

Oakland Unified School District

Board of Education
Paul Robeson Building
1025 2nd Avenue, Suite 320
Oakland, CA 94606-2212
(510) 879-8199 Voice
(510) 879-8000 Fax



ACCESSIBILITY OF AGENDA AND AGENDA MATERIALS

Agenda and agenda materials, if any, associated with this meeting are accessible on the Board of Education's World Wide Web Site at <http://webportal.ousd.k12.ca.us> or from any computer terminal in the Office of the Board of Education at the above-stated address.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT COMPLIANCE

Individuals requiring a reasonable accommodation to participate in meetings other than handicapped access, should notify the Office of the Board of Education seventy-two (72) hours prior to the meeting at either (510) 879-8678 (VM); or boe@ousd.k12.ca.us (E-Mail); or (510) 879-8739 (TTY/TDD); or (510) 879-8000 (Fax).

Minutes (Long)

Wednesday, June 29, 2011

5:00 PM

Special Meeting

**Board Room (BR), 1025 2nd Avenue, Oakland, CA 94606 & BR, Pasadena
Unified School District, 351 S.Hudson Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91109**

Board of Education

President Gary Yee, Ed.D.

Vice President Jody London

*Directors: Jumoke Hinton Hodge, David Kakishiba, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins,
Alice Spearman*

Student Directors: Nikita Mitchell and Lashae Robinson

Staff: Edgar Rakestraw, Jr., Secretary, Board of Education

A. Call To Order

President Gary Yee called the meeting to order at 5:13 P.M.

President Yee noted for the record Director Noel Gallo will be participating in today's meeting from Pasadena Unified School District, Pasadena, CA.

President Yee asked Secretary Rakestraw if a space for Public Comments was needed in the meeting?

Secretary Rakestraw said "No", today's meeting is a Special Meeting and comments are restricted to the items on the agenda.

B. Roll Call

Director Noel Gallo, as pre-noticed on posted agenda for meeting, participated in this meeting by telephone, excepted as further noted herein, from Board Room, Pasadena Unified School District, 351 S.Hudson Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91109.

Roll Call: Present: David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hodge, Noel Gallo, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee

Absent: Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Christopher Dobbins

C. Motion to Discharge

11-1710 Motion To Discharge Standing Committee(s) Legislative File(s)

Adoption by Board of Education of Motion to Discharge any and all Legislative Files listed herein as "Pending" in a named Standing Committee, pursuant to Board of Education Bylaw 9130, due to business necessity and, upon adoption of said motion, incorporation of same into the listed Section of Agenda for consideration by the Board at current meeting.

A motion was made by Alice Spearman, seconded by Jody London, that this matter be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0

Adv Nay: 0

Adv Abstain: 0

Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee

Nay: 0

Recused: 0

Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Christopher Dobbins

Preferential Aye: 0

Preferential Abstention: 0

Preferential Nay: 0

D. Adoption of General Consent Report

Finance and Human Resources Committee - Pending - David Kakishiba, Chair



11-1697

Amendment to Employment Agreement Between District and Jacqueline Minor - General Counsel

Approval by the Board of Education of an Amendment [No. 1] To Employment Agreement - General Counsel - Oakland Unified School District between Board and Jacqueline Minor, as General Counsel, extending term of current agreement of June 4, 2009 through June 30, 2011 to September 30, 2011, for the purpose of allowing the Board an opportunity to complete Employee's Evaluation. All other terms and conditions of said Agreement remaining in full force and effect.

Funding Source: General Purpose

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)
[11-1697 - Amendment to Employment Agreement Between District and
 Jacqueline Minor - General Counsel.pdf](#)

President Yee noted that the Consent Report contained an Extension of the Employment Agreement with the General Counsel, Jacqueline Minor. He said this Amendment is not a reflection of the Board's [lack of] confidence in her and her staff to continue to serve in that capacity but the item is technical: has to do with the [Board's] summer recess and a delay [in Board completing employee's evaluation].

