LEGISLATIVE FILE

File ID No. | 12 - 06/8 |
Introduction Date | 3-14-2012 |
Enactment No. | (2 - 0896 |
Enactment Date | 3 - 14 - 17 |
By | 3 - 14 - 17 |

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Office of the Board of Education
March 14, 2012

To:

Board of Education

From:

Tony Smith, Ed.D., Superintendent

Timothy E. White, Associate Superintendent of Facilities Planning &

Management, Buildings & Grounds and Custodial Services

Subject:

Authorizing and Approving the Project Budget, Key Code and Project

Number for the King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit Project in the

amount of \$5,590,000.00

ACTION REQUESTED

Approval by the Board of Education of Resolution No. 1112-0171- Authorizing and approving the Project Budget, Key Code and Project Number for the King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit Project in the amount of \$5,590,000.00.

BACKGROUND

In keeping with the Oakland Unified School District's commitment to the improvement and development of its K-12 facilities, the District has embarked on a groundbreaking plan to create a district-wide modernization of the District's schools.

STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT

Among the key purposes of the District's Facilities Master Plan is to provide an academic environment for the Oakland community that will give every student, educator, and community member using our facilities the best possible opportunity for learning.

Through implementation of the Facilities Master Plan, the District intends to improve the District's facilities in terms of structural integrity, safety, reliability of operating (mechanical) systems, access to modern resources, number and type of appropriate laboratories and specialized instruction rooms, opportunities for physical education, and attractiveness, such that the Oakland Public Schools are second to none. Operation of the District schools under the planned approach is intended to ensure safety, cleanliness, and orderliness for all individuals participating in the learning process.

ER: TEW: SMB

The basic facility needs of students such as proper lighting, functional roofs, noise control and well maintained buildings, not only convey the message that we value our students and teachers but may foster a sense of school pride and community ownership which may improve attitudes towards learning. The implementation of the Facilities Master Plan is our first step in that direction.

FISCAL IMPACT

The funding source for this project budget is County School Facilities Fund (Fund 35).

RECOMMENDATION

Approval by the Board of Education of Resolution No. 1112-0171- Authorizing and approving the Project Budget, Key Code and Project Number for the King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit Project in the amount of \$5,590,000.00.

ATTACHMENTS

Resolution No. 1112-0171- Authorizing and approving the Project Budget, Key Code and Project Number for the King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit Project in the amount of \$5,590,000.00.

Site	Measure	Project Number	Key Code	Project Budget
King Estates MS Seismic Retrofit	County School Facilities Fund	12101	2169003890	\$5,590,000.00

ER: TEW: SMB

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0171

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING NEW PROJECT BUDGET, KEY CODE AND PROJECT NUMBER FOR KING ESTATES MIDDLE SCHOOL SEISMIC RETROFIT PROJECT

WHEREAS, in keeping with the Oakland Unified School District's commitment to the improvement and development of its K-12 facilities, District staff has prepared the listed New Project Budget, Project Code and Key Code with an attached detailed explanation of the budget component costs; and

WHEREAS, authorization and approval of the New Project Budget, Key Code and Project Number for the King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit Project, the scope of the project is to provide seismic retrofit of the building at King Estates Middle School site; and

WHEREAS, the following table represents the New Project Budget, Key Code and Project Code for this project, which incorporates all project costs and fees per the attached Board Budget Breakdown to this Resolution, which provides a detailed summary of the costs comprising the New Project Budget:

Site	Measure	Project Number	Key Code	Project Budget
King Estates MS Seismic Retrofit	County School Facilities Fund	12101	2169003890	\$5,590,000.00

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

RESOLUTION NO. 1112-0171

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING NEW PROJECT BUDGET, KEY CODE AND PROJECT NUMBER FOR KING ESTATES MIDDLE SCHOOL SEISMIC RETROFIT PROJECT

Page 2

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the New Project Budget, Key Code and Project Number and for the King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit Project in the amount stated herein for the purpose listed are hereby approved.

