REPORT OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTIONS Progress Report #1

Name of District: O	akland Unified School District	Name of	Superintendent:	Dr. Rand	olph Ward				
Name of School:	Madison Middle School	Name of	Principal:	Jo Anna Lougin					
Name of SAT or SAIT Organ	nization: Sacramento	County Office of Educa	tion						
Name of Lead: <u>Cathi l</u>	Bardo F	Phone #: <u>(916) 228-2</u>	553 E-mail A	ddress: <u>cbarc</u>	lo@scoe.net				
Essential Components #1: Instructional Program									
beyond the first Finding #1.2: All students at Finding #1.3: Some students	have and use the most recent SBE-add st month of school have negatively in all grade levels/program levels have a have the most recent SBE-adopted m s increasing numbers of students are p	and appropriately use or ath text, but the text is r	lity to ensure that all a daily basis the mo not in use in all class	students have and us ost recent SBE readir	se the adopte	d curriculum. arts intervention program.			
Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for	Person(s) Accountable for	Progress Score	Comments (Identify & explain the			
			Implementing	Oversight	1-2-3-4	successes & challenges)			
1.1: The district and school will ensure that all sections are staffed and students are appropriately placed in classes and provided with the adopted textbooks.	1.1.1: Based on ELA assessment data, all students will be appropriately placed in classes and using the adopted texts within the first two weeks of school.	August, 2005	Principal/District Secondary Ed Office	Superintendent		2005-06 master schedule needs to be built to provide 2 periods of Holt or 2 periods of High Point for students who place in the respective program.			
1.2: No corrective action	*Staff expressed concern about varied results between student sub-groups. Team supports the investigation of alternative intensive intervention reading programs for non-ELL students.				4	District has selected the LANGUAGE! curriculum for English Only students who place into an intensive reading program. District has scheduled teacher training for August. Site needs to identify teacher to be trained in the program.			
1.3: The district will provide appropriate levels of SBE adopted math texts for all students.	1.3.1: Based on math assessment data, all students will be appropriately placed in classes and using the adopted texts within the first two weeks of school.	August, 2005	Principal	District Secondary Ed Office	3	Prentice-Hall Skills Intervention Kit is on site. See 2.4.3 statement regarding placement.			
	1.3.2: The district will ensure that sufficient additional texts are purchased to match the needs of increasing numbers of students in grade level math courses.	January, 2006	District Secondary Ed Office	Superintendent		Additional texts have been ordered for Algebra.			

1

Essential Components #2: Instructional Time

Finding #2.1: All classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students in the adopted "core" reading/language arts program and extended time for additional instruction and practice through a second period of language arts.

Finding #2.2: All classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students enrolled in the reading intervention program, however students of varying levels have been grouped together in classes too large for intervention.

Finding #2.3: All classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students in mathematics.

Finding #2.4: Few students receive additional time for math intervention during the instructional day.

F	Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date	Person(s)	Person(s)	Progress	Comments
			Frequency	Responsible for	Accountable for	Score	(Identify & explain the
				Implementing	Oversight	1-2-3-4	successes & challenges)

2.1-2.2: Although there is no corrective action specifically related to instructional time in the core programs, it should be noted that staff instability (teacher turnover, revolving substitutes, vacancies and large heterogeneous groups) seriously compromise the ability to provide consistent and continuous instruction for students.

Strongly recommended: Work with feeder schools to administer the Holt and High Point placement tests early enough to use in developing the 2005-2006 master schedule.

Design a master schedule that appropriately places all students needing strategic and intensive intervention in reading/language arts.

