## OUSD Mathematics Instruction

## Building A Coherent Strategy for Bridging the Achievement Gap


". . a laser-like focus on teaching and learning in the classroom—not just on what we do but how we do it."

## OUSD Secondary Mathematics Instruction

- High Schools

Using CAHSEE as a catalyst to promote and work toward equity and high quality teaching and learning to drive student achievement

- Middle Schools

Identifying need to deepen academic interventions; invest in mathematics as we have in literacy

- Middle School Math Program for 2005-2006

Demanding rigor coupled with high expectations and strong supports, based on models that have significantly improved student performance in urban schools and with at-risk students

- Next Year and Beyond

Building capacity at elementary schools and taking action needed to ensure sustainable improvement at all grade levels (instructional leadership and a high quality teacher in every classroom)

## What might next year's $9^{\text {th }}$ grade class look like and what are the implications?

- More than 2000 scored BB (2+ years below grade level) or FBB (3+ years below grade level) on their Mathematics CST in the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade with another 800 at BASIC (1+ year below grade level)

| Math CST Performance 7th Graders SPRING '04 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment <br> Total Tested <br> Participation Rate <br> Mean Scaled Score |  |  |
| CST Ranking | \# Students | \% Students |
| Advanced | 134 | 4\% |
| Proficient | 370 | 11\% |
| Basic | 807 | 24\% |
| BB | 1278 | 38\% |
| FBB | 740 | 22\% |
| Not Tested | 121 | NA |

- ED of high schools has asked that academic interventions begin immediately at middle schools to support student mastery of basic math skills before they enter high school (multiplication tables, operations with whole numbers, fractions, decimals, and percents)
- Mathematics instructional reform begun in high schools to continue--and the same is needed in middle and elementary schools


## California High School Exit Exam Mathematics Grade 6, 7, Algebra 1 Standards

The CAHSEE pass rate and average scale score for $10^{\text {th }}$ graders have significantly increased over the past three years.

| CAHSEE Math $-\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade Performance | 01-02 Tenth <br> Graders | 02-03 Tenth <br> Graders | 03-04 Tenth <br> Graders |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Students Tested | 1561 | 2758 | $\mathbf{2 6 1 2}$ |
| Percent Passed | $18 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ |
| Average Scale Score | 328 | 344 | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ |

Including the results of the November 2004 re-testing of our 03-04 Tenth Graders, $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ of current $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students have now passed CAHSEE math. This group of students-our graduating class of 2006-will be the first for which passing the CAHSEE is a graduation requirement.

# California High School Exit Exam Spring 2004 

## Mathematics Grade 6, 7 , Algebra 1 Standards

For students who have taken and not yet passed CAHSEE Math, the weakness in Number Sense, which includes basic math and pre-algebraic skills, is the primary cause of poor achievement in other math strands.


# High School Math CST Scores Spring 2002-2004 

How has this weakness in Number Sense manifested itself in higher level courses?


300 is minimum Basic, 350 minimum Proficient (at standard).

## What the High School Network is doing to promote student success on CAHSEE Math

 Student Learning Needs

Student Data
Site CAHSEE Plans
Best CAHSEE Resources
Professional Development
Evaluate Results and Modify Plans

## OUSD High School Students Moving with Math \& Conquering the CAHSEE!

CAHSEE as a catalyst to promote and work toward equity and high quality teaching and learning for all students in the OUSD


Our Vision:
Mathematics instruction in our high schools will meet the diverse learning needs of our students, significantly bridge their achievement gaps in mathematics, and provide them access to and tools for proficiency in higher level math coursework

## STUDENT DATA

Developing tools to help Principals and teachers analyze student data and target academic interventions to meet needs of their student populations