This Matter was Adopted on the Consent Agenda.

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
 Adv Nay: 0
 Adv Abstain: 0
 Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
 Nay: 0
 Recused: 0
 Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Christopher Dobbins
 Preferential Aye: 0
 Preferential Abstention: 0
 Preferential Nay: 0



11-1701

Personnel Report No. 0010-0013

Approval by the Board of Education of Personnel Report No. 0010-0013.

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)
[11-1701 - Personnel Report No. 0010-0013.pdf](#)

This Matter was Adopted on the Consent Agenda.

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
 Adv Nay: 0
 Adv Abstain: 0
 Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
 Nay: 0
 Recused: 0
 Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Christopher Dobbins
 Preferential Aye: 0
 Preferential Abstention: 0
 Preferential Nay: 0



11-1711

Elimination and Creation of an Executive Management Position Under the Deputy Superintendent, Business and Operations

Approval by the Board of Education of Resolution No. 1011-1145 - Elimination and Creation of an Executive Management Position in the Human Resources Services & Support Department and authorizing an FTE as specified below:

Eliminate:
 Position Title/FTE
 Executive Officer, Human Resources
 Services and Support (1.0 FTE)
 Salary Schedule/Range
 Salary Schedule: Per Contract
 12 months, 261 days, 7.5 hours

Create:
 Position Title/FTE
 Associate Superintendent,
 Human Resources Services
 and Support (1.0 FTE)
 Salary Schedule/Range
 Salary Schedule, CFCA
 Range 28: \$120,767 - \$154,147
 12 months, 261 days, 7.5 hour

and further authorizing the Superintendent of Schools to fill said position pursuant to applicable District employment procedures.

Resource Code - 0000-944

Funding Source: General Purpose

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)
[11-1711 - Elimination and Creation of an Executive Management Position Under the Deputy Superintendent, Business and Operations.pdf](#)

This Matter was Adopted on the Consent Agenda.

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
 Adv Nay: 0
 Adv Abstain: 0

Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
Nay: 0
Recused: 0
Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Christopher Dobbins
Preferential Aye: 0
Preferential Abstention: 0
Preferential Nay: 0

Passed The General Consent Report

A motion was made by Alice Spearman, seconded by Jody London, including all the preceding items marked as having been adopted on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
Adv Nay: 0
Adv Abstain: 0
Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
Nay: 0
Recused: 0
Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Christopher Dobbins
Preferential Aye: 0
Preferential Abstention: 0
Preferential Nay: 0

Roll Call (Secretary's Observation)

Director Christopher Dobbins present at 5:19 P.M.

Roll Call: Present: David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hodge, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
Absent: Lashae Robinson and Nikita Mitchell

E. New Business

Rules and Ethics Committee - Pending - Gary Yee, Chair



11-1699

Amendment [No.1] East Bay Regional Occupational Agency Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JPA) - Fiscal Services

Approval by Board of Education of Amendment [No.1] to East Bay Regional Occupational Agency Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JPA) for Regional Occupational Program Between District and Alameda Unified School District ("Participating Districts") to flex funds and suspend the programmatic goals and duties of the ROP as provided in the February 2009 State Budget Act SBX34 which rendered ROP funds "flexible" and entitled school districts participating in Joint Powers Agreements to redirect ROP funds for any educational purpose.

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)

[11-1699 - Amendment \[No.1\] East Bay Regional Occupational Agency Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement \(JPA\) - Fiscal Services.pdf](#)

President Yee said two years ago District ROP Funds and Adult Education Funds were put into the category of being flexed. A year ago Alameda Unified School District requested an opportunity to flex their funds which required the District to flex its funds. He said implications to the District are mainly with the Career Technical Education Program. [Because the JPA ROP between the District is still in place, and as a result of the flexing of funds by both Districts - Alameda last year; Oakland this year - an adjustment in the JPA Agreement is required.]

