


## Challenges:

- Strong likelihood based on survey results that there will be at least 60 Kindergarten families within the current Hillcrest boundary
-Hillcrest facilities cannot sustain future incoming classes of 60 Kindergarteners

Medium Scenario with GP's as discussed on Slide \#5

| GRADE | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | 38 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | GF: Medium |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 39 | 32 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | $97.0 \%$ |
| 2 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | $99.8 \%$ |
| 3 | 37 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 51 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | $100.4 \%$ |
| 4 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 31 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | $96.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 35 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 47 | 54 | 54 | 54 | $95.9 \%$ |
| 6 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $93.1 \%$ |
| 7 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $97.9 \%$ |  |
| 8 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $99.7 \%$ |
| Total | 279 | 282 | 299 | 318 | 317 | 320 | 319 | 329 | 337 | 345 | 345 | 345 |  |

High Scenario with Maximum GP's of the last 3 years

| GRADE | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 38 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | GP: Max 3 yr |
| 1 | 39 | 32 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 97.9\% |
| 2 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 102.1\% |
| 3 | 37 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 103.2\% |
| 4 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 100.0\% |
| 5 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 36 | 32 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 100.0\% |
| 6 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.1\% |
| 7 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0\% |
| 8 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 22 | 19 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103.8\% |
| Total | 279 | 282 | 299 | 318 | 324 | 332 | 334 | 346 | 356 | 365 | 365 | 365 |  |

345 projected students is significantly greater than Hillcrest's facility capacity

## Scenarios Considered

## OUSD SCENARIO 3:

- Reduce cohort of neighborhood students by shrinking boundary
- Cap Kindergarten at 40
- Annually review attrition rates and cap Middle School at 20 if current grade progressions continue*


## Challenges:

-Enrollment will still be over the facilities capacity of 310 for the next three years

## Advantages:

-As requested by the LRPC, model utilizes a more realistic attrition rate for projecting student population. -Reduces some overcrowding while preserving the community's commitment to a K-8 option

Medium Scenario with GP's as discussed on Slide \#5

| GRADE | 199819992000200120022003200420052006200720082009201020112012201320142015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K |  | 36 | 37 | 45 | 45 | 42 | 38 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |  | GP:Medium |
| 1 |  | 34 | 39 | 34 | 42 | 44 | 39 | 32 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 97.0\% |
| 2 |  | 34 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 39 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 99.8\% |
| 3 |  | 35 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 37 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 5 | 39 | 39 | 39 |  | 39 | 100.4\% |
| 4 |  |  | 34 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 3 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 37 | 37 | 37 |  | 96.3\% |
| 5 |  |  | 31 | 33 | 28 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 35 | 30 | 45 | 45 | 47 | 36 | 36 |  | 95.9\% |
| 6 |  |  | 22 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 93.1\% |
| 1 |  | 17 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | , | 20 | 97.9\% |
| 8 |  | 15 | 17 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  | 99.7\% |
| Total |  |  | 269 | 273 | 273 | 282 | 279 | 282 | 299 | 318 | 322 | 325 | 324 | 309 | 30 | 289 | 289 |  |  |

High Scenario with Maximum GP's of the last 3 years

| GRADE | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 38 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | GP: Max 3 yr |
| 1 | 39 | 32 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | $97.9 \%$ |
| 2 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | $102.1 \%$ |
| 3 | 37 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | $103.2 \%$ |
| 4 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 5 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 36 | 32 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 41 | 41 | 41 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 6 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | $93.1 \%$ |
| 7 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 8 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 22 | 19 | 28 | 26 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | $103.8 \%$ |
| Total | 279 | 282 | 299 | 318 | 329 | 337 | 340 | 326 | 315 | 303 | 303 | 303 |  |
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[^0]:    * Staff will be reviewing formula against additional best practices. The review will be part of overall Asset Management plan

[^1]:    *Staff will be reviewing formula against additional best practices, including within loading standards. The review will be part of overall Asset Management plan

[^2]:    *Additional schools to the overcrowded list are shown here per Board member requests;
    Data as of $8 / 25 / 08$

[^3]:    * Beginning in 2009, all $5^{\text {th }}$ grade Hillcrest students must fill out an Options form. Middle school seats will be drawn through a lottery process

