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## Focus Areas of Academic Performance Summary Report

- Overview of California Standards Test (CST)
- Overview of California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) Performance
- Federal Accountability - Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Program Improvement (PI) Status
- State Accountability - Academic Performance Index (API)
- District-wide Trends


## Overview of California Standards Test (CST) Performance
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## English Language Arts Performance
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## English Language Arts Performance

California Standards Test (CST)


## English Language Arts Performance

California Standards Test (CST)
Growth by School Type


English Language Arts Performance


## CST Writing Performance

California Standards Test (CST)
Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade
(Writing Proficiency = Score of 6 or 8)


## Elementary Schools with Increased Monitoring and Support Show Highest Gains in English Language Arts CST



|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\#$ <br> Schools | 2007 P/A | 2008 PIA | Change in \% <br> Proficiency |
| Blue/Green | 14 | $56 \%$ | 58\% | 2\% |
| Yellow | 28 | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Orange/Red | 6 | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

# Overview of <br> California Standards Test (CST) Performance 

## Mathematics Performance
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- by Grade or Test Taken
- by School Type
- by Subgroup


## Mathematics Performance



## Mathematics Performance

California Standards Test (CST)
Growth by School Type


Middle Schools | ( $\mathbf{N}=16$ ) |
| :---: |
| $31 \%$ |

High Schools
( $\mathrm{N}=22$ )
$\square$ Increase of more than 5.0\% points
$\square$ Increase of 2.5\% points to $5.0 \%$ points $\square$ Increase of up to 2.5\% points
$\square$ Decrease of up to 2.5\% points
$\square$ Decrease of 2.5\% points to $5.0 \%$ points
$\square$ Decrease of more than $5.0 \%$ points

## Mathematics Performance



## Elementary Schools with Most Support Show Highest Gains in Mathematics Portion of the CST



## Mathematics Performance

Gains in Swun Math Schools: Promising Results of a New Program
$4^{\text {th }}$ Grade CST Proficiency Results


| $\square \square$ 2006-07 |
| :---: |
| $\square \square$ 2007-08 |

## Overview of <br> California Standards Test (CST) Performance

## Science Performance

-Percent Proficient and Growth by Grade or
Test Taken
History Performance
-Percent Proficient and Growth by Grade or Test
Taken

## Science Performance

California Standards Test (CST)


Life Science

## History Performance

California Standards Test (CST)
Percent Proficient and Growth By Grade or Test Taken


Key


## Allendale CST Summary: Big Gains in 2007-08



CAHSEE Cumulative Pass Rates
-By Grade

CAHSEE 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Proficiency and Pass Rates Passing versus Proficiency

## CAHSEE Cumulative Pass Rates



## CAHSEE 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Proficiency and Pass Rates



## Accountability

## Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

AYP is a series of annual academic performance goals established for each school, school district, and the state as a whole. Schools, school districts, and the state have met AYP if they meet or exceed each year's goals (AYP targets and criteria).

State Accountability: Academic Performance Index (API)
The API is a single number, ranging from a low of 200 to a high of 1000, that reflects a school's or school district's performance level, based on the results of statewide testing. Its purpose is to measure the academic performance and growth of schools. The API target for California is 800.

## Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in English Language Arts

Did all significant subgroups in Oakland Unified School District meet the federally-established Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English Language Arts?

English-Language Arts - Percent At or Above Proficient


## Federal Accountability: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in Mathematics

Did all significant subgroups in Oakland Unified School District meet the federally-established Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in mathematics?

Mathematics - Percent At or Above Proficient


## State Accountability: Academic Performance Index (API)

| Subgroup | OUSD <br> 2007 <br> Base <br> API | OUSD 2008 <br> Growth API | OUSD <br> Change in API <br> 2007 to 2008 | California <br> 2007 Base API <br> All Grades | California <br> 2008 Growth API <br> All Grades | California <br> Change in API <br> 2007 to 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 658 | 674 | +16 | 728 | 742 | +14 |
| Atrican AmerIcan | 603 | 609 | +6 | 644 | 658 | +14 |
| American Indian | 701 | 711 | +10 | 697 | 708 | +11 |
| Asian | 777 | 801 | +24 | 852 | 866 | +14 |
| Filipino | 752 | 770 | +18 | 813 | 825 | +12 |
| HIspanic or LatIno | 616 | 642 | +26 | 666 | 683 | +17 |
| Pacific Islander | 634 | 616 | -18 | 720 | 734 | +14 |
| White | 881 | 890 | +9 | 806 | 816 | +10 |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | 632 | 648 | +16 | 663 | 679 | +16 |
| English Learners | 625 | 641 | +16 | 647 | 661 | +14 |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 474 | 471 | -3 | 536 | 549 | +13 |