A motion was made by Alice Spearman, seconded by David Kakishiba, that this matter be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0

Adv Nay: 0

Adv Abstain: 0

Aye: 7 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee

Nay: 0

Recused: 0

Absent: 1 - Lashae Robinson and Nikita Mitchell

Preferential Aye: 0

Preferential Abstention: 0

Preferential Nay: 0

Finance and Human Resources Committee - Pending - David Kakishiba, Chair

President Yee requested the District's State Trustee, Carly Naylor, to provide an update on the State Budget, regarding the implications for local budgeting for the District.

Ms. Naylor reported SB87 [Budget Bill forwarded to the Governor] was approved by both the Assembly and Senate with the Governor expected to sign the Bill by tomorrow. Ms. Naylor reported on several Addendum Bills to the Budget with the most significant one for education, AB114, the Trailer Bill which enacts the trigger language. The two major provisions of the budget are:

- 1. The \$6.6 billion included in the May revise as estimated revenue increases for 2011-12 was under projected and too conservative. An additional \$4 billion was added to the revenue. The expectation for 2011-12 is to get \$10.6 billion more in revenue than in 2010-11.*

2. In the May revise, Proposition 98 was increased to \$52.5 billion. In order to meet the Proposition level, the Governor reversed the deferrals that had been in the January proposal. This means the State is not going to pay districts in 2011-12 for the amount they are due in 2011-12; the State will spread that out and wait until 2012-13.

The way the State is balancing the budget:

- Not paying schools in 2011-12.
- Childcare will be taken out of the Prop 98 limit.
- There will be flat funding for education for 2011-12; Districts get the same revenue for 2011-12 as they received in 2010-11 contingent upon the additional \$4 billion in State revenue comes in.
- AB114 contains automatic trigger language. If the revenues are less than by \$2 billion of the projections, there is trigger language that will be implemented that can take as much as \$1.5 billion away from education as a mid-year cut. Districts will be notified and the cuts will take place in February 2012. The State proposes to tell districts they have the ability, if the trigger language is implemented, to reduce the school year by an additional seven (7) days on top of the five (5) days already authorized into law.

Director Spearman wanted to know if the trigger happens, does the District have the option of going to the unions asking them to give the seven (7) days?

Ms. Naylor said the State will take the money from districts and the districts have the option of negotiating with the collective bargaining groups to reduce the instructional school year by seven (7) additional days on top of the five (5) authorized into law.

Director Spearman said if the District does not close schools seven (7) days early, the District has to make up the money.

Ms. Naylor said "Correct".

Destructive items in the budget:

1. Maintain the same level of service in 2011-12 as in 2010-11.
2. County Offices of Education cannot look at the multi-year projections to determine if districts are solvent in 2012-13 or 2013-14 when the County makes an approval or disapproval assignment to the District's budget.
3. Districts are to keep the same staffing levels as in 2010-2011.
4. Counties will not be allowed to say you have to keep your money in reserve. If you go bankrupt, you will have to figure out how to deal with it.

What is Known:

The District will operate the school year for seven (7) months before it knows whether it will get the funding that has been proposed in the Governor's budget.



Year 2011 - 2012

Approval by the Board of Education of the 2011-2012 Consolidated Application for Funding Categorical Aid Programs, Part I, and further authorizing the Superintendent of Schools to submit same to the California Department of Education seeking federal and state funding for specified instruction and instructional support programs and upon approval, in an amount to be determined, in whole or in part, authorization to accept same pursuant to terms and conditions thereof; and same for amendments thereto for the grant time period.

Funding Source: California Department of Education

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)

Director Gallo, due to temporary loss of telephone connection, is absent during the roll call on this matter.