2.4: All students needing math intervention will receive additional instructional day. (Grades 6-8: 1 additional instructional period daily)2.4.1: Revise the master schedule to jot a small intervention math class for students needing additional support in math. 2.4.2: Give placement tests to all current and incoming students early enough to use in developing the 2005-2006 master schedule. 2.4.3: Provide 2 periods of math for students needing strategic or intensive intervention in math.February, 2005Principal/Feeder school principals3A small math intervention class was offered as an A period (before school) during 4 th quarter.2.4.1: Revise the master schedule current and incoming students early enough to use in developing the 2005-2006 master schedule. 2.4.3: Provide 2 periods of math for students needing strategic or intensive intervention in math.February, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Office3Feder schools will conduct math testing June 13-17.August, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Office3Rising 7 th graders were given the Algebra Readiness Test. The results of this test, CST scores, grades and teacher recommendations were put into a matrix to determine placement in Algebra 1 or Algebra A.1Mugust, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Office3Rising 7 th graders were given the Algebra I or Algebra A.1Mugust, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Office3Rising 7 th graders were given the Algebra I or Algebra A.1Mugust, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Offic	Design a master senedule the	at appropriatory places an students ne	earing strategie and inter	isive milervention m	reaching, hanguage af		
(Grades 6-8: 1 additional instructional period daily)Drive free free free free free free free fr	math intervention will receive additional instructional time within	to pilot a small intervention math class for students needing additional support in math.	February, 2005		•	3	class was offered as an A period (before school) during
for students needing strategic or intensive intervention in math.August, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Office3Kising / graders were given the Algebra Readiness Test. The results of this test, CST scores, grades and teacher recommendations were put into a matrix to determine placement in Algebra 1 or Algebra A.Mugust, 2005Principal/Dept. ChairSecondary Ed. Office3Intervention in the Algebra Readiness Test. The results of this test, CST scores, grades and teacher recommendations were put 	(Grades 6-8: 1 additional	current and incoming students early enough to use in developing	Spring, 2005	-	-	3	
		for students needing strategic or	August, 2005		•	3	the Algebra Readiness Test. The results of this test, CST scores, grades and teacher recommendations were put into a matrix to determine placement in Algebra 1 or Algebra A. Two periods of math will be scheduled for all students for

Finding #3.1: The principal and vice principal have completed AB 75 principal's training and are in the process of completing 40 hours of practicum in the district adopted reading/language arts program. Finding #3.2: The principal and vice principal have completed AB 75 principal's training and are in the process of completing 40 hours of practicum in the district adopted mathematics program. **Due Date Corrective Action** Benchmark Person(s) Person(s) Progress Comments **Responsible for** Frequency Accountable for Score (Identify & explain the Implementing successes & challenges) Oversight 1-2-3-4 3.1: No corrective action The district and school administration are commended for their thorough effort to ensure all administrators and teachers receive training in the adopted instructional programs. Note: New administrator(s) 3.2: No corrective action need to be trained in AB 75, Module 1.

Essential Components #3: School Principals' Instructional Leadership Training

3

Essential Components #4: Credentialed Teachers and Professional Development Opportunities

Finding #4.1: Most classrooms are staffed with credentialed teachers (including teachers in approved training programs), however, there is not a plan to retain these teachers at Madison, and high teacher turnover is problematic.

Finding #4.2: All the school's reading/language arts teachers have completed AB 466 training in either Holt or High Point reading/language arts.

Finding #4.3: Seventy-five percent of the school's math teachers have completed AB 466 training in either Mc Dougal Littell or Prentice Hall Pre Algebra or Algebra.

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
4.1a. No corrective action						
4.1b: The district will develop and implement an appropriate plan to recruit and retain fully credentialed teachers within 3 years for all classrooms at the school.	 4.1.1: SAIT and Program Improvement schools will be given priority for placement of teachers. 4.1.2: Additional training and support will be provided for new teachers at SAIT and PI schools. 4.1.2: The district will develop a plan to retain teachers at SAIT and PI schools. 	August, 2005 August, 2005 August, 2005	Assistant Superintendent Assistant Superintendent Superintendent	Superintendent Superintendent Superintendent	2	Pending a letter of assurance from HRD As positions are filled for next year, the Human Resources Department will work with SAIT to ensure that high quality staff, trained in AB 466 programs, is placed at the school.
4.2: No corrective action 4.3: No corrective action						

Finding #5.1 and 5.2: Reading/language arts and mathematics curriculum embedded assessments are partially in use at Madison. Although teachers regularly use these assessments, initial diagnostic assessments are not used to place students in appropriate classes. Wide ranges of instructional proficiency and need within the same classroom prevents teachers from using assessment data effectively to improve instruction. **Corrective Action Benchmark** Due Date **Person**(s) **Person(s)** Progress Comments Frequency **Responsible for** Accountable for Score (Identify & explain the 1-2-3-4 Implementing Oversight successes & challenges) Secondary Ed 3 5.1: Use initial assessment 5.1.1: Work with feeder schools Spring, 2005 Principal, feeder DPI test dates had to be reset data to appropriately place to administer appropriate school principals Office due to conflict with Spring dept. chairs students in placement tests early enough to Break. use in developing the 2005-2006 reading/language arts All current students are being master schedule. courses. given the Holt core 5.1.2: Assess all current students placement test (June 14-15). using appropriate placement tests. All identified students will be 5.1.3: Place all students needing scheduled for two periods of strategic or intensive intervention language arts daily in 2005-06 using the results of High in two periods of language arts daily. Point DPI and the Holt placement tests. 5.2: Use initial assessment 5.2.1: Work with feeder schools Spring, 2005 Principal, feeder Secondary Ed data to appropriately place to administer appropriate school principals Office 3 Rising 7th graders were given dept. chairs students in math courses. placement tests early enough to the Algebra Readiness Test. use in developing the 2005-2006 The results of this test, CST master schedule. scores, grades and teacher 5.2.2: Assess all current students recommendations were put using appropriate placement tests. into a matrix to determine placement in Algebra I or 5.2.3: Place all students needing Algebra A. strategic or intensive intervention in two periods of math daily. All students are to receive 2 periods of math instruction daily starting in fall of 2005.