Passed by the following vote:

AYES: Gary Yee, David Kakishiba, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Vice President Hinton Hodge, President Jody

London

NOES: None

ABSTAINED: None

ABSENT: None

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted, at a Regular Meeting of the Governing Board of the Oakland Unified School District held on March 14, 2012.

Edgar Rakestraw, Jr.

Secretary, Board of Education

ATTACHMENT A Resolution 1112-0171

King Estates Middle School Seismic Retrofit

Project Number: 12101 Key Code: 2169003890

Project Description: Seismic retrofit of the buildings of the King Estates Middle School Site.

Budget Code Budg	jet Description	Original Total	Total
4400 Cap I	Exp Over \$500 but under threshold	\$ -	\$ -
6105 Site F	Purchase	\$ -	\$ -
6112 Appra	aisals	\$ -	\$ -
6132 Escro	ow Costs	\$ -	\$ -
6160 Surve	eying Costs	\$ _	\$ -
6150 Site 9	Support Costs	\$ -	\$ -
6145 Reloc	cation Assistance	\$ -	\$ -
6170 Haza	rdous Waste	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00
6175 Demo	olition	\$ -	\$ -
6180 Utility	Hookup Fees	\$ -	\$ -
6190 Othe	r Site Costs	\$ -	\$ -
6215 Archi	tect/Engineering Costs	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 350,000.00
6222 DASI	H Fees	\$ -	\$ -
6232 CEDI	E Fees	\$ -	\$ -
6242 Energ	gy Analysis	\$ -	\$ -
6252 Prelir	minary Tests	\$ -	\$ -
6262 Othe	r Planning Costs	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
6271 Main	Construction	\$ 4,730,000.00	\$ 4,730,000.00
6272 Cons	truction Management	\$ -	\$ -
6274 Othe	r Construction	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00
6276 Movii	ng Expenses	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00
6278 Interi	m Housing	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
6265 Testi	ng	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
6235 Inspe	ections	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00
6299 Conti	ingency (Budget Use Only)	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00
	ture and Equipment	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
6414 Desk	top Computers	\$ -	\$ -
6415 Netw	ork Equipment	\$ -	\$ -
6416 Printe	ers	\$ -	\$ -
6417 Video	p Equipment	\$ -	\$ -
6418 Com	puter Servers	\$ -	\$ -
6420 Othe	r Technology Equipment	\$ -	\$ -
			\$ -
Total	s	\$ 5,590,000.00	\$ 5,590,000.00

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT **FACILITIES PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT**

	NEW PROJE	CT AUTHORIZATION FO	RM	
FORM INITIATION DA	TE:	February 13, 2012		
PROJECT NAME:		King Estates MS Seismic Retrofit		
PROJECT TYPE:		Seismic Retrofit	,	
FUNDING SOURCE:		Fund 35		
PROJECT NUMBER:		12101		
SITE NUMBER:		216		
PROJECT MANAGER		Mary Ledezma 1112-01	71	
DETAILED PROJECT		many EddoLina	41	
		states Middle School site.		
			-	
INITIAL PROJECT BU	DGETS:			
	20210.			
(For Accounting Use Only)	BUDGET			
BUDGET KEY CODE			-	AMOUNT
216900389		Hazardous Material Abatement	\$	75,000.00
	6215	Architect/Engineers	\$	350,000.00
	6235	Inspection	\$	150,000.00
	6265	Testing	\$	50,000.00
	6271	Main Construction	\$	4,730,000.00
	6274	Other Construction	\$	75,000.00
	6262	Other Planning Costs	\$	50,000.00
	6276	Moving Expenses	\$	25,000.00
	6299	Contingency (budget use only)	\$	25,000.00
	6410	Furniture & Equipment	\$	10,000.00
	6278	Interim Housing	\$	50,000.00
		TOTAL INITIAL BUDGET:	\$	5,590,000.00
AUTHORIZED SIGNA	TURES:		7/1-	1.
		1	41-	#12_
	DIRECTOR OF FACIL	.ITIE\$	DATI	E
,	<	(2)		
	ASSISTANT SUPERII	NTENDENT	DATE	E
	700+0	1117		
BOARD APPROVAL:	T0976	•		
	CONTRACT ADMINIS	TRATOR	DATI	
	1 C7 1 +	FOR SUBMITTAL TO BOARD		
CONTRACT ADMINISTR	ATION C.		100 E.3	Charges Charles 1 19 17
CONTRACT ADMINISTR	ATION LC 10			
CONTRACT ADMINISTR ACCOUNTING SGI		FOR BUDGET LOADING FOR INPUT INTO PROJECT TOOL		7-77-7012