A motion was made by Alice Spearman, seconded by Christopher Dobbins, that this matter be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
Adv Nay: 0
Adv Abstain: 0
Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
Nay: 0
Recused: 0
Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Noel Gallo
Preferential Aye: 0
Preferential Abstention: 0
Preferential Nay: 0

**11-1632****2011-2012 Budget - Office of the Board of Education**

Adoption by the Board of Education of the Office of the Board of Education 2011-2012 Fiscal Year Budget, pursuant to Board ByLaw 9325, in the amount of \$644,511.01 (no change from current Fiscal Year 2010-2011) and approval of incorporation of said amount in the District's Final Adoption of Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Budget.

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)
[11-1362 - 2011-2012 Budget - Office of the Board of Education.pdf](#)

Vice President London said the Board Office made adjustments downward last year in its operational expenses. She recommended Board Members adopt the Board Office Budget; that when the Board returns in August, they should look at other ways it may be able to reduce costs in the Board Office.

A motion was made by Jody London, seconded by Alice Spearman, that this matter be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
Adv Nay: 0
Adv Abstain: 0
Aye: 7 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
Nay: 0

Recused: 0
Absent: 1 - Lashae Robinson and Nikita Mitchell
Preferential Aye: 0
Preferential Abstention: 0
Preferential Nay: 0

**11-1664****Finance and Human Resources Committee Recommended Adjustments to the Proposed 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (First Reading) (Second Reading - June 22, 2011) (Adoption - June 29, 2011)**

Adoption by the Board of Education of the Finance and Human Resources Committee Recommended Alternative Budget Scenario(s) to the proposed 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget, set forth in Exhibit "A" - attached and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)
[FINAL - Board Memo Family Literacy Funding Alternatives 06 28 11.pdf](#)

Vernon Hal, Deputy Superintendent, Business Services, said at the last Board Meeting [June 22, 2011] there were some discussion regarding reallocating certain expenditures related to particular programs - Family Literacy. To restore Family Literacy to the full level of the current year into the next year's budget, an additional \$900,000 is needed.

Mr. Hal said there are a couple of scenarios:

- 1. The use of \$900,000 from the Fund Balance to fund the program for next year reduces the Reserve for Economic Uncertainty currently at 3% down to 2.8%.*
- 2. Take \$900,000 from the Adult Education beginning fund balance to fund the Family Literacy Program for next year with additional cuts needed in subsequent years to pay for the Early Retirement Program.*

Mr. Hal urged the Board to adopt the budget as presented by staff leaving all fund balances untouched.

Public Comments

Sam Davis, Literacy Teacher, said the Adult Education Program empowers parents to get involved and become parent leaders. All students reap the benefits of this program and he hopes the District can find a way to preserve the program for next year.

Brian Rothstein, Adult Education Teacher, talked about the effect on the community if the Family Literacy Program is lost; only three schools in the District were dedicated to only teaching Adult Education; that English Language skills and life skills are needed for immigrants in a new country; and the growing need for Adult Education.

Jim Knebelman, Family Literacy Teacher, asked the Board to support the program by maintaining Adult Education Programming. He said studies have shown there is a link between a child's academic performance and their parents' level of education. Family Literacy and the GED Program are some of the only programs serving this population's needs. Mr. Knebelman urged the Board to find funding to maintain Adult Education Programming.

Eric Bergman, Adult Education Teacher, said removing the three Adult Education Administrators will add \$350,000 to the budget to serve the adult community.

Marilyn Noble, Adult Education Teacher, said now that the District has passed a Full Service Community School Plan, the District has committed itself to supporting them in their endeavors. This population is the District's responsibility. The families want to know about the school system; how to best support their children; and to learn English.

Superintendent Smith said building family and community literacy is essential. He said the District is forced to make trade-offs and has put the pre-K through 12 programming at the center of that work. The District has prioritized support in the high schools for A-G. He said when [and if] there are more funds, this is a conversation with criteria to support developing and deepening family and community literacy. He said this is the budget that he recommends.

Board Member Comments

Director Dobbins wanted to know if the Board voted not to move any funds, will the Family & Literacy Program be reduced from 20 schools to 8 schools? He also wanted to know if the GED Programming will be affected?