## The Achievement Gap

## An Analysis of the Achievement Gap

Based on the Academic Performance Index (API)


## OUSD 2008 API Still Ranks Low Compared with Other Large Urban Districts



OUSD Demonstrates Largest 4 Year API Gains Among Large CA Urban Unified Districts


## Academic Performance Index (API) School Highlights

| School Level API Gains |  |  |  | School Level API Declines |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eementary Schools | 2008 Growth | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Base } \end{aligned}$ | Change | Eementary Schools | 2008 Growth | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Base } \end{aligned}$ | Change |
| ACORN Woodland Elementary | 774 | 688 | +86 | EnCompass Academy Elementa | 649 | 731 | -82 |
| Allendale Elementary | 741 | 678 | +63 | Lafayette Elementary | 629 | 669 | -40 |
| ASCEND | 751 | 690 | +61 | Middle Schools |  |  |  |
| Esperanza Elementary | 665 | 615 | +50 | Frick Middle | 557 | 595 | -38 |
| Franklin Elementary | 835 | 768 | +67 | High Schools |  |  |  |
| International Community | 730 | 680 | +50 | BEST | 490 | 551* | -61 |
| La Escuelita Elementary | 827 | 771 | +56 | East Oakland School of the Arts | 478 | 521 | -43 |
| Manzanita Community | 689 | 636 | +53 |  |  |  |  |
| Markham Elementary | 701 | 619 | +82 |  |  |  |  |
| New Highland Academy | 629 | 567 | +62 |  |  |  |  |
| Reach Academy | 568 | 488* | +80 |  |  |  |  |
| Sankofa Academy | 691* | 535 | +156 |  |  |  |  |
| Whittier Elementary | 675 | 607 | +68 |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Edna Brewer Middle | 782 | 717 | +65 |  |  |  |  |
| Elmhurst Community Prep | 641 | 594 | +47 |  |  |  |  |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBIT | 526 | 485 | +41 |  |  |  |  |
| LIFE Academy | 635 | 577 | +58 |  |  |  |  |
| Rudsdale Continuation | 455* | 355* | +100 |  |  |  |  |

## District-wide Achievement Gains

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
| :--- | :--- |
| Elementary <br> Mathematics <br> (includes <br> pacing, <br> assessments, <br> curriculum, <br> intervention <br> program) | •Expand math program from 8 to 21 schools <br> •Start K-1 pilot at five schools <br> •Start grade 6 pilot at three middle schools <br> house by summer 2009. <br> •Keep program with new math adoption <br> Goal: District-wide K-Algebra program by 2011 |

## District-wide Achievement Gains

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
| :---: | :---: |
| ELD/English Learner achievement | -Full implementation of K-5 English Language Development (ELD) program <br> -K-5 intervention program for those not making progress towards English language proficiency <br> -Continue professional development for teachers <br> -Implement ELD benchmark assessments K-12 <br> -Strengthen newcomer programs and curriculum <br> -Target long-term EL students for ELD intervention |

## District-wide Achievement Gains

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
| :---: | :---: |
| Writing | -Continue pre/post writing performance assessments in grades 6-11 <br> -Continue support for school-based scoring of writing assessments <br> -Continue professional development on effective writing instruction <br> -Create district writing "exemplars" for grades 1-5 <br> - Begin district calibration process for Senior Project quality <br> -Partnership with Peralta and CSU to align OUSD exit/college entrance writing proficiency expectations |

## District-wide Achievement Gains

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
| :--- | :--- |
| Red/Orange <br> School Growth, <br> especially in <br> elementary | -Network officers and coaches build principal and <br> instructional leadership team capacity to raise the <br> bar and close the gap |
| - Speed deployment and improve coordination of <br> classroom support by central, site-based, and <br> external coaches <br> - Teachers collaborate in professional learning <br> communities to assess individual student progress <br> against key standards and plan for mastery <br> -Instructional walkthroughs by central office with <br> follow up action steps <br> -School priority for professional development and <br> new programs |  |


|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Build on Success |
| :--- | :--- |
| K-3 <br> Reading | •Move to standards-based assessments in grades 2-5 <br> •Increase lesson time in small groups with differentiated activities <br> and learning objectives to meet individual student learning needs <br> -Expand progress monitoring of K-2 students who show gaps in <br> early reading skills and use intervention resources to address those <br> gaps immediately <br> •Study schools that increase \% of proficient 2-3 grade students |
| -Increase family and community engagement on how to help <br> students be kindergarten ready and support student literacy <br> development K-3 <br> -Expand classroom libraries and leveled books, accelerated reader <br> programs; get more books into students' homes |  |