CAHSEE Math Scores, COHORT 2006

| Teacher: $\mathrm{m}_{\text {mo }}$ ( $\square$ |  |  | *50 M, Inter Alg period 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strands: <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2/20/2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | し © © © |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003-2004 } \\ & \text { Math Cours e } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{IN}} \\ & \frac{0}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{C}} \end{aligned}$ | INTERVENTION NOTES |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 36.6 | BB |  |  | Geometry | 318 | N | 38 | 35 | 15 | 50 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 65.2 |  | BB | 1.0 | Geometry | 312 | N | 23 | 24 | 40 | 28 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 83.3 | FBB | FBB | 0.0 | Intermediate Algebra | 382 | P | 62 | 71 | 75 | 78 | 83 |  |  |
| (2) | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 94.2 | FBB |  | 4.0 | Geometry | 326 | N | 38 | 47 | 40 | 33 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 91.1 | BB | FBB | 2.0 | Geometry | 348 | N | 38 | 41 | 70 | 61 | 42 |  |  |
|  | 11 | Latino | F |  | 87.4 |  | BB | 1.0 | Geometry | 373 | P | 77 | 76 | 65 | 67 | 58 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 64.6 | BB | FBB | 2.0 | Geometry | 350 | P | 77 | 59 | 45 | 44 | 50 |  |  |
|  | 11 | Latino | F |  | 90.1 |  | FBB | 2.0 | Geometry | 343 | N | 46 | 53 | 60 | 56 | 17 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 90.5 | FBB | BB | 2.0 | Geometry | 324 | N | 46 | 47 | 30 | 44 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 59.8 | FBB |  | 0.0 | Geometry | 343 | N | 31 | 65 | 50 | 39 | 58 |  |  |
| BFor exa | 11 | AfrAm | M |  | 87.4 |  | FBB | 1.0 | Geometry | 367 | P | 92 | 59 | 65 | 78 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 85.5 |  | BB | 0.0 | Geometry | 335 | N | 62 | 59 | 35 | 39 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | M |  | 98.5 | FBB | BB | 2.0 | Geometry | 361 | P | 92 | 53 | 55 | 50 | 67 |  |  |
|  | 11 | AfrAm | F |  | 86.7 | BB |  | 2.0 | Geometry | 335 | N | 62 | 53 | 55 | 28 | 17 |  |  |



## CAHSEE PLANS

## Guiding site development of CAHSEE intervention plans to ensure highest possible pass rate for $11^{\text {th }}$ Graders (Cohort 2006) followed by 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ graders (Cohort 2007)

CAHSEE STUDENT INTERVENTION PLAN: Fall 2004
School Site: EOSA Date Submitted: September 22, 2004

Number of 11th Graders that need to pass CAHSEE: 100
Number of 11 th Graders Levels 1-3: 70

Number in Supplemental Programs: 100
Number in Supplemental Programs: $\mathbf{7 0}$

| Name/Type of Intervention | Brief Description: | \# of Students Participating | $\underset{\text { (and contact }}{\substack{\text { Staff in }}}$ information) | Intervention Schedule | Prof Development Plan | How will Student Progress/Success be Measured during the Intervention? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily warm activities in all math classes to support all 11 th graders that need to pass CAHSEE | Warm-up curriculum will be adjusted for the different levels of math. Part of this activity will be testtaking strategies and CAHSEE awareness. | 100 | All math teachers | In regular schedule | Teachers will participate in CAHSEE Planning PD on Moving with Math curriculum; and attend District Mini-Conferences on CAHSEE strands and scaffolding as needed. | Students will maintain a journal of all warm-up activities and be required to demonstrate mastery of the CAHSEE strands. Teacher will assess students on their level of mastery on the standards covered and provide timely feedback. |
| CAHSEE Prep during Int. and Advanced Algebra | Moving with Math curriculum for scaffolding CAHSEE standards into core instruction. | $\mathbf{4 8}$(included in 100 <br> shown above) | Ms. Battle | In regular schedule | Same as Moving with Math shown above. | Students will be required to complete specific and be required to demonstrate mastery of the CAHSEE strands. Teacher will access students on their knowledge of the standards covered and provide timely feedback. |
| After-school interventions for any 11 th grader, priority will go to Level 1 Students | Six week course; 28 hours of instruction; class size ideal is 12 to 15 students | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { included in } 100 \\ \text { shown above) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | To be determined | To be determined | Same as Moving with Math shown above. | Students will meet course benchmarks and be required to demonstrate mastery of the CAHSEE strands. Teachers will maintain accurate attendance records and will inform parents/guardians and administrator of poor attendance |
| CAHSEE Prep in all core math classes--to prepare 10 th graders for the Feb. 2005 CAHSEE and 9th graders 2005-2006 school year | Moving with Math curriculum to support scaffolding CAHSEE standards into core instruction. Every teacher will have a class set of MwM. | ALL 10th and 9 th grade students | All math teachers | In regular schedule. | Teachers will participate in CAHSEE Planning PD on Moving with Math curriculum; and attend District Mini-Conferences on CAHSEE strands and scaffolding as needed. | Students will maintain a journal of all warm-up activities and be required to demonstrate mastery of the CAHSEE strands. Teacher will assess students on their level of mastery on the standards covered and provide timely feedback. |