Superintendent Smith said there will be an effect on service. The program will be reduced from 26 sites to eight (8) sites.

Brigitte Marshall, Adult Education Administrator, said the District currently funds 20 locations that provides services to 26 school communities.

Director Dobbins wanted to know which eight (8) sites will remain open.

Ms. Marshall said the District has to be mindful of equity concerns, sustainability and scalability. She said in the current proposal the funds will be managed out of the Family School Community Partnership Office.

Mr. Hal said any scenario reduces the monies available to handle all of the uncertainties heard tonight about the State budget, audit findings, and already committed expenditure of the Early Retirement Program. He noted if the Board votes to make the change tonight, it is unlikely the District will get the budget to the County tomorrow.

Director Hodge wanted to know how many people per site will be affected?

Ms. Marshall said when operating a class the position is about .60 FTE at each site which includes one (1) teacher, two (2) babysitters, travel, parking, materials and supplies, etc. She said it is appropriate to explore other service delivery models.

Director Hodge wanted to know since the County is in support of the program, will the County give the District one or two days of grace in submitting the budget allowing the District time to amend the budget?

Mr. Hal said he cannot speak for the County. He said if he has to re-do the numbers, he will take the time to do so and submit the budget to the County when he can.

Director Hodge wanted to know the consequences to the District for submitting a late budget.

Mr. Hal said a black eye for the District.

Director Gallo said he understands what the issues are, but the District will have to make some tough decisions. He said the children are the priority. He said he believes in the Family Literacy Program, but at this time he will support the Superintendent's recommendation to stay with the current budget. He noted the State Trustee has stated her position. He said it has been his experience that whatever the State Trustee recommends that is what the County Superintendent usually goes with. He said he thinks the District needs to stay within the K-12 funding allocation supporting children who have not had the opportunity.

President Yee said he checked with the General Counsel regarding the District's requirement to submit the budget on time.

June 30th is a statutory deadline said Ms. Minor. The Education Code does not specify the consequences. It is generally understood [within OUSD] the consequences for not submitting a budget on time can be significant. She said her legal advice is that it is imperative that the District has a balanced budget in the hands of the County before the statutory deadline.

Director Spearman said she has some serious concerns about the Family Literacy Program. She said the program has almost turned into a segregated program not reaching out or servicing the people of her community. Communities need more, not less and do not need a racial divide when it comes to Family Literacy. She said the program was not developed to serve the immigrant community. The program was developed to serve families to help them support their children through elementary school. The District has to do more than just service one part of the community.

High School programming is more important than Family Literacy said Director Spearman. That means the District will graduate more young adults and adults from high school. Director Spearman asked for an explanation as to what happened to the high school graduation portion of the Adult Education Program.

Ms. Marshall said this year the District did have the Adult Diploma High School Program with a small number of adults receiving the Adult High School Diploma. The District is currently planning to continue the GED Program next year at the same capacity of this year. The credentialing requirements and the program design requirements to operate an Adult High School Diploma Program make it challenging to operate for a small number of people. The GED Program will continue but the Adult High School Diploma Program will not.

Director Spearman said traditionally high schools have pushed out older students that reach age 18 and wanted to know if that will be a practice the District continues or what is in place to ensure the District graduates the maximum number of students?

Superintendent Smith said the District has entered into a partnership with local community colleges to run the Gateway Program. This program is for young people who have been

out of school. Students can receive their high school diploma and AA Degree at the same time. Young people cannot be compelled after age 18 to come to school but they can be invited. The District can serve student from age 3 through age 22 and receive ADA for them.

Director Kakishiba said the amount of money that is being flexed is \$3.2 million and in the memo from Mr. Hal it states that \$900,000 will be enough to restore Family Literacy and GED Programs to the current year levels. He wanted to know if the \$3.2 million supported Family Literacy and GED Programs?

Ms. Marshall said that figure supported GED Programs, Family Literacy and other things. This year there was an Adult Diploma High School Program, Citizenship and some ESL for refugees. The Adult Diploma High School Program was costly relative to the number of students that were served.