Page 1

CC

ZFA STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

OUSD Seismic Safety Enhancement Program

OUSD Seismic Safety Enhancement (SSE) Program – Status Report and Summary of Findings November 29, 2011

Disclaimer

This is a progress report, not for distribution or making a decision on a case-by-case basis. It does however identify the potential seismic rehabilitation scope for the District's portfolio. All findings, recommendations and actions based on the material herein and attached shall be presented to ZFA for confirmation.

Mission Statement

The Seismic Safety Enhancement Program embraces recent, increased public and political focus on improving the learning environment safety and accessibility, and, in particular, mitigating seismic risk. The goal of this Program is to systematically identify and remove potential seismic deficiencies in the OUSD building inventory. A key component to the process is to quantify relative risks to develop a comprehensive program where the holistic seismic safety of the district is improved through individual building retrofits. With a focus on fiscally responsible resource allocation and risk management, building retrofits are prioritized and performed to at least a Collapse Prevention structural performance level. As required by regulations or deemed practical, the process incorporates programming, accessibility and fire/life safety upgrades, and modernization, while accessing state funding where possible.

Overview

Through the master planning process, approximately 328 "permanent" (non-portable) buildings, as part of elementary, middle and high school campuses (K-12), have been identified in the OUSD building inventory. Individual buildings are grouped by site number and campus name and labeled by a specific building identification letter, consistent with the master plan terminology developed by MKThink. At this time, portable and administrative buildings are outside of the scope of the SSE Program.

The data for the OUSD portfolio is maintained in an Excel format that summarizes the relevant properties for each building.

Process

Information has been gathered on these buildings from the following sources:

- Site plans and qualitative site summaries prepared by MKThink
- AB300 list (with comments from OUSD)
- Seismic assessments of most buildings in the OUSD inventory by URS in 1999
- Original construction drawings (we currently have electronic drawings for 30 campuses, including a number of selected buildings whose drawings were located in the archives and scanned to electronic files)
- DSA project tracking (contains projects submitted after November 1997)
- Field visits

Of the 328 structures, we are lacking any information on 36 buildings.

ZFA STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

Categories

Based on initial assessments of the structures in the building inventory, buildings have been assigned preliminary ranking which fall in the following categories:

- · Unknown: no drawings or data reviewed
- Yellow: poses a lower seismic risk; likely to achieve Life Safety through a structural collapse prevention performance objective
- Orange: poses a moderate seismic risk level between yellow and red
- Red: poses a higher seismic risk; unlikely to achieve Life Safety through a structural collapse prevention performance objective

Category rationale

A building's ranking is generally determined by the following criteria, which includes factors such as structural systems and date of construction, although assignments for buildings may be modified based on specific information from construction drawings or site visits.

- Yellow
 - 1. Wood-framed buildings, less than 2 stories and no "long span" conditions
 - 2. Buildings built after 1984
- Orange
 - 1. Wood-framed buildings over two stories
 - 2. Steel-framed buildings two stories and junder
 - Concrete shear wall buildings with rigid diaphragms, built after 1978
- Red
 - 1. Steel-framed buildings—three stories and above
 - 2. Concrete shear wall buildings with rigid diaphragms, built before 1978
 - 3. Concrete shear wall buildings with flexible diaphragms
 - 4. Concrete moment-resisting (de facto or not) frame buildings
 - 5. Precast concrete buildings
 - 6. Masonry buildings