5

Essential Components #5: Student Achievement Monitoring System

Essential Components #6: Ongoing Instructional Assistance and Support for Teachers

ntify & explain the esses & challenges)
-26, a Holt/High bach provided by bserved classes and rbal and written k to teachers.
me is needed to coaches within the site. District level must be purchased ite funds for 2005-0
te funds for 2005-0
rs have received g from an external n the ACCESS n.
ore time to develop from the school an he district.
is contracting with S for math coachin 5-06 school year.
he is S

 Finding #7.1: The school/district provides limited opportunities for teachers to collaborate by department around issues of curriculum embedded assessment, data review, instructional planning and lesson delivery in reading/language arts. Finding #7.2: The school/district provides limited opportunities for teachers to collaborate by department around issues of curriculum embedded assessment, data review, instructional planning and lesson delivery in mathematics. A shortened teaching schedule one day per week provides meeting time, but a wide-variety of topics are covered during this time. 										
Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)				
7.1 and 7.2: The school and district will facilitate and support structured, focused collaboration time for teachers to meet by departments in order to plan and discuss lesson delivery, based on assessment data for the adopted programs.	7.1.1 and 7.2.1: The school will reserve and protect the 1.5 hours of time on at least two Wednesdays per month for teachers to meet by department to review curriculum-embedded assessments and plan instruction in the adopted programs in reading/language arts and mathematics.	February, 2005	Site Principal	District Secondary Education Office	3	Language Arts: High Point teachers are meeting to share ongoing assessment data and to make program adjustments.				
	7.1.2 and 7.2.2: The principal will ensure that meetings have a consistent focus, format and structure.	February, 2005	Site principal	District Secondary Education Office	2	Math: ACCESS coaches use the collaboration time to present supplemental materials for lessons. No program assessments are being examined collectively.				

in mathematics limit its use. Corrective Action Benchmark Due Date Person(s) Person(s) Progress Contraction								
		Frequency	Responsible for Implementing	Accountable for Oversight	Score 1-2-3-4	(Identify & explain the successes & challenges)		
No corrective actions.								
ee previous corrective ctions related to staffing								
nd student placement								

Essential Components #9: Fiscal Support

Finding #9.1: The school/district uses its general and categorical funds to support some of the reading/language arts goals in the school plan. Goals and spending are not adequately focused directly on student achievement.

Finding #9.2: The school/district uses its general and categorical funds to support some of the mathematics goals in the school plan. Goals and spending are not adequately focused directly on student achievement.

Corrective Action	Benchmark	Due Date Frequency	Person(s) Responsible for Implementing	Person(s) Accountable for Oversight	Progress Score 1-2-3-4	Comments (Identify & explain the successes & challenges)
9.1 and 9.2: The school will revise the school plan to reflect SAIT corrective actions.	9.1.1 and 9.2.1 Provide evidence of school plan revisions and of Board and SSC approval.	May, 2005	Principal	Secondary Ed Dept.	2	Waiting on final copy of 2005-06 School Plan. The copy provided SAIT does not include budget statement to
9.1 and 9.2 The school and district will provide general and categorical funds to support the ELA and math	9.1.2 and 9.2.2 Provide annual budgets showing how all corrective actions will be funded.	May, 2005	Principal, Secondary Ed Dept.	Asst. Supt.		match the corrective actions of this Report of Findings.
goals in the revised school plan.	9.1.3 and 9.2.3 Provide a financial plan to sustain corrective actions beyond SAIT funding.	August, 2006	Asst. Supt.	Superintendent		