## RESOURCES, PD, and COACHING

Providing access to resources, teacher training, and site-based coaching to accelerate student mastery of CAHSEE standards as planned.


- District-wide CAHSEE Planning Sessions provide professional development on intervention curriculum, Moving with Math - Conquering the CAHSEE, and on studentcentered instruction.
- Coaching of site Professional Learning Communities on use of student data to assess and target instruction for meeting student learning needs.
- Providing access to excellent intervention materials and supporting their use for accelerating mastery of CAHSEE standards for students of varying skill levels
$\rightarrow$ Past CAHSEE questions on overhead transparencies for targeted daily reviews
$\rightarrow$ Math manipulatives to deepen student understanding of math concepts
$\rightarrow$ Princeton Review : Roadmap to CAHSEE for students near mastery of CAHSEE standards
- Supported "pilots" of Algebra "support classes" using Moving with Math - Conquering the CAHSEE as primary curriculum.


## An Example: <br> JAMES LICK HIGH SCHOOL

SAIT SCHOOL - Moved from Lowest Performing to Highest Performing (in Gains) East Side Union High School District 2001-2004

## What Did They Do?

- Eliminated "Algebra 1 for all gth $^{\text {th }}$ graders" policy
- Students follow an Algebra plus "math support" path based on "grade level mastery"
- Grade level mastery informed by NWEA assessments
- Students may accelerate mastery and change paths ("no tracking")
- Prentice Hall for Algebra instruction
- Moving with Math - Conquering the CAHSEE for math support
- Full time math coach
- Common lesson plans; all teachers on same page at same time
- Struggling students assigned to a Summer Intensive to build "numeracy" skills


## James Lick High School:

Instructional/Support Paths based on Grade Level Mastery

| \# Students <br> (Total = 250) | Path/Grade Level <br> Mastery | Math Courses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | "Advanced" <br> Above Grade Level | Geometry |
| 45 | "Benchmark" <br> At Grade Level | Algebra 1 |
| 90 | "Strategic" <br> $>2$ "-2 Grade Levels Below | Algebra 1 and 1-year <br> Math Support |
| 95 | "Intensive" | Algebra A-B and 2-year <br> Math Support <br> or Algebra A+ Math Support <br> followed by Algebra B Summer |

## SUMMARY <br> What the HSN has been doing about needs of struggling math students

- Targeting instructional leadership by Principals
- Ensuring teacher professional learning communities work on understanding learning needs of students below grade level and collaborate on solutions
- Supporting data inquiry to identify individual student learning needs and plan instruction to accelerate learning
- Scaffolding CAHSEE standards into core math instruction
- Supporting the use of math manipulatives to deepen student understanding of math concepts
- Targeting after school interventions targeted to specific student learning needs (Number Sense, Probability, etc.)
- Working with individual teachers to pilot standards-based interventions in Algebra support classes
- Communicating to students, parents, and community about CAHSEE to foster engagement


## What the HSN has learned about needs of struggling math students

(From our experience and from research of The Education Trust**)

- Qualified math teacher in every classroom
- Laser-like focus on standards
- Students must know basic math concepts
- Small class size (20:1)
- Two periods of math for standards-based acceleration
- Use of manipulatives to support learning of math concepts
- Targeted, year-long professional development for teachers
- Parent involvement
**DISPELLING THE MYTH - The Education Trust


## Our Vision Revisited:

Mathematics instruction in our high schools will meet the diverse learning needs of our students, significantly bridge their achievement gaps in mathematics, and provide them access to and tools for proficiency in higher level math coursework.


In today's world, economic access and full citizenship depend crucially on math and science literacy.

- Robert Moses, 2001