Director Kakishiba wanted to know for the District to maintain GED Programing and 20 locations for Family Literacy will the cost will be \$900,000.

Ms. Marshall said an additional \$900,000 on top of the \$1 million already allocated to Adult Education will be needed.

Vice President London said there is a recognition that the District could deliver the services [potentially] differently and more effectively. She said what is not known is the price tag for delivering those services differently at the 20 locations and 26 school communities and wanted to know when will the District know the cost for the alternative model?

Ms. Marshall said later in the summer.

Mr. Hal said staff recommends the Board adopts the 2011-2012 budget. There is a process of closing the books and as part of that process the District figures out its financial situation at that time. If it is determined at that time the District has more ending balance that anticipated, there may be an opportunity to look at Family Literacy again before the summer is over.

President Yee clarified the motion Board Members were about to vote on. He said the motion is to adopt the original recommendation to restore the \$900,000 to the Family Literacy Program. A "Yes" vote means that Mr. Hal goes back to the drawing board and finds the \$900,000. A "No" votes means moving forward with adoption of the 2011-2012 budget.

Director Kakishiba said the budget the Superintendent has presented has been consistently a budget that is financially prudent in the face of great uncertainty from the State in term of the amount of revenues the District will receive and when those revenues will be received. This budget puts the District in the best possible position to weather any unexpected tornadoes from Sacramento. He said he believes as a Board, greater due diligence must be exercised in the budget development process. When budget priorities are set at the beginning of the year, priorities are tracked systematically. Director Kakishiba said he has great concern and is not satisfied with the District's spending plan. He said the Board created three budget priorities and he does not see anything in the budget around teacher

retention and compensation. He said the Board needs to redouble its efforts working with the Superintendent and feels a better job can be done.

Director Gallo said the Board will have to make some tough decisions. The District has to stay adaptable, flexible, and look for different ways to provide instruction. Other districts have moved to online textbooks and online technology operations. He said he does not want the District to be taken over by the State again. The District has to be fiscally responsible and he relies upon Mr. Hal to make sure the District remains solvent and up front with the money the District has.

Superintendent Smith recognized the work of Mr. Hal and his staff for the work done on the 2011-2012 budget.

He said there will be more than 15 high school counselors and there are still counselors at high schools. Services are being centralized because many of the students were not being programmed correctly.

A motion was made by Alice Spearman, seconded by Christopher Dobbins, that this matter be Adopted. The motion failed by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
 Adv Nay: 0
 Adv Abstain: 0
 Aye: 3 - David Kakishiba, Christopher Dobbins and Alice Spearman
 Nay: 4 - Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Jody London and Gary Yee
 Recused: 0
 Absent: 1 - Lashae Robinson and Nikita Mitchell
 Preferential Aye: 0
 Preferential Abstention: 0
 Preferential Nay: 0



11-1712

Public Hearing and Adoption - SB70 Tier III Flexibility Acceptance and Use of Funds

Public Hearing and Adoption by Board of Education of Resolution 1011-1146 - Acceptance of and Flexible Use of SB70 Tier III Funds - \$40,239,171.00 - and Adopting Same Into 2011-2012 District Budget.

Attachments: [Document\(s\)](#)
[11-1712 - Public Hearing and Adoption - SB70 Tier III Flexibility Acceptance and Use of Funds.pdf](#)

President Yee opened the Public Hearing at 5:30 P.M. There were no speakers on the item. President Yee closed the Public Hearing at 5:31 P.M.

A motion was made by Noel Gallo, seconded by Jody London, that this matter be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
 Adv Nay: 0
 Adv Abstain: 0
 Aye: 7 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee

Nay: 0
 Recused: 0
 Absent: 1 - Lashae Robinson and Nikita Mitchell
 Preferential Aye: 0
 Preferential Abstention: 0
 Preferential Nay: 0



11-1432

Public Hearing and Adoption - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (Adoption - June 29, 2011)

Public Hearing and Adoption by the Board of Education of the 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (all funds) in the amount of \$478,499,207.00.

Attachments: Document(s)

- 11-1432 - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (Preliminary Reading).ppt
- 11-1432 - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (Preliminary Reading).pdf
- 11-1432 - Presentation - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (Second Reading) (Second Reading - June 22, 2011) (Adoption - June 29, 2011).ppt
- 11-1432 - Presentation - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (Second Reading) (Second Reading - June 22, 2011) (Adoption - June 29, 2011).pdf
- 11-1432 - SAC Format - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget (Proposed Adoption Budget) (Second Reading - June 22, 2011) (Adoption - June 29, 2011).pdf
- 11-1432 - Final Presentation - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget - Proposed Adoption - June 29, 2011.ppt
- 11-1432 - Final Presentation - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget - Proposed Adoption - June 29, 2011.pdf
- 11-1432 - SAC Format - 2011-2012 Fiscal Year District Budget - Proposed Adoption - June 29, 2011.pdf

*President Yee opened the Public Hearing at 5:32 P.M. There were no speakers on the item.
 President Yee closed the Public Hearing at 5:33 P.M.*

A motion was made by Noel Gallo, seconded by Jumoke Hinton Hodge, that this matter be Adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Votes: Adv Aye: 0
 Adv Nay: 0
 Adv Abstain: 0
 Aye: 7 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Noel Gallo, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
 Nay: 0
 Recused: 0
 Absent: 1 - Lashae Robinson and Nikita Mitchell
 Preferential Aye: 0
 Preferential Abstention: 0
 Preferential Nay: 0

Secretary's Note

Director Noel Gallo ceased participation in the meeting upon conclusion of consideration of Legislative File No. 11-1432.

F. President's Statement Disclosing Item(s) to be Discussed in Closed Session Today

President Yee stated the Board will be discussing in Closed Session Employee Performance Evaluation and Pupil Matters.

G. Closed Session Item(s)

Public Employee Performance Evaluation

11-0567 Public Employee Performance Evaluation

Title: General Counsel

Pupil Matter(s)

11-1284 Reconsideration of Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student BB

11-1702 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student AAA

11-1703 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student BBB

11-1704 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student CCC

11-1705 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student DDD

11-1706 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student EEE

11-1708 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student GGG

H. Recess to Closed Session

President Yee recessed the meeting to Closed Session at 6:42 P.M.

I. Reconvene to Public Session

President Yee reconvened the meeting to Public Session at 7:27 P.M.

J. Second Roll Call

Roll Call: Present: David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hodge, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
Absent: Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Noel Gallo

K. President's Statement of Reportable Action Taken in Closed Session and the Vote or Abstention of Members Present, If Any

President Yee stated there was no reportable action taken in Closed Session and Director Gallo was not present during Closed Session.

L. Adoption of the Pupil Discipline Consent Report

11-1284 Reconsideration of Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student BB

11-1702 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student AAA

11-1703 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student BBB

11-1704 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student CCC

11-1705 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student DDD

11-1706 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student EEE

11-1708 Expulsion Appeal Hearing - Student GGG

Passed The Pupil Discipline Consent Report

A motion was made by Alice Spearman, seconded by David Kakishiba, including all the preceding items marked as having been adopted on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Votes: Adv Aye: 0
- Adv Nay: 0
- Adv Abstain: 0
- Aye: 6 - David Kakishiba, Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Christopher Dobbins, Alice Spearman, Jody London and Gary Yee
- Nay: 0
- Recused: 0
- Absent: 2 - Lashae Robinson, Nikita Mitchell and Noel Gallo
- Preferential Aye: 0
- Preferential Abstention: 0
- Preferential Nay: 0

M. Adjournment

President Yee adjourned the meeting at 7:28 P.M.

Prepared By: _____

Approved By: _____