## District-wide Achievement Gap Challenges

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Meet the Challenge |
| :---: | :---: |
| African- <br> American <br> student achievement | - Study OUSD and other schools that are accelerating the achievement of African American students. <br> -Increase focus and commitment that curriculum, instruction and climate at every school is culturally responsive, equity centered and meets student needs. <br> - Increase teacher coaching to improve rigor and relevance of lessons and instruction, with focus on equity, student engagement and understanding. <br> - Students set goals and monitor their progress frequently <br> - Teacher leader network and professional development on <br> Academic English Development and Standard English Learner proficiency. <br> -Increase mentors, teacher diversity, early childhood education access, family outreach and education, and access to after school, summer school and health programs. |

## District-wide Achievement Gap Challenges

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Address the Challenge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Middle <br> and <br> High <br> School | •Principals ensure curriculum and instruction based on grade <br> level standards, with pacing aligned to the assessments <br> $\bullet$ Increase coaching support to improve rigor and relevance of <br> lessons and instruction, with focus on equity, student <br> engagement and understanding <br> •Strengthen teacher collaboration using frequent assessment <br> data to plan and adjust instruction to address gaps <br> $\bullet$ Improve counseling/advisory support and monitoring <br> focused on lower achieving students. Intervene early (6th - <br> 9th grades) to keep students on track. <br> •Strengthen career education and pathway activities; expand <br> support to alternative schools and college partnerships. |

## District-wide Achievement Gap Challenges

|  | 2008-09 Strategies to Address the Challenge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Students <br> With <br> Disabilities | •Implementation of Voyager Reading district-wide in <br> grades 4-8 Special Day Classes, including professional <br> development and coaching support for teachers. <br> $\bullet$-Implementation of literacy program for Severely <br> Handicapped Special Day Classes. <br> •Strengthen SDC teacher collaboration using regular <br> assessment data to plan instruction and address student <br> learning needs. <br> •Strengthen math instruction; ensure involvement of SDC <br> classes in elementary math initiative in participating <br> schools. |

## Focus Areas of Academic Performance Summary Report

$\checkmark$ Overview of California Standards Test (CST)
$\checkmark$ Overview of California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) Performance
$\checkmark$ Federal Accountability - Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Program Improvement (PI) Status
$\checkmark$ State Accountability - Academic Performance Index (API)
$\checkmark$ District-wide Trends: Gains and Challenges
$\checkmark$ Appendix: Data Tables

## Appendix: Data Tables

## English Language Arts Performance

Growth in English Language Arts CST Performance by Grade

|  | CST Proficiency 2008 | 1-Year Growth <br> $(2007$ to 2008) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 2 | $43 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| Grade 3 | $29 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | $43 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $40 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $28 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $30 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $28 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | $27 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $21 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $21 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |

## English Language Arts Performance

Growth in English Language Arts Performance by Subgroup

|  | CST Proficiency <br> 2008 | 1-Year Growth <br> (2007 to 2008) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | $32 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| African American | $24 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| American Indian | $35 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| Asian | $53 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Filipino | $46 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $22 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $22 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| White | $80 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | $26 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| English Learners | $15 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Mathematics Performance

Growth in Mathematics Performance by Grade or Test Taken

|  | CST Proficiency <br> 2008 | 1-Year Growth <br> $(2007$ to 2008) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 2 | $55 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| Grade 3 | $51 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | $51 \%$ | $+6 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $49 \%$ | $+8 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $27 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $28 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| General Math | $7 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Algebra I | $13 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Geometry | $13 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Algebra II | $11 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |

## Mathematics Performance

Growth in Mathematics Performance by Subgroup

|  | CST Proficiency <br> 2008 | $1-Y e a r ~ G r o w t h ~$ <br> $(2007$ to 2008) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | $33 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| African American | $21 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| American Indian | $21 \%$ | $-8 \%$ |
| Asian | $56 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |
| Filipino | $49 \%$ | $+6 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $27 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $23 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| White | $73 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | $29 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| English Learners | $30 \%$ | $+8 \%$ |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | $12 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |

